

Cubic Closed Ideals of BG -Algebras and Their Products

Tapan Senapati and Guiyun Chen*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Beibei, 400715, Chongqing, China

Email: math.tapan@gmail.com; gychen@swu.edu.cn

Received 6 June 2021

Accepted 2 September 2021

Communicated by Wiesław Dudek

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Yuqi Guo (1940–2019)

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 08A72, 03G25, 06F35

Abstract. In this paper, the concept of cubic set to ideals and closed ideals of BG -algebras are introduced and their related properties are studied. Relations among cubic BG -subalgebras, cubic ideals and cubic closed ideals of BG -algebras are investigated. The inverse images of cubic ideals of BG -algebras are defined, and how the inverse images of cubic ideals becomes cubic ideals of BG -algebras are studied. Also, the product of cubic BG -algebras are investigated.

Keywords: BG -algebra; Cubic set; Cubic BG -subalgebra; Cubic ideal; Cubic closed ideal.

1. Introduction

The notion of fuzzy sets introduced by Zadeh [23] in 1965 laid the foundation for the development of fuzzy Mathematics. This theory has a wide range of application in several branches of Mathematics such as logic, set theory, group theory, semigroup theory, real analysis, measure theory, and topology. After a decade, the notion of interval-valued fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [24] in 1975, as an extension of fuzzy sets, that is, fuzzy sets with interval-valued membership

*Corresponding author.

functions. Cubic set was introduced by Jun et al. [7], as a generalization of fuzzy set and intuitionistic fuzzy set and is characterized by membership degree and non-membership degree. The membership function is an interval fuzzy number, while non-membership function is a fuzzy set. Jun et al. [8] applied the notion of cubic sets to a group, and introduced the notion of cubic subgroups.

The notions of *BCK/BCI*-algebras [4, 5] were initiated by Imai and Iseki in 1966 as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculus. Senapati et al. [14] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy translations of intuitionistic fuzzy *H*-ideals in *BCK/BCI*-algebras. Senapati et al. [22] proposed cubic intuitionistic *q*-ideals of *BCI*-algebras. Neggers and Kim [11] introduced a new notion, called a *B*-algebras which is related to several classes of algebras of interest such as *BCI/BCK*-algebras. Jun et al. [6] applied the concept of fuzzy sets to *B*-algebras. Senapati et al. [17, 18, 16] done lot of works on *B*-algebras. Kim and Kim [9] introduced the notion of *BG*-algebras, which is a generalization of *B*-algebras. Ahn and Lee [1] fuzzified *BG*-algebras. The authors [2, 12, 16, 15, 19, 20, 21] presented the concept and basic properties of bipolar fuzzy subalgebras, intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebras, intuitionistic *L*-fuzzy ideals, interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebras, interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy closed ideals of *BG*-algebras.

Senapati et al. [13] introduced cubic structure of *BG*-subalgebras. In this paper, cubic closed ideals of *BG*-algebras are defined and lot of properties are investigated. The following section briefly reviewed some background on *BG*-algebra, *BG*-subalgebra, refinement of unit interval, cubic *BG*-subalgebras. In Section 3, the concepts and operations of cubic ideals and cubic closed ideal are introduced. In section 4, properties of cubic ideals under homomorphisms are considered. In section 5, product of cubic *BG*-subalgebras and some of its properties are studied. Finally, in Section 6, conclusion and scope for future research are given.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, some definitions are recalled which are used in the later sections. A *BG*-algebra is an important class of logical algebras introduced by Kim and Kim [9] and was extensively investigated by several researchers. This algebra is outlined as follows.

A non-empty set Υ with a constant 0 and a binary operation $*$ is said to be *BG*-algebra [9] if it fulfills the following axioms:

$$(BG1) \quad \zeta * \zeta = 0,$$

$$(BG2) \quad \zeta * 0 = \zeta,$$

$$(BG3) \quad (\zeta * \wp) * (0 * \wp) = \zeta,$$

for all $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$.

In any *BG*-algebra Υ , the following hold (see [9]):

- (i) the right cancellation law holds in Υ , i.e., $\zeta * \wp = \psi * \wp$ implies $\zeta = \psi$,

- (ii) $0 * (0 * \zeta) = \zeta$ for all $\zeta \in \Upsilon$,
- (iii) if $\zeta * \wp = 0$, then $\zeta = \wp$ for any $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$,
- (iv) if $0 * \zeta = 0 * \wp$, then $\zeta = \wp$ for any $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$,
- (v) $(\zeta * (0 * \zeta)) * \zeta = \zeta$ for all $\zeta \in \Upsilon$.

A non-empty subset S of a BG -algebra Υ is said to be a BG -subalgebra [1] of Υ if $\zeta * \wp \in S$, for all $\zeta, \wp \in S$. A function $f : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Psi$ of BG -algebras is said to be a homomorphism ([9]) if $f(\zeta * \wp) = f(\zeta) * f(\wp)$ for all $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$. Note that if $f : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Psi$ is a BG -homomorphism, then $f(0) = 0$. A non-empty subset K of a BG -algebra Υ is said to be an ideal [10] if it fulfills following conditions:

- (i) $0 \in K$,
- (ii) $\zeta * \wp \in K$ and $\wp \in K \Rightarrow \zeta \in K$,
- (iii) $\zeta \in K$ and $\wp \in \Upsilon \Rightarrow \zeta * \wp \in K$, $K \times \Upsilon \subseteq K$.

An ideal K of a BG -algebra $(\Upsilon, *, 0)$ is said to be closed if $0 * \zeta \in K$, for all $\zeta \in K$.

Definition 2.1. [23] (Fuzzy Set) Let Υ be the collection of objects indicated generally by ζ . Then, a fuzzy set A in Υ is outlined as $A = \{ \langle \zeta, \xi_A(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \Upsilon \}$ where $\xi_A(\zeta)$ is said to be the membership value of ζ in A and $0 \leq \xi_A(\zeta) \leq 1$.

Combined the definition of BG -subalgebra, ideal over crisp set and the idea of fuzzy set Ahn et al. [1] and Muthuraj et al. [10] outlined fuzzy BG -subalgebra and ideal respectively, which is delineated below.

Definition 2.2. [1, 10] A fuzzy set $A = \{ \langle \zeta, \xi_A(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \Upsilon \}$ is said to be a fuzzy subalgebra of Υ if $\xi_A(\zeta * \wp) \geq \min\{\xi_A(\zeta), \xi_A(\wp)\}$ for all $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$.

A fuzzy set $A = \{ \langle \zeta, \xi_A(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \Upsilon \}$ in Υ is said to be a fuzzy ideal of Υ if it fulfills (i) $\xi_A(0) \geq \xi_A(\zeta)$ and (ii) $\xi_A(\zeta) \geq \min\{\xi_A(\zeta * \wp), \xi_A(\wp)\}$ for all $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$.

Definition 2.3. [24] (Interval-valued fuzzy set) An interval-valued fuzzy set A over Υ is an object having the form $A = \{ \langle \zeta, \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \Upsilon \}$, where $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) : \Upsilon \rightarrow D[0, 1]$, where $D[0, 1]$ is the set of all subintervals of $[0, 1]$. The intervals $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta)$ indicate the intervals of the degree of membership of the element ζ to the set A , where $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) = [\xi_A^-(\zeta), \xi_A^+(\zeta)]$ for all $\zeta \in \Upsilon$.

Definition 2.4. [3] (Refinement of two intervals) Consider two elements $D_1, D_2 \in D[0, 1]$. If $D_1 = [a_1^-, a_1^+]$ and $D_2 = [a_2^-, a_2^+]$, then $rmin(D_1, D_2) = [\min(a_1^-, a_2^-), \min(a_1^+, a_2^+)]$ which is indicated by $D_1 \wedge^r D_2$. Thus, if $D_i = [a_i^-, a_i^+] \in D[0, 1]$ for $i=1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$, then we delineate $rsup_i(D_i) = [\sup_i(a_i^-), \sup_i(a_i^+)]$, i.e., $\vee_i D_i = [\vee_i a_i^-, \vee_i a_i^+]$. Now we call $D_1 \geq D_2$ iff $a_1^- \geq a_2^-$ and $a_1^+ \geq a_2^+$. Similarly, the relations $D_1 \leq D_2$ and $D_1 = D_2$ are delineated.

On the basis of the interval-valued fuzzy sets, Jun et al. [7] initiated the idea of (external, internal) cubic sets, and explored few of its properties.

Definition 2.5. [7] Let Υ be a nonempty set. A cubic set A in Υ is a structure $A = \{\langle \zeta, \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta), \varphi_A(\zeta) \rangle : \zeta \in \Upsilon\}$ which is briefly indicated by $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ where $\tilde{\xi}_A = [\xi_A^-, \xi_A^+]$ is an interval-valued fuzzy set in Υ and φ_A is a fuzzy set in Υ .

Extending the idea of fuzzy BG -subalgebra, Senapati et al. [13] outlined cubic BG -subalgebra.

Definition 2.6. [13] Let $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ be cubic set in Υ , where Υ is a BG -subalgebra. Then the set A is cubic BG -subalgebra over the binary operator $*$ if it fulfills the following conditions:

$$(F1) \quad \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta * \wp) \geq \min\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta), \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\},$$

$$(F2) \quad \varphi_A(\zeta * \wp) \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta), \varphi_A(\wp)\}.$$

for all $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$.

The upper and lower level of a cubic BG -subalgebras is outlined in the earlier paper of Senapati et al [13].

Definition 2.7. [13] Let $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ be a cubic BG -subalgebra of Υ . For $[s_1, s_2] \in D[0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the set $U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2]) = \{\zeta \in \Upsilon | \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \geq [s_1, s_2]\}$ is said to be upper $[s_1, s_2]$ -level of A and $L(\varphi_A : t) = \{\zeta \in \Upsilon | \varphi_A(\zeta) \leq t\}$ is said to be lower t -level of A .

3. Cubic Closed Ideals of BG -Algebras

In this section, cubic ideals and cubic closed ideals of BG -algebras are outlined and proved some related results. In the ensuing paragraphs, let Υ indicate a BG -algebra unless otherwise identified.

Definition 3.1. A cubic set $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ in Υ is said to be a cubic ideal of Υ if it fulfills:

$$(F3) \quad \tilde{\xi}_A(0) \geq \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \text{ and } \varphi_A(0) \leq \varphi_A(\zeta),$$

$$(F4) \quad \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \geq \min\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta * \wp), \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\},$$

$$(F5) \quad \varphi_A(\zeta) \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta * \wp), \varphi_A(\wp)\}$$

for all $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$.

Example 3.2. Think about a BG -algebra $\Upsilon = \{0, v, p, l\}$ with the next Cayley

table

*	0	v	p	l
0	0	v	p	l
v	v	0	l	p
p	p	l	0	v
l	l	p	v	0

Let $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ be a cubic set in Υ outlined as $\tilde{\xi}_A(0) = \tilde{\xi}_A(p) = [1, 1]$, $\tilde{\xi}_A(v) = \tilde{\xi}_A(l) = [m_1, m_2]$, $\varphi_A(0) = \varphi_A(p) = 0$ and $\varphi_A(v) = \varphi_A(l) = n$, where $[m_1, m_2] \in D[0, 1]$ and $n \in [0, 1]$. Then $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ is a cubic ideal of Υ .

A closed ideal of cubic ideal each also be derived from the above definition.

Definition 3.3. A cubic set $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ in Υ is said to be a cubic closed ideal of Υ if it fulfills (F4), (F5) and (F6) $\tilde{\xi}_A(0 * \zeta) \geq \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta)$ and $\varphi_A(0 * \zeta) \leq \varphi_A(\zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \Upsilon$.

Example 3.4. Think about a BG-algebra $\Upsilon = \{0, v, p, l, n, r\}$ with the next Cayley table

*	0	v	p	l	n	r
0	0	p	v	l	n	r
v	v	0	p	r	l	n
p	p	v	0	n	r	l
l	l	n	r	0	v	p
n	n	r	l	p	0	v
r	r	l	n	v	p	0

We delineate a cubic set $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ in Υ by, $\tilde{\xi}_A(0) = [0.5, 0.7]$, $\tilde{\xi}_A(v) = \tilde{\xi}_A(p) = [0.4, 0.6]$, $\tilde{\xi}_A(l) = \tilde{\xi}_A(n) = \tilde{\xi}_A(r) = [0.3, 0.4]$, $\varphi_A(0) = 0.2$, $\varphi_A(v) = \varphi_A(p) = 0.3$, and $\varphi_A(l) = \varphi_A(n) = \varphi_A(r) = 0.5$. By routine calculations, one can verify that A is a cubic closed ideal of Υ .

Proposition 3.5. Every cubic closed ideal is a cubic ideal.

The converse of Proposition 3.5 is not true in general as noticed in the next example.

Example 3.6. Think about a BG-algebra $\Upsilon = \{0, v, p, l, n, r\}$ with the next table

*	0	v	p	l	n	r
0	0	r	n	l	p	v
v	v	0	r	n	l	p
p	p	v	0	r	n	l
l	l	p	v	0	r	n
n	n	l	p	v	0	r
r	r	n	l	p	v	0

We delineate a cubic set $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ in Υ by $\tilde{\xi}_A(0) = [0.5, 0.7]$, $\tilde{\xi}_A(v) = [0.4, 0.6]$, $\tilde{\xi}_A(p) = \tilde{\xi}_A(l) = \tilde{\xi}_A(n) = \tilde{\xi}_A(r) = [0.3, 0.4]$, $\varphi_A(0) = 0.1$, $\varphi_A(v) = 0.3$, and $\varphi_A(p) = \varphi_A(l) = \varphi_A(n) = \varphi_A(r) = 0.6$. We know that $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ is a cubic ideal of Υ . But it is not a cubic closed ideal of Υ in view of the fact that $\tilde{\xi}_A(0 * \zeta) \geq \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta)$ and $\varphi_A(0 * \zeta) \leq \varphi_A(\zeta)$.

Corollary 3.7. *Every cubic BG-subalgebra satisfying (F4) and (F5) is a cubic closed ideal.*

Theorem 3.8. *Every cubic closed ideal of a BG-algebra Υ is a cubic BG-subalgebra of Υ .*

Proof. If $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ is a cubic closed ideal of Υ , then for any $\zeta \in \Upsilon$ we have $\tilde{\xi}_A(0 * \zeta) \geq \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta)$ and $\varphi_A(0 * \zeta) \leq \varphi_A(\zeta)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta * \wp) &\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A((\zeta * \wp) * (0 * \wp)), \tilde{\xi}_A(0 * \wp)\}, \text{ by (F4)} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta), \tilde{\xi}_A(0 * \wp)\} \\ &\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta), \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\}, \text{ by (F6),} \\ \varphi_A(\zeta * \wp) &\leq \max\{\varphi_A((\zeta * \wp) * (0 * \wp)), \varphi_A(0 * \wp)\}, \text{ by (F5)} \\ &= \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta), \varphi_A(0 * \wp)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta), \varphi_A(\wp)\}, \text{ by (F6).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem. ■

Proposition 3.9. *If a cubic set $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ in Υ is a cubic closed ideal, then for all $\zeta \in \Upsilon$, $\tilde{\xi}_A(0) \geq \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta)$ and $\varphi_A(0) \leq \varphi_A(\zeta)$.*

Theorem 3.10. *A cubic set $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ in Υ is a cubic ideal of Υ iff ξ_A^-, ξ_A^+ and φ_A are fuzzy ideals of Υ .*

Proof. Let $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$. Considering $\xi_A^-(0) \geq \xi_A^-(\zeta)$, $\xi_A^+(0) \geq \xi_A^+(\zeta)$, we get, $\tilde{\xi}_A(0) \geq \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta)$. Also $\varphi_A(0) \leq \varphi_A(\zeta)$. It is assumed that ξ_A^-, ξ_A^+ and φ_A are fuzzy ideals of Υ . Then $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) = [\xi_A^-(\zeta), \xi_A^+(\zeta)] \geq [\min\{\xi_A^-(\zeta * \wp), \xi_A^-(\wp)\}, \min\{\xi_A^+(\zeta * \wp), \xi_A^+(\wp)\}] = \text{rmin}\{[\xi_A^-(\zeta * \wp), \xi_A^+(\zeta * \wp)], [\xi_A^-(\wp), \xi_A^+(\wp)]\} = \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta * \wp), \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\}$ and $\varphi_A(\zeta) \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta * \wp), \varphi_A(\wp)\}$. Therefore, A is a cubic ideal of Υ .

On the contrary, it is assumed that, A is a cubic ideal of Υ . For any $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$, we have $[\xi_A^-(\zeta), \xi_A^+(\zeta)] = \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta * \wp), \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\} = \text{rmin}\{[\xi_A^-(\zeta * \wp), \xi_A^+(\zeta * \wp)], [\xi_A^-(\wp), \xi_A^+(\wp)]\} = [\min\{\xi_A^-(\zeta * \wp), \xi_A^-(\wp)\}, \min\{\xi_A^+(\zeta * \wp), \xi_A^+(\wp)\}]$. Thus, $\xi_A^-(\zeta) \geq \min\{\xi_A^-(\zeta * \wp), \xi_A^-(\wp)\}$, $\xi_A^+(\zeta) \geq \min\{\xi_A^+(\zeta * \wp), \xi_A^+(\wp)\}$ and $\varphi_A(\zeta) \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta * \wp), \varphi_A(\wp)\}$. Consequently, ξ_A^-, ξ_A^+ and φ_A are fuzzy ideals of Υ . ■

Lemma 3.11. *Let $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ be a cubic ideal of Υ . The following statements hold:*

- (i) *If $\zeta * \wp \leq \psi$ then $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\wp), \tilde{\xi}_A(\psi)\}$ and $\varphi_A(\zeta) \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\wp), \varphi_A(\psi)\}$.*
- (ii) *If $\zeta \leq \wp$ then $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \geq \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)$ and $\varphi_A(\zeta) \leq \varphi_A(\wp)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\zeta, \wp, \psi \in \Upsilon$ be such that $\zeta * \wp \leq \psi$. Then $(\zeta * \wp) * \psi = 0$ and thus $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta * \wp), \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\} \geq \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A\{((\zeta * \wp) * \psi), \tilde{\xi}_A(\psi)\}, \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\} = \text{rmin}\{\text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(0), \tilde{\xi}_A(\psi)\}, \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\} = \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\wp), \tilde{\xi}_A(\psi)\}$ and $\varphi_A(\zeta) \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta * \wp), \varphi_A(\wp)\} \leq \max\{\max\{\varphi_A\{((\zeta * \wp) * \psi), \varphi_A(\psi)\}, \varphi_A(\wp)\} = \max\{\max\{\varphi_A(0), \varphi_A(\psi)\}, \varphi_A(\wp)\} = \max\{\varphi_A(\wp), \varphi_A(\psi)\}$.

(ii) Again, let $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$ be such that $\zeta \leq \wp$. Then $\zeta * \wp = 0$ and thus $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta * \wp), \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\} = \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(0), \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\} = \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta)$ and $\varphi_A(\zeta) \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta * \wp), \varphi_A(\wp)\} = \max\{\varphi_A(0), \varphi_A(\wp)\} = \varphi_A(\zeta)$. ■

Using induction on n and by Lemma 3.11 we can easily prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.12. *If $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ is a cubic ideal of Υ , then $(\dots((\zeta * a_1) * a_2) * \dots) * a_n = 0$ for any $\zeta, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \Upsilon$, implies $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(a_1), \tilde{\xi}_A(a_2), \dots, \tilde{\xi}_A(a_n)\}$ and $\varphi_A(\zeta) \leq \max\{\varphi_A(a_1), \varphi_A(a_2), \dots, \varphi_A(a_n)\}$.*

Theorem 3.13. *A cubic set $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ is a cubic closed ideal of Υ iff the sets $U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2])$ and $L(\varphi_A : t)$ are closed ideals of Υ for every $[s_1, s_2] \in D[0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof. Suppose that $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ is a cubic closed ideal of Υ . For $[s_1, s_2] \in D[0, 1]$, obviously, $0 * \zeta \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2])$, where $\zeta \in \Upsilon$. Let $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$ be organized in such a way $\zeta * \wp \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2])$ and $\wp \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2])$. Then $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta * \wp), \tilde{\xi}_A(\wp)\} \geq [s_1, s_2]$, which implies $\zeta \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2])$. Consequently, $U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2])$ is a closed ideal of Υ .

For $t \in [0, 1]$, obviously, $0 * \zeta \in L(\varphi_A : t)$. Let $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$ be such that $\zeta * \wp \in L(\varphi_A : t)$ and $\wp \in L(\varphi_A : t)$. Then $\varphi_A(\zeta) \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta * \wp), \varphi_A(\wp)\} \leq t$, which implies $\zeta \in L(\varphi_A : t)$. Consequently, $L(\varphi_A : t)$ is a closed ideal of Υ .

On the contrary, it is assumed that each non-empty level subset $U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2])$ and $L(\varphi_A : t)$ are closed ideals of Υ . For any $\zeta \in \Upsilon$, let $\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta) = [s_1, s_2]$ and $\varphi_A(\zeta) = t$. Then $\zeta \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2])$ and $\zeta \in L(\varphi_A : t)$. Bearing in mind that $0 * \zeta \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s_1, s_2]) \cap L(\varphi_A : t)$, we obtain $\tilde{\xi}_A(0 * \zeta) \geq [s_1, s_2] = \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta)$ and $\varphi_A(\zeta) \leq t = \varphi_A(\zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \Upsilon$.

If there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \Upsilon$ ensure that $\tilde{\xi}_A(\alpha) < \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\alpha * \beta), \tilde{\xi}_A(\beta)\}$, then by taking $[s'_1, s'_2] = \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{\xi}_A(\alpha * \beta) + \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\alpha), \tilde{\xi}_A(\beta)\}]$, as a consequence $\alpha * \beta \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s'_1, s'_2])$ and $\beta \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s'_1, s'_2])$, but $\alpha \notin U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s'_1, s'_2])$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, $U(\tilde{\xi}_A : [s'_1, s'_2])$ is not closed ideal of Υ .

Again, if there exist $\gamma, \delta \in \Upsilon$ ensure that $\varphi_A(\gamma) > \max\{\varphi_A(\gamma * \delta), \varphi_A(\delta)\}$, then by taking $t' = \frac{1}{2}[\varphi_A(\gamma * \delta) + \max\{\varphi_A(\gamma), \varphi_A(\delta)\}]$, as a consequence $\gamma * \delta \in U(\varphi_A : t')$ and $\delta \in L(\varphi_A : t')$, but $\gamma \notin L(\varphi_A : t')$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, $L(\varphi_A : t')$ is not closed ideal of Υ .

Consequently, $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ is a cubic closed ideal of Υ because it fulfills (F3) and (F4). ■

4. Investigation of Cubic Ideals under Homomorphisms

In this section, homomorphism of cubic BG -subalgebras are outlined and some results are studied.

Let f be a function from a set Υ into a set Ψ . Let B be a cubic set in Ψ . Then the inverse image of B , is outlined as $f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B), f^{-1}(\varphi_B))$ with the membership function and non-membership function respectively are given by $f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta) = \tilde{\xi}_B(f(\zeta))$ and $f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(\zeta) = \varphi_B(f(\zeta))$. It can be shown that $f^{-1}(B)$ is a cubic set.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Psi$ be a homomorphism of BG -algebras. If $B = (\tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_B)$ is a cubic ideal of Ψ , then the pre-image $f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B), f^{-1}(\varphi_B))$ of B under f in Υ is a cubic ideal of Υ .*

Proof. For all $\zeta \in \Upsilon$, $f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta) = \tilde{\xi}_B(f(\zeta)) \leq \tilde{\xi}_B(0) = \tilde{\xi}_B(f(0)) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(0)$ and $f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(\zeta) = \varphi_B(f(\zeta)) \geq \varphi_B(0) = \varphi_B(f(0)) = f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(0)$.

Let $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$. Then $f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta) = \tilde{\xi}_B(f(\zeta)) \geq \min\{\tilde{\xi}_B(f(\zeta * \wp)), \tilde{\xi}_B(f(\wp))\} \geq \min\{\tilde{\xi}_B(f(\zeta * \wp)), \tilde{\xi}_B(f(\wp))\} = \min\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta * \wp), f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(\wp)\}$ and $f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(\zeta) = \varphi_B(f(\zeta)) \leq \max\{\varphi_B(f(\zeta * \wp)), \varphi_B(f(\wp))\} \leq \max\{\varphi_B(f(\zeta * \wp)), \varphi_B(f(\wp))\} = \max\{f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(\zeta * \wp), f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(\wp)\}$.

Consequently, $f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B), f^{-1}(\varphi_B))$ is a cubic ideal of Υ . ■

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f : \Upsilon \rightarrow \Psi$ be an epimorphism of BG -algebras. Then $B = (\tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_B)$ is a cubic ideal of Ψ , if $f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B), f^{-1}(\varphi_B))$ of B under f in Υ is a cubic ideal of Υ .*

Proof. For any $\zeta \in \Psi$, there exists $a \in \Upsilon$ ensure that $f(a) = \zeta$. Then $\tilde{\xi}_B(\zeta) = \tilde{\xi}_B(f(a)) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(a) \leq f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(0) = \tilde{\xi}_B(f(0)) = \tilde{\xi}_B(0)$ and $\varphi_B(\zeta) = \varphi_B(f(a)) = f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(a) \geq f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(0) = \varphi_B(f(0)) = \varphi_B(0)$.

Let $\zeta, \wp \in \Psi$. Then $f(a) = \zeta$ and $f(b) = \wp$ for some $a, b \in \Upsilon$. Thus $\tilde{\xi}_B(\zeta) = \tilde{\xi}_B(f(a)) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(a) \geq \min\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(a * b), f^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}_B)(b)\} = \min\{\tilde{\xi}_B(f(a * b)), \tilde{\xi}_B(f(b))\} = \min\{\tilde{\xi}_B(f(a) * f(b)), \tilde{\xi}_B(f(b))\} = \min\{\tilde{\xi}_B(\zeta * \wp), \tilde{\xi}_B(\wp)\}$ and $\varphi_B(\zeta) = \varphi_B(f(a)) = f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(a) \leq \max\{f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(a * b), f^{-1}(\varphi_B)(b)\} = \max\{\varphi_B(f(a * b)), \varphi_B(f(b))\} = \max\{\varphi_B(f(a) * f(b)), \varphi_B(f(b))\} = \max\{\varphi_B(\zeta * \wp), \varphi_B(\wp)\}$. Consequently, $B = (\tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_B)$ is a cubic ideal of Ψ . ■

5. Product of Cubic BG -algebras

In this section, product of cubic BG -algebras are outlined and some results are studied.

Definition 5.1. Let $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ and $B = (\tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_B)$ be two cubic sets of Υ . The cartesian product $A \times B = (\Upsilon \times \Upsilon, \tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_A \times \varphi_B)$ is delineated by $(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta, \wp) = rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta), \tilde{\xi}_B(\wp)\}$ and $(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta, \wp) = \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta), \varphi_B(\wp)\}$, where $\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow D[0, 1]$ and $\varphi_A \times \varphi_B : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for all $\zeta, \wp \in \Upsilon$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ and $B = (\tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_B)$ be cubic ideals of Υ . Then $A \times B$ is a cubic ideal of $\Upsilon \times \Upsilon$.

Proof. For any $(\zeta, \wp) \in \Upsilon \times \Upsilon$, we have $(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(0, 0) = rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_A(0), \tilde{\xi}_B(0)\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta), \tilde{\xi}_B(\wp)\} = (\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta, \wp)$ and $(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(0, 0) = \max\{\varphi_A(0), \varphi_B(0)\} \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta), \varphi_B(\wp)\} = (\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta, \wp)$.

Let (ζ_1, \wp_1) and $(\zeta_2, \wp_2) \in \Upsilon \times \Upsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta_1, \wp_1) \\ &= rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta_1), \tilde{\xi}_B(\wp_1)\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta_1 * \zeta_2), \tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta_2)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_B(\wp_1 * \wp_2), \tilde{\xi}_B(\wp_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta_1 * \zeta_2), \tilde{\xi}_B(\wp_1 * \wp_2)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta_2), \tilde{\xi}_B(\wp_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta_1 * \zeta_2, \wp_1 * \wp_2), (\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta_2, \wp_2)\} \\ &= rmin\{(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)((\zeta_1, \wp_1) * (\zeta_2, \wp_2)), (\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta_2, \wp_2)\}, \\ & (\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta_1, \wp_1) \\ &= \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta_1), \varphi_B(\wp_1)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\max\{\varphi_A(\zeta_1 * \zeta_2), \varphi_A(\zeta_2)\}, \max\{\varphi_B(\wp_1 * \wp_2), \varphi_B(\wp_2)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{\varphi_A(\zeta_1 * \zeta_2), \varphi_B(\wp_1 * \wp_2)\}, \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta_2), \varphi_B(\wp_2)\}\} \\ &= \max\{(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta_1 * \zeta_2, \wp_1 * \wp_2), (\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta_2, \wp_2)\} \\ &= \max\{(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)((\zeta_1, \wp_1) * (\zeta_2, \wp_2)), (\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta_2, \wp_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $A \times B$ is a cubic ideal of $\Upsilon \times \Upsilon$. ■

Proposition 5.3. Let $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ and $B = (\tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_B)$ be cubic closed ideals of Υ . Then $A \times B$ is a cubic closed ideal of $\Upsilon \times \Upsilon$.

Proof. Now, $(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)((0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp)) = (\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(0 * \zeta, 0 * \wp) = rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_A(0 * \zeta), \tilde{\xi}_B(0 * \wp)\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\xi}_A(\zeta), \tilde{\xi}_B(\wp)\} = (\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta, \wp)$ and $(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)((0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp)) = (\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(0 * \zeta, 0 * \wp) = \max\{\varphi_A(0 * \zeta), \varphi_B(0 * \wp)\} \leq \max\{\varphi_A(\zeta), \varphi_B(\wp)\} = (\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta, \wp)$. Consequently, $A \times B$ is a cubic closed ideal of $\Upsilon \times \Upsilon$. ■

Definition 5.4. Let $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ and $B = (\tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_B)$ be cubic BG -subalgebras of Υ . For $[s_1, s_2] \in D[0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the set $U(\xi_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B : [s_1, s_2]) = \{(\zeta, \wp) \in \Upsilon \times \Upsilon | (\xi_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta, \wp) \geq [s_1, s_2]\}$ is said to be a upper $[s_1, s_2]$ -level of $A \times B$ and $L(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B : t) = \{(\zeta, \wp) \in \Upsilon \times \Upsilon | (\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta, \wp) \leq t\}$ is said to be lower t -level of $A \times B$.

Theorem 5.5. For any two cubic sets $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ and $B = (\tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_B)$, $A \times B$ is a cubic closed ideals of $\Upsilon \times \Upsilon$ iff the non-empty upper $[s_1, s_2]$ -level cut $U(\xi_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B : [s_1, s_2])$ and the non-empty lower t -level cut $L(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B : t)$ are closed ideals of $\Upsilon \times \Upsilon$ for any $[s_1, s_2] \in D[0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $A = (\tilde{\xi}_A, \varphi_A)$ and $B = (\tilde{\xi}_B, \varphi_B)$ be cubic closed ideals of Υ , therefore, for any $(\zeta, \wp) \in \Upsilon \times \Upsilon$, $(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)((0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp)) \geq (\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta, \wp)$ and $(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)((0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp)) \leq (\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta, \wp)$. For $[s_1, s_2] \in D[0, 1]$, if $(\xi_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta, \wp) \geq [s_1, s_2]$, then $(\xi_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)((0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp)) \geq [s_1, s_2]$. This implies, $(0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp) \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B : [s_1, s_2])$. Let $(\zeta, \wp), (\zeta', \wp') \in \Upsilon \times \Upsilon$ be designed in such a way that $(\zeta, \wp) * (\zeta', \wp') \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B : [s_1, s_2])$ and $(\zeta', \wp') \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B : [s_1, s_2])$. Now, $(\xi_A \times \xi_B)(\zeta, \wp) \geq \text{rmin}\{(\xi_A \times \xi_B)((\zeta, \wp) * (\zeta', \wp')), (\xi_A \times \xi_B)(\zeta', \wp')\} \geq \text{rmin}([s_1, s_2], [s_1, s_2]) = [s_1, s_2]$. This implies, $(\zeta, \wp) \in U(\xi_A \times \xi_B : [s_1, s_2])$. Thus $U(\xi_A \times \xi_B : [s_1, s_2])$ is closed ideal of $\Upsilon \times \Upsilon$. Similarly, $L(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B : t)$ is closed ideal of $\Upsilon \times \Upsilon$.

On the contrary, let $(\zeta, \wp) \in \Upsilon \times \Upsilon$ be designed in such a way that $(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta, \wp) = [s_1, s_2]$ and $(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta, \wp) = t$. This implies, $(\zeta, \wp) \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B : [s_1, s_2])$ and $(\zeta, \wp) \in L(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B : t)$. In view of the fact that $(0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp) \in U(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B : [s_1, s_2])$ and $(0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp) \in L(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B : t)$ (by definition of closed ideal), thus, $(\tilde{\xi}_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)((0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp)) \geq [s_1, s_2]$ and $(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)((0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp)) \leq t$. This gives, $(\xi_A \times \xi_B)((0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp)) \geq (\xi_A \times \tilde{\xi}_B)(\zeta, \wp)$ and $(\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)((0, 0) * (\zeta, \wp)) \leq (\varphi_A \times \varphi_B)(\zeta, \wp)$. Consequently, $A \times B$ is a cubic closed ideal of $\Upsilon \times \Upsilon$. ■

6. Conclusions and Future Work

Recently, in [13], the authors have studied cubic BG -subalgebras of BG -algebras. In this paper, we have introduced the concept of cubic ideal and cubic closed ideal of BG -algebras and investigated some of their useful properties. The product of cubic BG -subalgebras has been introduced and some important properties are of it are also studied. In our opinion, these definitions and main results can be similarly extended to some other algebraic systems such as BF -algebras, lattices and Lie algebras. In our future study of fuzzy structure of BG -algebra, maybe the following topics should be considered:

- (i) To find cubic (T, S) -fuzzy BG -subalgebras and ideals, where T and S are given imaginable triangular norms and conorms;
- (ii) To get more results in cubic closed ideals of BG -algebra and application;
- (iii) To find cubic intuitionistic BG -subalgebras and ideals, and their properties.

References

- [1] S.S. Ahn and H.D. Lee, Fuzzy subalgebras of BG -algebras, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **19** (2) (2004) 243–251.
- [2] M. Bhowmik, T. Senapati, M. Pal, Intuitionistic L -fuzzy ideals of BG -algebras, *Afr. Mat.* **25** (3) (2014) 577–590.
- [3] R. Biswas, Rosenfeld's fuzzy subgroups with interval valued membership function, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **63** (1) (1994) 87–90.
- [4] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, On axiom system of propositional calculi, *XIV Proc. Japan Academy* **42** (1966) 19–22.
- [5] K. Iseki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, *Proceedings of the Japan Academy* **42** (1966) 26–29.
- [6] Y.B. Jun, E.H. Roh, H.S. Kim, On fuzzy B -algebras, *Czech. Math. J.* **52** (127) (2002) 375–384.
- [7] Y.B. Jun, C.S. Kim, K.O. Yang, Cubic sets, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **4** (1) (2012) 83–98.
- [8] Y.B. Jun, S.T. Jung, M.S. Kim, Cubic subgroups, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **2** (1) (2011) 9–15.
- [9] C.B. Kim and H.S. Kim, On BG -algebras, *Demonstratio Math.* **41** (3) (2008) 497–505.
- [10] R. Muthuraj, M. Sridharan, P.M. Sitharselvam, Fuzzy BG -ideals in BG -algebra, *Int. J. Comput. Appl.* **2** (1) (2010) 26–30.
- [11] J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, On B -algebras, *Math. Vesnik* **54** (1-2) (2002) 21–29.
- [12] T. Senapati, Bipolar fuzzy structure of BG -subalgebras, *J. Fuzzy Math.* **23** (1) (2015) 209–220.
- [13] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, M. Pal, Cubic structure of BG -subalgebras, *J. Fuzzy Math.* **24** (1) (2016) 151–162.
- [14] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, M. Pal, Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy translations of intuitionistic fuzzy H -ideals in BCK/BCI -algebras, *Notes Intuition. Fuzzy Sets* **19** (1) (2013) 32–47.
- [15] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, M. Pal, Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy BG -subalgebras, *J. Fuzzy Math.* **20** (3) (2012) 707–720.
- [16] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, M. Pal, Fuzzy dot structure of BG -algebras, *Fuzzy Inf. Eng.* **6** (2014) 315–329.
- [17] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik and M. Pal, Fuzzy dot subalgebras and fuzzy dot ideals of B -algebras, *J. Uncert. Syst.* **8** (1) (2014) 22–30.
- [18] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, M. Pal, Fuzzy B -subalgebras of B -algebra with respect t -norm, *J. Fuzzy Set Valu. Anal.* **2012** (2012) 1–11.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5899/2012/jfsva-00111>.
- [19] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, M. Pal, Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy closed ideals BG -algebras and their products, *Int. J. Fuzzy Logic Syst.* **2** (2) (2012) 27–44.
- [20] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, M. Pal, Intuitionistic fuzzifications of ideals in BG -algebras, *Math. Aeterna* **2** (9) (2012) 761–778.
- [21] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, M. Pal, K.P. Shum, Characterization of intuitionistic fuzzy BG -subalgebras of BG -algebras, *J. Discret. Math. Sci. Cryptogr.* **21** (7-8) (2018) 1549–1558.
- [22] T. Senapati, C. Jana, M. Pal, Y.B. Jun, Cubic intuitionistic q -ideals of BCI -algebras, *Symmetry* **10** (12) (2018) 752; <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10120752>.
- [23] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control* **8** (1965) 338–353.
- [24] L.A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning. I, *Inform. Sci.* **8** (1975) 199–249.