

## Gelfand Spaces of Uniform Algebras on $n$ -torus\*

Yunbai Dong

Hubei Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics,  
Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China  
Email: baiyunmu301@126.com

Lei Li<sup>†</sup> and Tong Liu

School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China  
Email: leilee@nankai.edu.cn; 895270374@qq.com

Received 2 November 2021

Accepted 20 March 2022

Communicated by Ngai-Ching Wong

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Ky Fan (1914–2010)

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2020):** 46J10

**Abstract.** In this paper, we give the classification of the Gelfand space of uniform algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  on 2-torus. Moreover, we introduce the uniform algebra  $\mathcal{A}_S$  on  $n$ -torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . We give the Gelfand space of  $\mathcal{A}_S$ .

**Keywords:** Uniform algebra;  $n$ -tours; Gelfand space.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{T}^2$  denote the 2-torus  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$ , where  $\mathbb{T}$  denotes the unit circle. Let  $d\mu$  be normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , If  $f : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is in  $L^2$ , the Fourier transform is a function on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  given by

$$\hat{f}(m, n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(e^{is}, e^{it}) e^{-i(ms+nt)} d\mu.$$

---

\*This work is supported partially by NSF of China (Grant Nos. 11671314 and 12171251).

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

If  $\alpha$  is a positive irrational number, define  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  to be the set of continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the property that

$$\hat{f}(m, n) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } m + \alpha n < 0.$$

By the continuity of the Fourier transform,  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  is a Banach space, and it is also a uniform algebra under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{(z,w) \in \mathbb{T}^2} |f(z, w)|.$$

For more information, one can see [4]. It follows from [3, Corollary 15.18] that the  $C^*$ -enveloping  $C_e^*(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)$  is  $C(\mathfrak{S})$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}$  is the Shilov boundary of  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . It follows from [6, Section 6] that  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathbb{T}^2$ .

In this paper, we will generalize  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  to high dimension, that is, define the uniform algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{S}$  in  $n$ -torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . Then we give the Gelfand space of  $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{S}$ . Furthermore, we will give the classification of Gelfand space of  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  in section 3.

### 2. Uniform Algebras on $n$ -torus

For any  $\mathbf{m} = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$  and  $\vartheta = (\theta_0, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) \in [0, 2\pi]^{n+1}$ , let

$$\mathbf{m} \cdot \vartheta := m_0\theta_0 + m_1\theta_1 + \dots + m_n\theta_n.$$

For every function  $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ , its *Fourier coefficients*  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{m})$  defined by

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{m}) = \int_{[0,2\pi]} \dots \int_{[0,2\pi]} f(\theta) e^{-im \cdot \theta} d\theta_0 d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n.$$

One can see [2] for more information about the Fourier analysis on  $n$ -torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ .

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be positive irrational numbers such that  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are linear independent in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{(m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} : m_0 + m_1 a_1 + \dots + m_n a_n \geq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{S} = \{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) : \hat{f}(\mathbf{m}) = 0 \text{ if } \mathbf{m} \notin \mathfrak{S}\}.$$

By [2, Proposition 3.2.7], it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{S}$  is a uniform algebra. Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{S}$  is a Dirichlet algebra, that is,  $\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{S} + \mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{S}^*$  is dense in  $C(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$  (the reason is similar to the argument in [4, p. 91]).

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  denote the closure of  $\mathbb{D}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Put

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^{n+1}) = \{f \in C(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) : \hat{f}(\mathbf{m}) = 0 \text{ if } m_i \leq 0 \text{ for some } i\}.$$

Suppose that  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , recall that  $h(X)$  is the set consisting of  $y$  such that  $|p(y)| \leq \max_{x \in X} |p(x)|$  for any polynomial  $p$  (see [6, Definition 1.1]).

Let  $p$  be any polynomial and assume that

$$p(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=0}^k b_j x_0^{m_j^0} x_1^{m_j^1} \dots x_n^{m_j^n},$$

where  $m_0^j, m_1^j, \dots, m_n^j \in \mathbb{N}$ . If the function  $|p|$  attains its maximum on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1}$  at  $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and there exists  $i$  such that  $|z_i| < 1$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $|z_0| < 1$  and  $|z_i| = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since

$$\max_{\mathfrak{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1}} |p(\mathfrak{z})| = |p(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^k b_j z_0^{m_j^0} z_1^{m_j^1} \dots z_n^{m_j^n} \right|,$$

by Maximum Modulus Principle one can derive that

$$\max_{\mathfrak{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1}} |p(\mathfrak{z})| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^k (b_j z_1^{m_j^1} \dots z_n^{m_j^n}) z_0^{m_j^0} \right| < \left| \sum_{j=0}^k (b_j z_1^{m_j^1} \dots z_n^{m_j^n}) x'^{m_j^0} \right|,$$

where  $|x'| = 1$ . Therefore, we have that

$$\max_{\mathfrak{z} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1}} |p(\mathfrak{z})| = |p(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)| < |p(x', z_1, \dots, z_n)|,$$

which contradicts to that  $|p|$  attain its maximum at  $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The Gelfand space for  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^{n+1})$  is  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1}$ .*

*Proof.* The Gelfand space for  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^{n+1})$  is  $h(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$  (see [6, Theorem 1.1]). Moreover,  $h(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$  is  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1}$  by the above discription. ■

Since  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^{n+1}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}} \subset C(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ , we have that

$$M_{C(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})} \subset M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}} \subset M_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^{n+1})}.$$

Let

$$\mathbb{G} = \{(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1} : |z_1| = |z_0|^{a_1}, |z_2| = |z_0|^{a_2}, \dots, |z_n| = |z_0|^{a_n}\},$$

and we will prove that  $\mathbb{G} = M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{z} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n), \mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{G}$  be such that  $|z_0|, |w_0| \neq 1$  and  $z_0, w_0 \neq 0$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  and  $q, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  such that*

- (i)  $|x_1| = |x_i| < 1$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (ii)  $|y_1| = |y_i| < 1$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;

- (iii)  $0 < a_i - \frac{p_i}{q} < \varepsilon$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (iv)  $|x_1^q - z_0| + |x_1^{p_1} - z_1| + \dots + |x_n^{p_n} - z_n| < \varepsilon$ ;
- (v)  $|y_1^q - w_0| + |y_1^{p_1} - w_1| + \dots + |y_n^{p_n} - w_n| < \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q = 2^k$  and  $L = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : x^q = z_0\}$  and  $J = \{y \in \mathbb{C} : y^q = z_0\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ||x|^p - |z_i|| &= ||z_0|^{\frac{p}{q}} - |z_0|^{a_i}| \quad \forall x \in L; \\ ||y|^p - |w_i|| &= ||w_0|^{\frac{p}{q}} - |w_0|^{a_i}|, \quad \forall x \in L. \end{aligned}$$

One can choose odd numbers  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  such that  $0 < a_i - \frac{p_i}{q} < \frac{1}{2^k}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since the maximum common factor for  $p_i$  and  $2^k$  is 1, there exists  $x_i \in L$  and  $y_i \in J$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg(x_i^{p_i}) - \arg(z_i)| &\leq \frac{2\pi}{2^k}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n; \\ |\arg(y_i^{p_i}) - \arg(w_i)| &\leq \frac{2\pi}{2^k}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the proof is complete when  $k$  is sufficiently large. ■

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j x_0^{m_0^j} x_1^{m_1^j} \dots x_n^{m_n^j}$ , where  $m_0^j + m_1^j a_1 + m_2^j a_2 + \dots + m_n^j a_n > 0$  for every  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and if  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  satisfy

- (i)  $t_i < a_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (ii)  $m_0^j + m_1^j t_1 + m_2^j t_2 + \dots + m_n^j t_n > 0$  for each  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;
- (iii) for any subset  $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have that

$$j_0 + \sum_{i \in I} m_i^j a_i + \sum_{i \notin I} m_i^j t_i > 0.$$

Then  $f$  is continuous at  $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathfrak{z} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{D}^{n+1} : |z_0|^{a_i} \leq |z_i| \leq |z_0|^{t_i} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

*Proof.* We only need to show that  $f$  is continuous on 0, that is,

$$\lim_{\mathfrak{z} \in \mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow 0} z_0^{m_0^j} z_1^{m_1^j} \dots z_n^{m_n^j} = 0$$

for every  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We will divide into two cases.

*Case 1:* Suppose that  $m_i^j \geq 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} |z_0^{m_0^j} z_1^{m_1^j} \dots z_n^{m_n^j}| &= |z_0|^{m_0^j} |z_1|^{m_1^j} \dots |z_n|^{m_n^j} \\ &\leq |z_0|^{m_0^j + m_1^j t_1 + m_2^j t_2 + \dots + m_n^j t_n} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2:* Suppose that there exists  $i$  such that  $m_i^j < 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $m_1^j < 0$  and the others are positive. Then we have that

$$|z_0^{m_0^j} z_1^{m_1^j} \dots z_n^{m_n^j}| \leq |z_0|^{m_0^j + m_1^j a_1 + m_2^j t_2 + \dots + m_n^j t_n} \rightarrow 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

By Theorem 2.3, it is easy to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.4.** *There exist  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  satisfy the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2.3, and for any  $t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_n$  with  $t_i \leq t'_i < a_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), we have that  $t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_n$  also satisfy the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2.3.*

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j x_0^{m_0^j} x_1^{m_1^j} \dots x_n^{m_n^j}$  satisfy  $m_0^j + m_1^j a_1 + m_2^j a_2 + \dots + m_n^j a_n \geq 0$  for every  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then the maximum of  $|f|$  on  $\mathbb{G}$  is attained at some  $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  with  $|z_0| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $\mathfrak{z} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{G}$  with  $0 < |z_0| < 1$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows from Theorem 2.2 that there exist  $q, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  such that  $|y_i| = |y_1|$  and  $t_i < \frac{p_i}{q} < a_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (that is,  $(y_1^q, y_1^{p_1}, y_2^{p_2}, \dots, y_n^{p_n}) \in \mathcal{Q}$ ) and

$$|z_0 - y_1^q| + |z_1 - y_1^{p_1}| + |z_2 - y_2^{p_2}| + \dots + |z_n - y_n^{p_n}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Here,  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  are defined in Theorem 2.4. Since  $y_i = e^{i\theta_i} y_1$ , one can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(y_1^q, y_1^{p_1}, y_2^{p_2}, \dots, y_n^{p_n}) \\ &= f(y_1^q, y_1^{p_1}, (e^{i\theta_2} y_1)^{p_2}, \dots, (e^{i\theta_n} y_1)^{p_n}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k c_j (y_1^q)^{m_0^j} (y_1^{p_1})^{m_1^j} ((e^{i\theta_2} y_1)^{p_2})^{m_2^j} \dots ((e^{i\theta_n} y_1)^{p_n})^{m_n^j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k c'_j y_1^{qm_0^j + p_1 m_1^j + \dots + p_n m_n^j}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.4 that  $qm_0^j + p_1 m_1^j + \dots + p_n m_n^j \geq 0$  for any  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ . By the Maximum Modulus Principle, there exists  $t_0$  with  $|t_0| = 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(y_1^q, y_1^{p_1}, y_2^{p_2}, \dots, y_n^{p_n}) \\ &< \sum_{j=0}^k c'_j t_0^{qm_0^j + p_1 m_1^j + \dots + p_n m_n^j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k c_j (t_0^q)^{j_0} (t_0^{p_1})^{j_1} ((e^{i\theta_2} t_0)^{p_2})^{j_2} \dots ((e^{i\theta_n} t_0)^{p_n})^{j_n} \\ &= f(t_0^q, t_0^{p_1}, (e^{i\theta_2} t_0)^{p_2}, \dots, (e^{i\theta_n} t_0)^{p_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(t_0^q, t_0^{p_1}, (e^{i\theta_2}t_0)^{p_2}, \dots, (e^{i\theta_n}t_0)^{p_n}) \in \mathbb{T}^{n+1}$ , the proof is complete. ■

It follows from Theorem 2.5 that  $\mathbb{G} \subset M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}}$ , and we will prove that  $\mathbb{G} = M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Suppose that  $\mathfrak{z} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1}$  and  $\mathfrak{z} \notin \mathbb{G}$  and there exist some  $i$  such that  $z_i = 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{z} \notin M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}}$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume that  $\mathfrak{z} = (z_0, 0, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  with  $z_0 \neq 0$ . Suppose on the contrary that  $\mathfrak{z} \in M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}}$ , choose a function  $f_0(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_0^{m_0} x_1^{m_1}$ , where  $m_0 > 0, m_1 < 0$  and  $m_0 + a_1 m_1 > 0$ . Then  $f_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}$ . Put  $g_0(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^{-m_1}$ . Then we have that

$$z_0^{m_0} = \mathfrak{z}(f_0 g_0) = \mathfrak{z}(f_0)\mathfrak{z}(g_0) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction since  $z_0^{m_0} \neq 0$ . ■

**Corollary 2.7.** *Suppose that  $\mathfrak{z} = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}^{n+1}, \mathfrak{z} \notin \mathbb{G}$  and  $z_i \neq 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Then there exist  $m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n$  such that  $m_0 + a_1 m_1 + \dots + a_n m_n \geq 0$  and the function  $g(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_0^{m_0} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}$  satisfies  $|g(\mathfrak{z})| > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{z} \notin \mathbb{G}$ , there exists  $i$  such that  $|z_0|_i^a \neq |z_i|$ . By [5, p. 12], if  $m_0 + a_i m_i > 0$ , the function  $f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_0^{m_0} x_i^{m_i}$  satisfies that  $|f(\mathfrak{z})| > 1$ , which implies that  $\mathfrak{z} \notin M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}}$ . ■

**Corollary 2.8.**  $M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}} = \mathbb{G}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 that  $M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}} \subset \mathbb{G}$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{G} = M_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{S}}}$ . ■

### 3. Classifications of the Gelfand Space of $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$

In this section, let  $\alpha$  be a positive irrational number, we will classify the Gelfand space  $M_{\alpha}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$ .

At first, we will define the equivalent relation in the Gelfand space  $M_{\mathcal{A}}$  of the uniform algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a uniform algebra and  $\phi, \theta \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We say that  $\phi \sim \psi$  if exist  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{c} < \frac{\mu(\theta)}{\mu(\phi)} < c$$

*for all  $\mu \in Re(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\mu > 0$ . The relation  $\sim$  is a equivalent relation, and the equivalent classes induced by  $\sim$  are called parts (see [1, p. 142]).*

*Remark 3.2.* It follows from [6, p. 89] that  $\{0\}$  is a singleton part.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\theta = (z_0, z_0^\alpha), \phi = (w_0, w_0^\alpha) \in M_\alpha$ . Suppose that  $0 < z_0 < w_0 < 1$  and  $\frac{2w_0}{3-w_0} < z_0 < w_0$ . Then we have that  $\theta \sim \phi$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j x_0^{m_j^0} x_1^{m_j^1}$  be such that  $\text{Ref} > 0$  and  $m_j^0 + \alpha m_j^1 \geq 0$  for any  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . By Theorem 2.2, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\tilde{z}, \tilde{w}, q, p$  such that

- (a) the maximum common factor of  $p, q$  is 1,
- (b)  $0 < \alpha - \frac{p}{q} < \varepsilon$ ,
- (c)  $|\tilde{z}^q - z_0| + |\tilde{z}^p - z_1| < \varepsilon$ ,
- (d)  $|\tilde{w}^q - w_0| + |\tilde{w}^p - w_1| < \varepsilon$ .

Note that  $\tilde{z}, \tilde{w}$  are the  $q$ th roots of  $z, w$ , respectively. Choose  $m_j^0$  and  $m_j^1$  such that  $m_j^0 q + m_j^1 p \geq 0$  for all  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then one can derive that  $g(t) := f(t^q, t^p)$  is a polynomial with respect to  $t$ . Let  $u(t) = \text{Reg}(t)$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\text{Ref}(\tilde{z}^q, \tilde{z}^p)}{\text{Ref}(\tilde{w}^q, \tilde{w}^p)} = \frac{\text{Reg}(\tilde{z})}{\text{Reg}(\tilde{w})} = \frac{u(\tilde{z})}{u(\tilde{w})}.$$

Since  $t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}$  converge to  $t^{-1}$  uniformly on  $[z_0, w_0]$ , there exists  $q$  such that  $t^{\frac{1}{q}-1} < \frac{2}{z_0}$  for all  $t \in [z_0, w_0]$ , which implies that

$$\tilde{w} - \tilde{z} = w_0^{\frac{1}{q}} - z_0^{\frac{1}{q}} = (w_0 - z_0) \frac{1}{q} t^{\frac{1}{q}-1} < (w_0 - z_0) \frac{2}{q z_0},$$

where  $z_0 < \tilde{t} < w_0$ . Note that  $\frac{2w_0}{3-w_0} \leq z_0 < w_0$ , we have that

$$\tilde{w} - \tilde{z} < \frac{2w_0}{q z_0} - \frac{2}{q} \leq \frac{3-w_0}{q} - \frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{q}(1-w_0),$$

and we also have

$$1 - \tilde{w} > \frac{1}{q}(1-w_0).$$

Since  $u(t)$  is harmonic on plane and positive on  $\mathbb{D}$ , by Harnack Inequality (see [7, Theorem 11.11]), one can derive that

$$\frac{\frac{1-w_0}{q} - (\tilde{w} - \tilde{z})}{\frac{1-w_0}{q} + \tilde{w} - \tilde{z}} u(\tilde{w}) \leq u(\tilde{z}) \leq \frac{\frac{1-w_0}{q} + \tilde{w} - \tilde{z}}{\frac{1-w_0}{q} - (\tilde{w} - \tilde{z})} u(\tilde{w}).$$

It follows from  $\tilde{w} - \tilde{z} < \frac{2}{z_0}(w_0 - z_0) < \frac{1}{q}(1-w_0)$  that

$$\frac{1-w_0 - \frac{2}{z_0}(w_0 - z_0)}{1-w_0 + \frac{2}{z_0}(w_0 - z_0)} u(\tilde{w}) \leq u(\tilde{z}) \leq \frac{1-w_0 + \frac{2}{z_0}(w_0 - z_0)}{1-w_0 - \frac{2}{z_0}(w_0 - z_0)} u(\tilde{w}).$$

Let

$$c = \frac{1-w_0 - \frac{2}{z_0}(w_0 - z_0)}{1-w_0 + \frac{2}{z_0}(w_0 - z_0)}.$$

Then one can derive that

$$c \leq \frac{f(\tilde{z}^q, \tilde{z}^p)}{f(\tilde{w}^q, \tilde{w}^p)} \leq \frac{1}{c}.$$

Let  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . We have that  $c \leq \frac{f(z_0, z_1)}{f(w_0, w_1)} \leq \frac{1}{c}$ .

For the general  $g \in \text{Re}\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  with  $g > 0$ , the Cesaro means  $g_n$  converges uniformly to  $g$ . Since for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g_n$  satisfies that

$$c \leq \frac{g_n(z_0, z_1)}{g_n(w_0, w_1)} \leq \frac{1}{c},$$

one can derive that  $g$  satisfies that.

$$c \leq \frac{g(z_0, z_1)}{g(w_0, w_1)} \leq \frac{1}{c}. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Suppose that  $\theta = (z_0, z_0^\alpha), \phi = (w_0, w_0^\alpha)$  are two elements in  $M_\alpha$  such that  $0 < z_0 < w_0 < 1$ . Then  $\theta \sim \phi$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3, if  $0 < x < y < 1$  such that  $\frac{2y}{3-y} < x \leq y$ , then  $(x, x^\alpha) \sim (y, y^\alpha)$ . For the function defined by

$$\lambda(t) = t - \frac{2t}{3-t} = \frac{t-t^2}{3-t} \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

$\lambda(t)$  has a positive minimum  $\delta$  on  $[\frac{z_0}{2}, \frac{1-w_0}{2}]$ . It follows from the transitivity of  $\sim$  that  $\theta \sim \phi$ . ■

## References

- [1] T.W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, AMS Chelsea Publishing Series **311**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [2] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, **3rd** Ed., Springer, New York, 2014.
- [3] V. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [4] J.R. Peters and P. Sanyatit, Isomorphism of uniform algebras on the 2-torus, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **167** (2019) 89–106.
- [5] P. Sanyatit, *Isomorphism of Uniform Algebras on the 2-Torus*, Ph.D. Thesis, Iowa State University, 2016.
- [6] J. Wermer, Banach algebras and analytic functions, *Adv. Math.* **1** (1) (1961) 51–102.
- [7] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, 1987.