

A Note on Morita Equivalence Preserving Functor from the Category of Semigroups to the Category of Semirings

Sujit Kumar Sardar and Kaushik Chakraborty*

Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, India

Email: sksardarjumath@gmail.com; kaushik.ju@gmail.com

Sugato Gupta

Department of Mathematics, Vidyasagar College for Women, Kolkata, India

Email: sguptaju@gmail.com

Received 30 May 2018

Accepted 31 December 2018

Communicated by Y.Q. Chen

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 16D90, 16Y60

Abstract. In this paper we have introduced a nice functorial connection between the category of semigroups and the category of semirings. Among other results we have proved that Morita equivalence is preserved by this functor.

Keywords: Power functor; Morita equivalence of semigroups (semirings); Morita context of semigroups (semirings).

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1958 Morita [14] established the *Morita equivalence* theory for rings with identity which has been recognised as one of the most significant and fundamental tools in studying structure of rings. Later in 1972 both Knauer [13] and Banaschewski [1] independently transferred the ring theoretic approach to Monoids [12]. It is evident from various papers regarding *Morita equivalence* that this theory is an area of sustained research interest over decades. In 2011

*The second author is grateful to UGC for providing research support as JRF

Katsov and Nam [11] generalized the *Morita theory* to semirings which was subsequently connected with *Morita context for semirings* by Sardar et.al. [16]. Sardar and Gupta also listed some properties that remain invariant under *Morita equivalence* of Semirings or Semigroups via Morita context [6, 7, 15, 5]. A recent work by Sardar, Gupta and Dey [3] described a nice interplay between various components of *Morita context* for semirings. In this paper we define a functor from the category of semigroups to the category of semirings and deduce that *Morita equivalence* is preserved by this functor.

Before presenting the main result in section 2, we recall some necessary preliminaries. Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring and $a \in S$. Then a is called *k-regular* if there exist $x, y \in S$ such that $a + axa = aya$. If every element of the semiring S is *k-regular* then it is called *k-regular* [17]. If in the semiring $(S, +, \cdot)$, $(S, +)$ is a semilattice then the defining condition of *k-regularity* of an element $a \in S$ reduces to $a + axa = axa$ for some $x \in S$. If every element of a semiring S is *k-idempotent/ almost idempotent* i.e. $a + a^2 = a^2$ then it is called an *almost idempotent semiring* [21].

Suppose $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a semiring whose additive reduct is semilattice. Then

- (i) S is called a *left k-semifield* if for all $a \in S$ and $b \in S'$, (if S contains additive identity 0 then $S' = S \setminus \{0\}$) there exists $u \in S$ such that $a + ub = ub$. Moreover if $a + bu = bu$ then it is called a *k-semifield* [18].
- (ii) if every element $a \in S$ is *k-regular* satisfying the additional conditions $ax + ax^2a = ax^2a$ and $xa + ax^2a = ax^2a$ for some $x \in S$ then S is called a *completely k regular semiring* [18].
- (iii) S is called a *left k-Clifford semiring* if S is a *k-regular semiring* and for all $a, b \in S$ there exists $x \in S$ such that $ab + xa = xa$. If in addition for each *k-idempotent* e and each $a \in S$ there is u in S such that $ae + eua = eua$ and $ea + aue = aue$ then S is called a *Clifford semiring* [19].

A nonempty set P is called a *right act over a monoid* S , written as P_S , if there is a mapping from: $P \times S \rightarrow P$ defined by $(p, s) \mapsto ps$ satisfying the properties

- (i) $(ps_1)s_2 = p(s_1s_2)$ for all $p \in P, s_1, s_2 \in S$, and
- (ii) $p1 = p$ for all $p \in P$.

Similarly we can define *left act over a monoid*. Also ${}_T P_S$ is called a *bi-act* if it is left T act and right S act with an additional property $(tp)s = t(ps)$ for all $t \in T, p \in P, s \in S$. Two monoids S and T are said to be *Morita equivalent* [12] if category of right (left) acts over S and category of right (left) acts over T are equivalent. A six tuple $\langle S, T, {}_T P_S, S P'_T, \tau, \mu \rangle$, where ${}_T P_S, S P'_T$ are bi-acts over monoids S and T , $\mu : {}_T (P \otimes P') \rightarrow T$ and $\tau : (P' \otimes P)_S \rightarrow S$ are *bi-act morphisms* satisfying

- (i) $\mu(q \otimes q'_1)q_1 = q\tau(q'_1 \otimes q_1)$, and
- (ii) $q'\mu(q \otimes q'_1) = \tau(q' \otimes q)q'_1$, for all $q, q_1 \in P, q', q'_1 \in P'$.

is called a *Morita context for monoids*. S and T are said to be *Morita equivalent* if τ and μ are surjective. According to Golan [4], semiring is defined as

- (i) $(R, +)$ is a commutative monoid.
- (ii) (R, \cdot) is a monoid.
- (iii) Distributive property holds and
- (iv) additive identity 0 is absorbing i.e. $r \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot r = 0$.

A semiring [9] is an algebra $(R, +, \cdot)$ where

- (i) $(R, +)$ is a commutative semigroup
- (ii) (R, \cdot) is a semigroup
- (iii) \cdot distributes over $+$ from either sides.

Let $(Q, +)$ be additive commutative monoid. According to Katsov [11] R is a semiring with 0 and 1 with extra conditions

- (i) $1_R p = p$.
- (ii) $r 0_Q = 0_Q = 0_Q r$.

Then ${}_R Q$ is called a *left semimodule* over a semiring R if there is a mapping $R \times Q \rightarrow Q$, $(r, q) \mapsto rq$, satisfying

- (i) $(rr_1)p = r(r_1p)$
- (ii) $(r + r_1)p = rp + r_1p$
- (iii) $r(p + p_1) = rp + rp_1$ for all $r, r_1 \in R, p, p_1 \in Q$.

In a similar manner we can define right R' semimodule $Q_{R'}$. Also ${}_R Q_{R'}$ is called a bi-semimodule if Q is a left R semimodule and a right R' semimodule with an additional condition

- (iv) $(rp)r' = r(pr')$, for all $r \in R, p \in Q, r' \in R'$.

Two semirings R and R' are said to be Morita equivalent [11] if the category of right (left) R semimodules and the category of right (left) R' semimodules are equivalent. Let R and R' be two semirings, ${}_R Q_{R'}$ and ${}_{R'} Q'_R$ be two semimodules [11], $\theta :_{R'} (Q' \otimes Q)_{R'} \rightarrow R'$ and $\phi :_R (Q \otimes Q')_R \rightarrow R$ be two *bimodule morphisms* satisfying

- (i) $\phi(q \otimes q'_1)q_1 = q\theta(q'_1 \otimes q_1)$ and
- (ii) $q'\phi(q \otimes q'_1) = \theta(q' \otimes q)q'_1$, for all $q, q_1 \in Q, q', q'_1 \in Q'$.

Then the six tuple $\langle R, R', {}_R Q_{R'}, {}_{R'} Q'_R, \theta, \phi \rangle$ is called a *Morita context for semirings* [16]. If in addition θ and ϕ are surjective then R and R' are said to be Morita equivalent semirings.

The class of semirings whose additive reduct is a semilattice has been a topic of sustained research interest which is evident from various publications such as [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 8]. A rich source of example of such a class of semiring is the power semiring of a semigroup whose definition we recall below [20]. For a semigroup (S, \cdot) if one defines on the power set $P(S)$ addition and multiplication as follows :

$$A + B := A \cup B \quad \text{and} \quad A \cdot B := \{a \cdot b : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

then $(P(S), +, \cdot)$ becomes a semiring whose additive reduct is a semilattice. This procedure of getting a semiring from a semigroup gives rise to correspondence,

say, $P : Sgr \rightarrow Sring$ where Sgr denotes the category of semigroups and $Sring$ denotes the category of semirings. In the next section P will be proved to be a functor (cf. Theorem 2.3(i)). The main purpose of this paper is, among other things, to establish the Morita equivalence preserving property of the functor P i.e., to prove that if S and T are two Morita equivalent monoids then $P(S)$ and $P(T)$ becomes Morita equivalent semirings (cf. Theorem 2.3(viii)).

2. Main Result

In this section we obtain our main result involving the function $P : Sgr \rightarrow Sring$. At first we obtain two results to be used in the main result.

Proposition 2.1. *If ${}_T U_S$ is a bi-act then its image under $P : Sgr \rightarrow Sring$ becomes a bi-semimodule viz., ${}_{P(S)} P(U)_{P(T)}$.*

Proof. Let ${}_T U_S$ is a bi-act. Then the power set $P(U)$, with $+$ defined as:

$A + B := A \cup B$ for all $A, B \in P(U)$, a commutative monoid. Further $P(T) \times P(U) \rightarrow P(U)$, defined by $(T, Q) \mapsto TQ := \{t \cdot q : t \in T, q \in Q\}$, is well defined as P is $T-S$ bi-act and makes $P(U)$ a left $P(T)$ -semimodule because the following conditions can be easily verified by using the relevant definitions.

- (i) $(T_1 + T_2)Q = T_1Q + T_2Q$,
- (ii) $T_1(Q_1 + Q_2) = T_1Q_1 + T_1Q_2$,
- (iii) $(T_1(T_2Q)) = (T_1T_2)Q$,
- (iv) $(\{1\}, Q) = Q$ (1 is the identity of T) for all $T_1, T_2, \in P(T)$ and $Q_1, Q_2, Q \in P(U)$.

In a similar fashion if we define $P(U) \times P(S) \rightarrow P(U)$ by $(Q, S_1) \mapsto QS_1 := \{qs_1 : q \in Q \subseteq U, s_1 \in S_1 \subseteq S\}$, then $P(U)$ becomes right $P(S)$ semimodule. In fact $P(U)$ becomes a $P(T) - P(S)$ bi-semimodule as for any $T_1 \in P(T)$, $Q \in P(U)$ and $S_1 \in P(S)$, $((T_1, Q), S_1) := \{(t_1q)s_1 : t_1 \in T_1, q \in Q, s_1 \in S_1\} = \{(t_1(qs_1)) : t_1 \in T_1, q \in Q, s_1 \in S_1\} = (T_1, (Q, S_1))$. [Second equality holds as P is a $T - S$ bi-act]. ■

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose ${}_T U_S$ and ${}_S U'_T$ are two bi-acts over monoid such that $\tau : {}_S (U' \otimes_T U)_S \rightarrow S$ is a surjective bi-act morphism. Then ${}_{P(T)} P(U)_{P(S)}$ and ${}_{P(S)} P(U')_{P(T)}$ are two bisemimodules where $\tilde{\theta} : (P(U') \otimes_{P(T)} P(U)) \rightarrow P(S)$ is defined by $\tilde{\theta}(Q' \otimes Q) = \{\tau(q' \otimes q) : q' \in Q', q \in Q\}$ is a surjective bi-semimodule morphism.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 2.1, ${}_{P(T)} P(U)_{P(S)}$ and ${}_{P(S)} P(U')_{P(T)}$ are bisemimodules. Now let us define $\theta : (P(U') \times P(U)) \rightarrow P(S)$ by $(Q', Q) \mapsto Q'Q := \{\tau(q' \otimes_T q) : q' \in Q', q \in Q\}$.

Clearly θ is well defined. Now we prove that θ has the following properties:

- (i) $\theta(Q'_1 + Q'_2, Q) = \theta(Q'_1, Q) + \theta(Q'_2, Q)$ for $Q'_1, Q'_2 \in P(U'), Q \in P(U)$.

- (ii) $\theta(Q', Q_1 + Q_2) = \theta(Q', Q_1) + \theta(Q', Q_2)$ for $Q' \in P(U'), Q_1, Q_2 \in P(U)$.
- (iii) $\theta(Q'T, Q) = \theta(Q', TQ)$ for $T \in P(T), Q \in P(U), Q' \in P(U')$.

In order to prove (1) we see that $\theta(Q'_1, Q) \subseteq \theta(Q'_1 + Q'_2, Q)$ as $Q'_1 + Q'_2 := Q'_1 \cup Q'_2$. Similarly $\theta(Q'_2, Q) \subseteq \theta(Q'_1 + Q'_2, Q)$, and so $\theta(Q'_1, Q) + \theta(Q'_2, Q) \subseteq \theta(Q'_1 + Q'_2, Q)$.

Conversely, let $x \in \theta(Q'_1 + Q'_2, Q)$. So $x = \tau(q' \otimes_T q)$ where $q' \in (Q'_1 \cup Q'_2)$ and $q \in Q$. Either $q' \in Q'_1$ and hence $x \in \theta(Q'_1, Q)$ or $q' \in Q'_2$ and $x \in \theta(Q'_2, Q)$. Thus $\theta(Q'_1 + Q'_2, Q) \subseteq \theta(Q'_1, Q) + \theta(Q'_2, Q)$. Hence $\theta(Q'_1 + Q'_2, Q) = \theta(Q'_1, Q) + \theta(Q'_2, Q)$.

Similarly we can prove (2). Now (3) follows as $x \in \theta(Q'T, Q) \iff x = \tau(q't \otimes_T q)$ for any $q \in Q, q' \in Q', t \in T. \iff x = \tau(q' \otimes_T tq) \iff x \in \theta(Q', TQ)$.

Hence θ is a $P(T)$ balanced map from $(P(U') \times P(U))$ to $P(S)$. Then there exists a unique morphism $\tilde{\theta} : (P(U') \otimes_{P(T)} P(U)) \rightarrow P(S)$ such that $\tilde{\theta}(Q' \otimes Q) = \theta(Q', Q) = \{\tau(q' \otimes q) : q' \in Q', q \in Q\}$ where $P(U') \otimes P(U)$ is the tensor product of two bi-semimodules [11]. Since the tensor product $P(U') \otimes P(U)$ is actually the quotient of free monoid F over $P(U') \times P(U)$ by the congruence ρ , generated by

- (i) $((Q'_1 + Q'_2, Q), ((Q'_1, Q) + (Q'_2, Q)))$ for $Q'_1, Q'_2 \in P(U'), Q \in P(U)$.
- (ii) $((Q', Q_1 + Q_2), ((Q', Q_1) + (Q', Q_2)))$ for $Q' \in P(U'), Q_1, Q_2 \in P(U)$.
- (iii) $((Q'T, Q), (Q', TQ))$ for $T \in P(T), Q \in P(U), Q' \in P(U')$.

i.e., $P(U') \otimes P(U) = F/\rho$, so $\tilde{\theta}$ satisfies following properties :

- (i) $\tilde{\theta}((Q'_1 + Q'_2) \otimes Q) = \tilde{\theta}(Q'_1 \otimes Q) + \tilde{\theta}(Q'_2 \otimes Q)$.
- (ii) $\tilde{\theta}(Q' \otimes (Q_1 + Q_2)) = \tilde{\theta}(Q' \otimes Q_1) + \tilde{\theta}(Q' \otimes Q_2)$.
- (iii) $\tilde{\theta}(Q'T \otimes Q) = \tilde{\theta}(Q' \otimes TQ)$ Where $T \in P(T)$.

Making a note of the fact that τ is a bi-act morphism, from the definition of $\tilde{\theta}$ and properties shown above we can readily conclude that $\tilde{\theta}$ is a bi-semimodule morphism. In order to complete the proof we now prove the surjectivity of $\tilde{\theta}$:

Let $A \subseteq S$ and $a_i \in A$. As τ is surjective, there exist $q'_i \in Q'$ and $q_i \in Q$ such that $\tau(q'_i \otimes q_i) = a_i$. Now

$$\tilde{\theta}(\sum_i (\{q'_i\} \otimes \{q_i\})) = \sum_i \{\tau(q'_i \otimes q_i)\} = \sum_i \{a_i\} = \bigcup_i \{a_i\} = A.$$

Hence $\tilde{\theta}$ is surjective. ■

The following is our main result in which we show that $P : Sgr \rightarrow Sring$ is a functor which among other things preserves Morita equivalence.

Theorem 2.3. *Let Sgr and $Sring$ respectively denote the category of all semigroups and the category of all semirings. Let $P : Sgr \rightarrow Sring$ be defined in such a way that for any $M \in ob(Sgr)$, for any $f \in Hom(M, N)$, $M, N \in ob(Sgr)$; $P(M)$ is the semiring with $+$ and \cdot as*

$$A + B := A \cup B \quad \text{and} \quad A \cdot B := \{a \cdot b : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and $Pf : P(M) \rightarrow P(N)$ is the semiring morphism defined by $Pf(A) := \{f(a) : a \in A\}$. Then P

- (i) is a covariant functor which is faithful and injective on objects. For future reference this functor is called the power functor.
- (ii) maps a regular semigroup to k -regular semiring,
- (iii) maps a group to a k -semifield,
- (iv) a completely regular semigroup to a completely k -regular semiring,
- (v) maps a clifford semigroup to a k -clifford semiring,
- (vi) maps a band to an almost idempotent semiring,
- (vii) maps an ideal of any object S of **Sgr** to a k -ideal of $P(S)$ and
- (viii) maps two Morita equivalent monoids to two Morita equivalent semirings with identity.

Proof. (i) According to our discussion in introduction it is clear that $P(M)$ is an object in *Sring* for any object $M \in Sgr$. Now suppose $M, N \in ob(Sgr)$ and $f \in Hom(M, N)$, the set of all semigroup morphisms from M to N . Then for any $A, B \in P(M)$, $Pf(A + B) := Pf(A \cup B) = \{f(x) : x \in A \cup B\} \subseteq Pf(A)$ or $Pf(B)$, whence $Pf(A + B) \subseteq Pf(A) + Pf(B)$. Also both $Pf(A)$ and $Pf(B)$ being subsets of $Pf(A + B)$, we have, $Pf(A) + Pf(B) \subseteq Pf(A + B)$. Thus $Pf(A + B) = Pf(A) + Pf(B)$.

Again we see that $Pf(A \cdot B) := \{f(x) : x \in A \cdot B\} = \{f(a \cdot b) : a \in A, b \in B\} = \{f(a) \cdot f(b) : a \in A; b \in B\} \subseteq Pf(A) \cdot Pf(B)$. Also $Pf(A) \cdot Pf(B) = \{f(a) : a \in A\} \cdot \{f(b) : b \in B\} = \{f(a) \cdot f(b) : a \in A, b \in B\} = \{f(a \cdot b) : a \in A, b \in B\} \subseteq Pf(A \cdot B)$. [As f is a semigroup morphism.] Hence $Pf(A \cdot B) = Pf(A) \cdot Pf(B)$. Thus $Pf \in Hom(P(M), P(N))$.

Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be an identity morphism in the category **Sgr**. Then $Pf : P(A) \rightarrow P(A)$ is the identity morphism in the category **Sring**. Again let $S, T, K \in ob(Sgr)$ and $f \in Hom(T, K)$, $g \in Hom(S, T)$. Then for any $A \in P(S)$, $P(f \circ g)(A) = \{(f \circ g)(a) : a \in A\} = \{f(g(a)) : a \in A\} = (Pf \circ Pg)(A)$. This implies that $P(f \circ g) = Pf \circ Pg$. Thus $P : Sgr \rightarrow Sring$ is a functor which is covariant. Let $f, g \in Hom(S, T)$ such that $Pf = Pg$. Then $Pf(\{a\}) = Pg(\{a\})$ for each $a \in S$, which implies that $\{f(a) : a \in S\} = \{g(a) : a \in S\}$, i.e. $f(a) = g(a)$ for all $a \in S$. Hence $f = g$. Consequently, the functor P is faithful. Finally let $S, T \in ob(Sgr)$ such that $P(S) = P(T)$. Then $\{s\} \in P(T)$ for all $s \in S$, and $\{t\} \in P(S)$ for all $t \in T$. Thus $s \in T$ for each s and $t \in S$ for each t . Hence $S = T$. Hence the functor P is injective on objects.

- (ii) This is a part of Theorem 3.1 of [20].
- (iii) This is a part of Proposition 2.2.3 of [2].
- (iv) This is a part of Proposition 3.1.3 of [2].
- (v) This is a part of Proposition 4.1.7 of [2].
- (vi) This is a part of Proposition 3.1 of [21].
- (vii) This is a part of Proposition 1.2.4 of [2].

(viii) Since S and T are Morita equivalent monoids. Then there is a Morita context $\langle S, T, {}_T P_{S,S}, {}_S P'_{T,T}, \tau, \mu \rangle$ of monoids [16], where $\tau : {}_S (P' \otimes_T P)_S \rightarrow S$ and $\mu : {}_T (P \otimes_S P')_T \rightarrow T$ are surjective bi-act morphisms. For convenience let us write $\tau(q' \otimes q) = (q', q)$ and $\mu(q \otimes q') = [q, q']$. In view of Proposition 2.1,

${}_{P(T)}P(U)_{P(S)}$ and ${}_{P(S)}P(U')_{P(T)}$ are bisemimodules and in view of Prop. 2.2, $\tilde{\theta} : P(U') \otimes_{P(T)} P(U) \rightarrow P(S)$ and $\tilde{\phi} : P(U) \otimes P(U') \rightarrow P(T)$ denoted respectively by $\tilde{\theta}(Q'_1, Q) = (Q'_1, Q)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(Q, Q'_1) = [Q, Q'_1]$ are surjective bisemimodule morphisms satisfying the properties:

- (a) $[Q, Q'_1]Q_1 = Q(Q'_1, Q_1)$.
- (b) $Q'[Q, Q'_1] = (Q', Q)Q'_1$.

where $Q', Q'_1 \in P(U')$, and $Q, Q_1 \in P(U)$. These properties follow from the properties:

- (a) $[q, q'_1]q_1 = q(q'_1, q_1)$.
- (b) $q'[q, q'_1] = (q', q)q'_1$.

obtained previously. So we obtain the Morita context $\langle P(S), P(T), {}_{P(T)}P(U)_{P(S)}, {}_{P(S)}P(U')_{P(T)}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\phi} \rangle$ where $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ are surjective. Consequently, $P(S)$ and $P(T)$ are Morita equivalent semirings with identity (cf. Theorem 4.8 of [16]). This completes the proof. ■

The functor is neither full (and hence not an embedding) nor surjective on objects which is evident from the following examples.

Example 2.4. Let S and T be two semigroups. Let $\Psi : P(S) \rightarrow P(T)$ be defined by $\Psi(A) = \emptyset$ for all $A \in P(S)$. Then Ψ is a semiring morphism but not of the form Pf . Hence the functor P is not full.

Example 2.5. Let $U = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Let us define $u + v := \min\{u, v\}$ and $u \cdot v := u$, for all $u, v \in U$. Then U is a semiring whose additive reduct is a semilattice but not power of a semigroup as its number of elements $3 \neq 2^n$, for any positive integer n . Thus the functor is not surjective on objects.

3. Concluding Remark

Though the converse of each of (ii),(iii),(iv),(v),(vi),(vii) of Theorem 2.3 is true (cf. Respective results cited from [20], [21] or [2]), but we have not been able to prove or disprove the converse of (viii) of Theorem 2.3, which is ‘‘Suppose S and T are two monoids such that the power semirings $P(S)$ and $P(T)$ are Morita equivalent. Then S and T are Morita equivalent.’’

References

- [1] B. Banaschewski, Functors into the category of M -sets, rings, *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universitat Hamburg* **8** (1972) 49–64.
- [2] A.K. Bhuniya, *On the Additively Regular Semirings*, Ph.D. Dissetation, Dept. of pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 2009.
- [3] K. Dey, S. Gupta, S.K. Sardar, Morita invariants of semirings related to a Morita context, *Asian-Eur J. Math.* (to appear)

- [4] J.S. Golan, *Semirings and their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- [5] S. Gupta, S.K. Sardar, Morita invariants of semirings-II, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **11** (1) (2018) 1850014, 14 pages.
- [6] S. Gupta, S.K. Sardar, A note on Morita invariants of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **92** (1) (2016) 71–76.
- [7] S. Gupta, S.K. Sardar, Some new results on Morita invariants of semigroups, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **41** (6) (2017) 855–870.
- [8] K. Hansda, T.K. Mondal, On characterization of minimal k -bi-ideals in k -regular and completely k -regular semirings, *Novi Sad J. Math.* (to appear)
- [9] U. Hebish, J.H. Weinert, *Algebraic Theory and Applications in Computer Science*, World Scientific, Singapur, 1998.
- [10] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [11] Y. Katsov, T.G. Nam, Morita equivalence and homological characterization of semirings, *Journal of Algebra and its Applications* **10** (3) (2011) 445–473.
- [12] M. Kilp, U. Knauer, A. Mikhalev, *Monoids, Acts and Categories*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2000.
- [13] U. Knauer, Projectivity of acts and Morita equivalence of monoids, *Semigroup Forum* **3** (1972) 359–370.
- [14] K. Morita, Duality of modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition, *Science Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect.* **A6** (1958) 85–142.
- [15] S.K. Sardar, S. Gupta, Morita invariants of semirings, *J. Algebra Appl.* **15** (2) (2016) 1650023, 14pp.
- [16] S.K. Sardar, S. Gupta, B.C. Saha, Morita equivalence of semirings and its connection with Nobusawa Γ -semirings with unities, *Algebra Colloq.* **22** (1) (2015) 985–1000.
- [17] M.K. Sen, A.K. Bhuniya, Additive idempotent k regular semiring, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **93** (5) (2001) 371–384.
- [18] M.K. Sen, A.K. Bhuniya, Completely k regular semiring, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **97** (5) (2005) 455–466.
- [19] M.K. Sen, A.K. Bhuniya, On additive idempotent k -Clifford semirings, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **32** (6) (2008) 1149–1159.
- [20] M.K. Sen, A.K. Bhuniya, On semirings whose additive reduct is a semilattice, *Semigroup Forum* **82** (1) (2011) 131–140.
- [21] M.K. Sen, A.K. Bhuniya, The structure of almost idempotent semirings, *Algebra Colloquium* **17** (spec 1) (2010) 851–864.