

Local Properties of Generalized Absolute Matrix Summability of Factored Fourier Series

Hikmet Seyhan Özarslan

Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey
Email: seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr; hseyhan38@gmail.com

Received 3 August 2016

Accepted 12 March 2017

Communicated by Pengyee Lee

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 26D15, 40D15, 40F05, 40G99, 42A24

Abstract. In this paper, a theorem on local property of $\varphi - |A, p_n|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series, which generalizes a known result, has been proved. This new theorem also includes several new and known results.

Keywords: Summability factors; Absolute matrix summability; Fourier series; Local property; Infinite series; Hölder inequality; Minkowski inequality.

1. Introduction

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums (s_n) . By (u_n) and (t_n) we denote the n -th $(C, 1)$ means of the sequences (s_n) and (na_n) , respectively. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C, 1|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [17])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |u_n - u_{n-1}|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n|^k < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, \quad i \geq 1). \quad (2)$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v \quad (3)$$

defines the sequence (σ_n) of the Riesz mean or simply the (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the sequence (s_n) , generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see [18]). The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\Delta\sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\Delta\sigma_{n-1} = -\frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n P_{v-1} a_v, \quad n \geq 1.$$

In the special case, when $p_n = 1$ for all values of n (resp. $k = 1$), $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability is the same as $|C, 1|_k$ (resp. $|\bar{N}, p_n|$) summability. Also, if we take $k = 1$ and $p_n = 1/(n + 1)$, then summability $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ is equivalent to the summability $|R, \log n, 1|$.

Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, i.e., a lower triangular matrix of nonzero diagonal entries. Then A defines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence $s = (s_n)$ to $As = (A_n(s))$, where

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{5}$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [36])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta}A_n(s)|^k < \infty, \tag{6}$$

and it is said to be summable $|A, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [35])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta}A_n(s)|^k < \infty, \tag{7}$$

where $\bar{\Delta}A_n(s) = A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)$.

Let (φ_n) be any sequence of positive real numbers. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\varphi - |A, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [33])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta}A_n(s)|^k < \infty. \tag{8}$$

If we take $\varphi_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$, then $\varphi - |A, p_n|_k$ summability reduces to $|A, p_n|_k$ summability. Also, if we take $\varphi_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then we get $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability. Furthermore, if we take $\varphi_n = n, a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $p_n = 1$ for all values of n , $\varphi - |A, p_n|_k$ reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ summability. Finally, if we take $\varphi_n = n$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then we get $|R, p_n|_k$ summability (see [7]).

A sequence (λ_n) is said to be convex if $\Delta^2\lambda_n \geq 0$ for every positive integer n , where $\Delta^2\lambda_n = \Delta(\Delta\lambda_n)$ and $\Delta\lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$.

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π , and integrable (L) over $(-\pi, \pi)$. Without any loss of generality we may assume that the constant term in the Fourier series of $f(t)$ is zero, so that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)dt = 0 \tag{9}$$

and

$$f(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(t), \tag{10}$$

where (a_n) and (b_n) denote the Fourier coefficients. It is well known that the convergence of the Fourier series at $t = x$ is a local property of the generating function f (i.e. it depends only on the behaviour of f in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of x), and hence the summability of the Fourier series at $t = x$ by any regular linear summability method is also a local property of the generating function f (see [37]).

2. Known Results

Mohanty [24, Theorem B] has demonstrated that the summability $|R, \log n, 1|$ of

$$\sum \frac{C_n(t)}{\log(n+1)}, \tag{11}$$

at $t = x$, is a local property of the generating function of $\sum C_n(t)$. Later on Matsumoto [22, Theorem 2] improved this result by replacing the series (11) by

$$\sum \frac{C_n(t)}{\{\log \log(n+1)\}^{1+\epsilon}}, \quad \epsilon > 0. \tag{12}$$

Generalizing the above result Bhatt proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. [2, Theorem] *If (λ_n) is a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$ is convergent, then the summability $|R, \log n, 1|$ of the series $\sum C_n(t)\lambda_n \log n$ at a point can be ensured by a local property.*

Also, Mishra has proved the following most general theorem.

Theorem 2.2. [23, Theorem 3] *Let the sequence (p_n) be such that*

$$P_n = O(np_n), \tag{13}$$

$$P_n \Delta p_n = O(p_n p_{n+1}). \tag{14}$$

Then the summability $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ of the series

$$\sum \frac{C_n(t)\lambda_n P_n}{np_n} \quad (15)$$

at a point can be ensured by local property, where (λ_n) is a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$ is convergent.

Many works dealing with summability theory have been done (see [1]-[2], [5]-[6], [8]-[15], [19]-[32], [34]). Few of them are given above. Furthermore, Bor has proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. [4, Theorem] *Let $k \geq 1$ and (p_n) be a sequence such that the conditions (13) and (14) of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Then the summability $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ of the series (15) at a point can be ensured by local property, where (λ_n) is as in Theorem 2.2.*

3. Main Result

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 2.3 for $\varphi - |A, p_n|_k$ summability.

Before stating the main theorem, we must first introduce some further notations.

Given a normal matrix $A = (a_{nv})$, we associate two lower semimatrices $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})$ as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \dots \quad (16)$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \quad \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (17)$$

It may be noted that \bar{A} and \hat{A} are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v \quad (18)$$

and

$$\bar{\Delta}A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v. \quad (19)$$

Now, we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $k \geq 1$ and $A = (a_{nv})$ be a positive normal matrix such that*

$$\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{20}$$

$$a_{n-1,v} \geq a_{nv}, \quad \text{for } n \geq v + 1, \tag{21}$$

$$a_{nn} = O\left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right), \tag{22}$$

$$|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| = O(v|\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}|), \tag{23}$$

and $\left(\frac{\varphi_n p_n}{P_n}\right)$ be a non-increasing sequence. If all the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied and (φ_n) is any sequence of positive constants such that

$$\sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v}\right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{v} (\lambda_v)^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{24}$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v}\right)^{k-1} \Delta \lambda_v = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{25}$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v}\right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{v} (\lambda_{v+1})^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{26}$$

then the summability $\varphi - |A, p_n|_k$ of the series $\sum \frac{C_n(t)\lambda_n P_n}{np_n}$ at a point can be ensured by local property.

It should be noted that if we take $\varphi_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then we get Theorem 2.3. In this case, the conditions (20)-(26) are obvious and the condition “ $\left(\frac{\varphi_n p_n}{P_n}\right)$ is a non-increasing sequence” automatically satisfied.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. [23, Lemma 2] *If the sequence (p_n) is such that the conditions (13) and (14) of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied, then*

$$\Delta \left(\frac{P_n}{np_n}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \tag{27}$$

Lemma 3.3. [16, Lemma 4] *If (λ_n) is a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$ is convergent, then (λ_n) is non-negative and decreasing, and $n\Delta\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let $k \geq 1$. If (s_n) is bounded and all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, then the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \lambda_n P_n}{np_n}, \tag{28}$$

is summable $\varphi - |A, p_n|_k$, where (λ_n) is as in Theorem 2.2.

Remark 3.5. Since (λ_n) is a convex sequence, therefore $(\lambda_n)^k$ is also convex sequence and

$$\sum \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_n)^k < \infty. \tag{29}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let (I_n) denote the A-transform of the series $\sum \frac{a_n \lambda_n P_n}{np_n}$. Then, by (18) and (19), we have $\bar{\Delta}I_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} \frac{a_v \lambda_v P_v}{vp_v}$. Applying Abel's transformation to this sum, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}I_n &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v P_v}{vp_v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^v a_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{np_n} \sum_{v=1}^n a_v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v P_v}{vp_v} \right) s_v + \frac{a_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{np_n} s_n \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v \lambda_v \Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})}{vp_v} s_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v P_v}{vp_v} s_v \\ &\quad + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \Delta \left(\frac{P_v}{vp_v} \right) s_v + \frac{a_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{np_n} s_n \\ &= I_{n,1} + I_{n,2} + I_{n,3} + I_{n,4}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 3.4, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n^{k-1} |I_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{30}$$

First, by applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k' , where $k > 1$ and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} |I_{n,1}|^k \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v \lambda_v \Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})}{vp_v} s_v \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v}{vp_v} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| (\lambda_v) |s_v| \right\}^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{vp_v} \right)^k |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| (\lambda_v)^k |s_v|^k \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \right\}^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k \frac{1}{v^k} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| (\lambda_v)^k \right\} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k \frac{1}{v^k} (\lambda_v)^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\varphi_n p_n}{P_n} \right)^{k-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k \frac{1}{v^k} (\lambda_v)^k a_{vv} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k \frac{1}{v^k} (\lambda_v)^k \frac{p_v}{P_v} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{v^k} (\lambda_v)^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} v^{k-1} \frac{1}{v^k} (\lambda_v)^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{v} (\lambda_v)^k \\
 &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4.

Now, using the fact that $P_v = O(vp_v)$ by (13), and Hölder's inequality we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} |I_{n,2}|^k \\
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v P_v}{vp_v} s_v \right|^k \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_v}{vp_v} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |s_v| \right\}^k \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k \frac{1}{v^k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |s_v|^k \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k \frac{1}{v^k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\varphi_n p_n}{P_n} \right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k \frac{1}{v^k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| \right\} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^k \frac{1}{v^k} \Delta \lambda_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\varphi_n p_n}{P_n} \right)^{k-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} \Delta \lambda_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} \Delta \lambda_v \\
&= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4.

Now, since $\Delta \left(\frac{P_v}{v p_v} \right) = O \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)$ by Lemma 3.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} |I_{n,3}|^k \\
&= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \Delta \left(\frac{P_v}{v p_v} \right) s_v \right|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{v} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| (\lambda_{v+1}) |s_v| \right\}^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{v} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| (\lambda_{v+1})^k |s_v|^k \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \right\}^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{v} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| (\lambda_{v+1})^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{1}{v} (\lambda_{v+1})^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\varphi_n p_n}{P_n} \right)^{k-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{v} (\lambda_{v+1})^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_v p_v}{P_v} \right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{v} (\lambda_{v+1})^k \\
&= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4.

Finally, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{k-1} |I_{n,4}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{k-1} \left| \frac{a_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{n p_n} s_n \right|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{k-1} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right)^k \frac{1}{n^k} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^k (\lambda_n)^k |s_n|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{\varphi_n p_n}{P_n} \right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{n} (\lambda_n)^k
\end{aligned}$$

$$= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The convergence of the Fourier series at $t = x$ is a local property of f (i.e., it depends only on the behaviour of f in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of x), and hence the summability of the Fourier series at $t = x$ by any regular linear summability method is also a local property of f . Since the behaviour of the Fourier series, as far as convergence is concerned, for a particular value of x depends on the behaviour of the function in the immediate neighbourhood of this point only, hence the truth of Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of Lemma 3.4. ■

4. Conclusions

If we take $\varphi_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$, then we get a theorem dealing with $|A, p_n|_k$ summability. If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then we have another result dealing with $\varphi - |\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability. If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $p_n = 1$ for all values of n , then we get a result dealing with $\varphi - |C, 1|_k$ summability. If we take $\varphi_n = n$, $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $p_n = 1$ for all values of n , then we get a result for $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

References

- [1] S. Baron, Local property of absolute summability of Fourier series, *Tartu Riikl. Ül. Toimetised Vih.* **177** (1965) 106–120.
- [2] S.N. Bhatt, An aspect of local property of $|R, \log n, 1|$ summability of the factored Fourier series, *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India Part A* **26** (1960) 69–73.
- [3] H. Bor, On two summability methods, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **97** (1985) 147–149.
- [4] H. Bor, Local property of $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **17** (1989) 165–170.
- [5] H. Bor, Multipliers for $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability of Fourier series, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **17** (1989) 285–290.
- [6] H. Bor, A note on absolute summability factors of infinite series, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **13** (2) (1989) 123–126.
- [7] H. Bor, On the relative strength of two absolute summability methods, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **113** (1991) 1009–1012.
- [8] H. Bor, On the local property of $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **163** (1992) 220–226.
- [9] H. Bor, Local properties of Fourier series, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **23** (2000) 703–709.
- [10] H. Bor, Localization of factored Fourier series, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **6** (2005) Article 40, 5 pp.
- [11] H. Bor, On the absolute summability factors of Fourier series, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **8** (3) (2006) 223–227.
- [12] H. Bor, On the localization of factored Fourier series, *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform.* **24** (2010) 239–245.

- [13] H. Bor, D. Yu, P. Zhou, On local property of absolute summability of factored Fourier series, *Filomat* **28** (8) (2014) 1675–1686.
- [14] H. Bor, Some new results on infinite series and Fourier series, *Positivity* **19** (3) (2015) 467–473.
- [15] D. Borwein, The nonlocal nature of the summability of Fourier series by certain absolute Riesz methods, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992) 89–94.
- [16] H.C. Chow, On the summability factors of Fourier series, *J. London Math. Soc.* **16** (1941) 215–220.
- [17] T.M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **7** (1957) 113–141.
- [18] G.H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1949.
- [19] S. Izumi, Notes on Fourier analysis. VIII. Local properties of Fourier series, *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **1** (1950) 136–143.
- [20] M. Izumi, S. Izumi, Localization problem of the absolute Riesz and absolute Nörlund summabilities of Fourier series, *Canad. J. Math.* **22** (1970) 615–625.
- [21] S.K. Lim-Teo, On some special summability sequence spaces, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **11** (1) (1987) 69–70.
- [22] K. Matsumoto, Local property of the summability $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$, *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **8** (1956) 114–124.
- [23] K.N. Mishra, Multipliers for $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ summability of Fourier series, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **14** (1986) 431–438.
- [24] R. Mohanty, On the summability $|R, \log \omega, 1|$ of a Fourier series, *J. London Math. Soc.* **25** (1950) 67–72.
- [25] M. Mursaleen, A.H. Saifi, Regular summability and generalised almost convergence, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **20** (2) (1996) 19–22.
- [26] M. Mursaleen, A. Mukheimer, A note on summability of wavelet series, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **27** (6) (2004) 1051–1056.
- [27] H.S. Özarslan, A note on $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability factors, *Erc. Univ. J. Inst. Sci and Tech.* **16** (2000) 95–100.
- [28] H.S. Özarslan, A note on $|\bar{N}, p_n^\alpha|_k$ summability factors, *Soochow J. Math.* **27** (1) (2001) 45–51.
- [29] H.S. Özarslan, A note on $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **13** (2004) 485–490.
- [30] H.S. Özarslan and H.N. Öğdük, Generalizations of two theorems on absolute summability methods, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1** (2004) Article 13, 7 pp.
- [31] H.S. Özarslan, On the local properties of factored Fourier series, *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* **9** (2) (2006) 103–108.
- [32] H.S. Özarslan, Local properties of factored Fourier series, *Int. J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **1** (2006) 93–96.
- [33] H.S. Özarslan and A. Keten, A new application of almost increasing sequences, *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.)* **61** (2015) 153–160.
- [34] H. Seyhan, On the local property of $\varphi - |\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **25** (1997) 311–316.
- [35] W.T. Sulaiman, Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods of an infinite series. IV, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **34** (11) (2003) 1547–1557.
- [36] N. Tanovič-Miller, On strong summability, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **14** (34) (1979) 87–97.
- [37] E.C. Titchmarsh, *Theory of Functions*, 2nd Ed., Oxford University Press, London, 1939.