

On Fractional Integrodifferential Equation Involving Caputo-Hadamard Derivative with Hadamard Fractional Integral Boundary Conditions

Subramanian Muthaiah

Department of Mathematics, KPR Institute of Engineering and Technology,
Coimbatore, India

Email: subramanianmcbe@gmail.com

Manigandan Murugesan

Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalaya College of Arts and
Science, Coimbatore, India

Email: yogimani22@gmail.com

Sivasamy Ramasamy

Department of Science and Humanities, M. Kumarasamy College of Engineering,
Karur, India

Email: sivasamymaths@gmail.com

Nandha Gopal Thangaraj

Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalaya College of Arts and
Science, Coimbatore, India

Email: nandhu792002@yahoo.co.in

Received 13 July 2019

Accepted 25 August 2021

Communicated by Peichu Hu

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2020): 26A33, 34A08, 34B15

Abstract. The primary objective of this paper is to validate the existence and uniqueness of solutions for boundary value problem (BVP) of Caputo-Hadamard fractional integro-differential equation (CHFIDE) supplemented with nonlocal fractional integral

boundary conditions. The convergence of the problem has been validated with suitable examples.

Keywords: Caputo-Hadamard fractional derivative (CHFD); Integrodifferential equation; Hadamard fractional integral (HFI); Integral boundary conditions; Existence; Fixed point.

1. Introduction

Ever since the evolution of fractional differential equations (FDEs) there have been intense efforts to raise the theoretical and application aspects in various fields like physics, chemistry, biology, engineering sciences etc. Our researchers have indeed given numerous contributions in these areas, for details we refer the reader to the papers [11, 12, 13, 19, 20] and references therein. The BVP has been established in recent years with a strong connection to the development of classical calculus. Moreover, some analytical results and applications of fractional calculus have been outlined in their historical context, for instance, see [3, 18, 21, 24, 16, 7, 23, 6, 5] and the references cited therein. It has been seen that the greater part of the work on the point is concerned about Riemann-Liouville (RL) or Caputo type FDEs. Other than these fractional derivatives, another sort of fractional derivatives established in the literature is the fractional derivative because Hadamard made it known in 1892 [9], which contrasts from the previously mentioned derivatives as in the kernel of the integral in the delination of Hadamard fractional derivative (HFD) comprises logarithmic function of arbitrary exponent. A point by point depiction of HFD and integral have been discovered in [2, 26, 25]. Recently, much interest has been created in establishing the existence of solutions for fractional BVP with multipoint, HFI, RLFI, Erdelyi-Kober fractional integral conditions. Ma et.al in [14] discussed a Lyapunovtype inequality with the HFD. Similarly, Wang et al. in [27] studied nonlocal Hadamard fractional BVP with Hadamard integral and discrete boundary conditions. Recently, in [1], the author discussed FDEs involving HFD with three-point boundary conditions. In 2012, Jarad et al. modified the fractional derivative of Hadamard type into a more suitable one with physically interpretable initial conditions comparable to the singles in the Caputo setting and named it fractional derivative Caputo-Hadamard type. Refer to [10] for defining the properties of the modified derivative. We refer the reader to the articles of [22, 15, 17, 28, 8, 4] for certain concepts in the theory of Caputo-Hadamard FDEs, and the references cited therein. In this paper, we introduce nonlocal integral boundary conditions on the CHFIDE of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C\mathcal{D}^\varrho z(\iota) &= \xi g(\iota, z(\iota)) + \zeta {}^H\mathcal{J}^\vartheta h(\iota, z(\iota)), \quad \iota \in [1, T], \\ z(1) &= 0, \quad z'(1) = 0, \quad z(T) = \omega {}^H\mathcal{J}^\varsigma z(\varphi), \quad 1 < \varphi < T, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where ${}^C\mathcal{D}^\varrho$ denotes the CHFD of order $2 < \varrho \leq 3$, ${}^H\mathcal{J}^\vartheta$, ${}^H\mathcal{J}^\varsigma$ denotes the HFIs of order $1 < \vartheta, \varsigma < 2$, and g, h are given continuous functions and ω is positive

real constant. The rest of the paper is organised as follows: The Section 2 is devoted to some fundamental concepts of fractional calculus with basic lemmas related to the given problem. The existence and uniqueness results, based on Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative (LSNA), Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem (KFPT), Banach fixed point theorem (BFPT) are obtained in Section 3. The validation of the results is done by providing examples in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

We begin with some basic definitions, properties and lemmas with results [8, 10].

Definition 2.1. The left and right HFI's of order $\varrho > 0$ are respectively defined by

$$({}^H \mathcal{J}_{b+}^{\varrho} g)(\iota) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_b^{\iota} \left(\log \frac{\iota}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho-1} g(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \quad b < \iota < c$$

and

$$({}^H \mathcal{J}_{c-}^{\varrho} g)(\iota) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_{\iota}^c \left(\log \frac{\sigma}{\iota} \right)^{\varrho-1} g(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \quad b < \iota < c.$$

Definition 2.2. The left and right-sided HFDs of order ϱ with $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) \geq 0$ on (b, c) and $b < \iota < c$ are defined by

$$({}^H \mathcal{D}_{b+}^{\varrho} g)(\iota) = \left(\iota \frac{d}{d\iota} \right)^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\varrho)} \int_b^{\iota} \left(\log \frac{\iota}{\sigma} \right)^{n-\varrho-1} \frac{g(\sigma)}{\sigma} d\sigma,$$

and

$$({}^H \mathcal{D}_{c-}^{\varrho} g)(\iota) = \left(-\iota \frac{d}{d\iota} \right)^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\varrho)} \int_{\iota}^c \left(\log \frac{\sigma}{\iota} \right)^{n-\varrho-1} \frac{g(\sigma)}{\sigma} d\sigma,$$

where $n = [\mathbb{R}(\varrho) + 1]$.

Lemma 2.3. If $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) > 0$, $\mathbb{R}(\varsigma) > 0$ and $0 < b < c < \infty$, then we have

$$\left({}^H \mathcal{J}_{b+}^{\varrho} \left(\log \frac{\sigma}{b} \right)^{\varsigma-1} \right)(\iota) = \frac{\Gamma(\varsigma)}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varrho)} \left(\log \frac{\iota}{b} \right)^{\varsigma + \varrho - 1}$$

and

$$\left({}^H \mathcal{J}_{c-}^{\varrho} \left(\log \frac{c}{\sigma} \right)^{\varsigma-1} \right)(\iota) = \frac{\Gamma(\varsigma)}{\Gamma(\varsigma + \varrho)} \left(\log \frac{c}{\iota} \right)^{\varsigma + \varrho - 1}.$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $\varrho, \varsigma \ni \mathbb{R}(\varrho) > \mathbb{R}(\varsigma) > 0$. If $0 < b < c < \infty$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, then for $g \in \mathcal{L}^p(b, c)$,

$${}^H \mathcal{J}_{b+}^{\varrho} {}^H \mathcal{J}_{b+}^{\varsigma} g = {}^H \mathcal{J}_{b+}^{\varrho + \varsigma} g$$

and

$${}^H\mathcal{J}_{c-}^{\varrho} {}^H\mathcal{J}_{c-}^{\varsigma} g = {}^H\mathcal{J}_{c-}^{\varrho+\varsigma} g.$$

Definition 2.5. Let $0 < b < c < \infty$, $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) \geq 0$, $n = [\mathbb{R}(\varrho) + 1]$. The left and right CHFDS of order ϱ are respectively defined by

$$({}^C\mathcal{D}_{b+}^{\varrho}g)(\iota) = \mathcal{D}_{b+}^{\varrho} \left[g(\sigma) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\delta^k g(b)}{k!} \left(\log \frac{\sigma}{b} \right)^k \right](\iota),$$

and

$$({}^C\mathcal{D}_{c-}^{\varrho}g)(\iota) = \mathcal{D}_{c-}^{\varrho} \left[g(\sigma) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k \delta^k g(c)}{k!} \left(\log \frac{c}{\sigma} \right)^k \right](\iota).$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) > 0$, $n = [\mathbb{R}(\varrho) + 1]$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}[b, c]$. If $\mathbb{R}(\varrho) \neq 0$ or $\varrho \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$({}^C\mathcal{D}_{b+}^{\varrho} \mathcal{J}_{b+}^{\varrho} g)(\iota) = g(\iota), \quad ({}^C\mathcal{D}_{c-}^{\varrho} \mathcal{J}_{c-}^{\varrho} g)(\iota) = g(\iota).$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $g \in \mathcal{AC}_{\delta}^n[b, c]$ or $\mathcal{C}_{\delta}^n[b, c]$ and $\varrho > 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{J}_{b+}^{\varrho} ({}^C\mathcal{D}_{b+}^{\varrho} g)(\iota) = \left[g(\iota) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\delta^k g(b)}{k!} \left(\log \frac{\iota}{b} \right)^k \right],$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_{c-}^{\varrho} ({}^C\mathcal{D}_{c-}^{\varrho} g)(\iota) = \left[g(\iota) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\delta^k g(c)}{k!} \left(\log \frac{c}{\iota} \right)^k \right].$$

Lemma 2.8. For any $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R})$, $z \in \mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R})$, the function z is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C\mathcal{D}^{\varrho} z(\iota) &= \hat{f}(\iota), \quad \iota \in [1, T], \\ z(1) &= 0, \quad z'(1) = 0, \quad z(T) = \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varsigma} z(\varphi), \quad 1 < \varphi < T, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

if and only if

$$z(\iota) = {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\iota) + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} \hat{f}(\varphi) - {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right], \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Lambda = (\log T)^2 - \frac{2\omega(\log \varphi)^{\varsigma+2}}{\Gamma(\varsigma+3)}, \quad (4)$$

Proof. Applying the operator ${}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho}$ on the linear FDE in (2), we obtain

$$z(\iota) = {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(\iota) + a_0 + a_1 \log \iota + a_2 (\log \iota)^2, \quad (5)$$

where a_0, a_1 and $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, are arbitrary unknown constants. Using the boundary conditions (2) in (5), we get $a_0, a_1 = 0$,

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[\omega {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} \hat{f}(\varphi) - {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho} \hat{f}(T) \right].$$

Substituting the value of a_2 in (5), we get the solution (3). \blacksquare

Theorem 2.9. (LSNA) Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be completely continuous operator (i.e., a map restricted to any bounded set in \mathcal{Q} is compact). Let

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}) = \{z \in \mathcal{Q} : z = \nu \mathcal{G}(z) \text{ for some } 0 < \nu < 1\}.$$

Then either the set $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})$ is unbounded, or \mathcal{G} has atleast one fixed point.

Theorem 2.10. (KPFT) Let \mathcal{W} be a closed, bounded, convex and nonempty subset of a Banach space \mathcal{Y} . Let \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} be the operators \ni . Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $\mathcal{U}x + \mathcal{V}y \in \mathcal{W}$ whenever $x, y \in \mathcal{W}$;
- (ii) \mathcal{U} is a compact and continuous;
- (iii) \mathcal{V} is a contraction mapping. Then there exists $\iota \in \mathcal{W} \ni \iota = \mathcal{U}\iota + \mathcal{V}\iota$.

Theorem 2.11. (BFPT) Let \mathcal{Q} be a Banach space, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ be closed and $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ a strict contraction, i.e., $|\mathcal{G}x - \mathcal{G}y| \leq \kappa|x - y|$ for some $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$. Then \mathcal{G} has a unique fixed point.

3. Main Results

We define space $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R})$ endowed with the norm $\|z\| = \sup\{|z(\iota)|, \iota \in [1, T]\}$. Obviously $(\mathcal{P}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. In view of Lemma 2.8, we interpret an operator $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(z)(\iota) = & {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho} \phi_z(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\iota) + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\omega {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} \phi_z(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\varphi) \right. \\ & \left. - {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho} \phi_z(\sigma, z(\sigma))(T) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_z(\sigma, z(\sigma)) &= \xi g(\sigma, z(\sigma)) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^\vartheta h(\sigma, z(\sigma)), \\ \mathcal{T}(z)(\iota) &= \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho g(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\iota) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} h(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\iota) \\ &\quad + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} g(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\varphi) + \zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} h(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\varphi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho g(\sigma, z(\sigma))(T) - \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} h(\sigma, z(\sigma))(T) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

In the sequel, we use the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho g(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\iota) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^\iota \left(\log \frac{\iota}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho-1} g(\sigma, z(\sigma)) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \\ {}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} h(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\iota) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta)} \int_1^\iota \left(\log \frac{\iota}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\vartheta-1} h(\sigma, z(\sigma)) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \\ {}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} g(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma)} \int_1^\varphi \left(\log \frac{\varphi}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\varsigma-1} g(\sigma, z(\sigma)) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \\ {}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} h(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta)} \int_1^\varphi \left(\log \frac{\varphi}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta-1} h(\sigma, z(\sigma)) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \\ {}^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho g(\sigma, z(\sigma))(T) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_1^T \left(\log \frac{T}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho-1} g(\sigma, z(\sigma)) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \\ {}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} h(\sigma, z(\sigma))(T) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta)} \int_1^T \left(\log \frac{T}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\vartheta-1} h(\sigma, z(\sigma)) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}.\end{aligned}$$

Suitable for computation, we represent:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \left(\frac{\xi(\log T)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{\zeta(\log T)^{\varrho+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta+1)} \right) \left[\frac{(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} + 1 \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{\omega(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{\xi(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+1)} + \frac{\zeta(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta+1)} \right) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

$$\eta_1 = \left(\frac{\xi(\log T)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} \right) \left[\frac{(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} + 1 \right] + \frac{\omega(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\frac{\xi(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+1)} \right],\quad (8)$$

$$\eta_2 = \left(\frac{\zeta(\log T)^{\varrho+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta+1)} \right) \left[\frac{(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} + 1 \right] + \frac{\omega(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\frac{\zeta(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta+1)} \right].\quad (9)$$

First, we prove the existence result is based on LSNA.

Theorem 3.1. *Let us speculate that $g, h : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions and the following conditions hold:*

- (\mathcal{E}_1) There exists a function $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$, and $\psi_1, \psi_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ nondecreasing $\ni |g(\iota, z)| \leq q_1(\iota)\psi_1(\|z\|)$, $|h(\iota, z)| \leq q_2(\iota)\psi_2(\|z\|)$ for each $(\iota, z) \in [1, T] \times \mathbb{R}$;
- (\mathcal{E}_2) There exists a number $\mathcal{L} > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\|q_1\|\psi_1(\mathcal{L}) + \|q_2\|\psi_2(\mathcal{L})} > \xi^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) \\ + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) + \mathcal{G},$$

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) + \zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) \right. \\ \left. + \xi^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) \right].$$

Then there exists at least one solution for BVP (1) on $[1, T]$.

Proof. To begin with, the operator $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is described by (6). Next, we demonstrate that \mathcal{T} maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $\mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R})$. For a positive number θ , let $\mathcal{B}_\theta = \{z \in \mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R}) : \|z\| \leq \theta\}$ be a bounded set in $\mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R})$. Then, for each $z \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$, we have

$$|(\mathcal{T}z)(\iota)| \leq \xi^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota) \\ + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) + \zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) \right. \\ \left. + \xi^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) \right] \\ \leq \psi_1(\|z\|) \xi \left\{ {}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho} q_1(\sigma)(T) + \frac{(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} q_1(\sigma)(\varphi) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + {}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho} q_1(\sigma)(T) \right] \right\} \psi_2(\|z\|) \zeta \left\{ {}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} q_2(\sigma)(T) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} q_2(\sigma)(\varphi) + {}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} q_2(\sigma)(T) \right] \right\} \\ \leq |\xi| \|q_1\| \psi_1(\|z\|) \eta_1 + |\zeta| \|q_2\| \psi_2(\|z\|) \eta_2.$$

We shall proceed to prove that the operator \mathcal{T} maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of $\mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R})$. For $\iota_1, \iota_2 \in [1, T]$ with $\iota_1 < \iota_2$, and $z \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$

is a bounded set of $\mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\mathcal{T}z)(\iota_2) - (\mathcal{T}z)(\iota_1)| \\
& \leq |{}^H\mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota_2) - {}^H\mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota_1)| \\
& \quad + |{}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota_2) - {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota_1)| \\
& \quad + \frac{|(\log \iota_2)^2 - (\log \iota_1)^2|}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) \right. \\
& \quad + \zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) + \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) \\
& \quad \left. + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) \right] \\
& \leq \frac{\psi_1(\|\theta\|)}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \left| \int_0^{\iota_1} \left[\left(\log \frac{\iota_2}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho-1} - \left(\log \frac{\iota_1}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho-1} \right] q_1(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\iota_1}^{\iota_2} \left(\log \frac{\iota_2}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho-1} q_1(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right| \\
& \quad + \frac{\psi_2(\|\theta\|)}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta)} \left| \int_0^{\iota_1} \left[\left(\log \frac{\iota_2}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\vartheta-1} - \left(\log \frac{\iota_1}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\vartheta-1} \right] q_2(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\iota_1}^{\iota_2} \left(\log \frac{\iota_2}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\vartheta-1} q_2(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right| \\
& \quad + \frac{|(\log \iota_2)^2 - (\log \iota_1)^2|}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} q_1(\sigma)(\varphi) + \zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} q_2(\sigma)(\varphi) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho q_1(\sigma)(T) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} q_2(\sigma)(T) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that RHS of the above inequality tends to zero independent of $z \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$ as $\iota_2 - \iota_1 \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, the operator $\mathcal{T}(z)$ is equicontinuous and consequently, by Arzela-Ascoli theorem, it is completely continuous. Next, we demonstrate that the boundedness of the set of all solutions to equations $z = \nu \mathcal{T}(z)$, $0 < \nu < 1$. Let z be a solution. Then, for $\iota \in [1, T]$, and using the computations in proving that \mathcal{T} is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\mathcal{T}z)(\iota)| & \leq \psi_1(\|z\|) \xi \left\{ {}^H\mathcal{J}^\varrho q_1(\sigma)(T) + \frac{(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} q_1(\sigma)(\varphi) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + {}^H\mathcal{J}^\varrho q_1(\sigma)(T) \right] \right\} + \psi_2(\|z\|) \zeta \left\{ {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} q_2(\sigma)(T) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} q_2(\sigma)(\varphi) + {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} q_2(\sigma)(T) \right] \right\} \\
& = \psi_1(\|z\|) {}^H\mathcal{J}^\varrho q_1(\sigma)(T) + \psi_2(\|z\|) {}^H\mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} q_2(\sigma)(T) + \mathcal{G}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (\mathcal{E}_2) , there exists $\mathcal{L} \ni \|z\| \neq \mathcal{L}$. Let us set

$$\mathcal{M} = \{z \in \mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R}) : \|z\| < \mathcal{L}\}.$$

Bearing in mind that the operator $\mathcal{T} : \overline{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R})$ is continuous and completely continuous. From the choice of \mathcal{W} , there is no $z \in \partial\mathcal{W} \ni z = \nu \mathcal{T}(z)$, $0 < \nu \leq 1$. Consequently, by Theorem 2.9, we deduce that \mathcal{T} has a fixed point $z \in \overline{\mathcal{W}}$ which is a solution of the problem (1). ■

Next, we prove the existence result is based on KFPT.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $g, h : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions. Then, the following conditions hold:*

$$(\mathcal{E}_4) \quad |g(\iota, p_1) - g(\iota, p_2)| \leq \mathcal{S}_1 |p_1 - p_2|, |h(\iota, p_1) - h(\iota, p_2)| \leq \mathcal{S}_2 |p_1 - p_2|, \forall \iota \in [1, T], p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 > 0 \text{ with } \mathcal{S} = \max\{\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2\}.$$

$$(\mathcal{E}_5) \quad |g(\iota, z(\iota))| \leq \varpi_1(\iota), |h(\iota, z(\iota))| \leq \varpi_2(\iota) \text{ for } (\iota, z) \in [1, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } \varpi_1, \varpi_2 \in \mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R}^+) \text{ with } \|\varpi\| = \max_{\iota \in [1, T]} |\varpi_i(\iota)|, i = 1, 2.$$

Then the BVP (1) has at least one solution on $[1, T]$ if $\mathcal{S}\hat{\Delta} < 1$, where

$$\hat{\Delta} = \Delta - \left(\frac{\xi(\log T)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{\zeta(\log T)^{\varrho+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho + \vartheta + 1)} \right), \quad (10)$$

Δ is given by (7) and $\sup_{\iota \in [1, T]} |\varpi_1(\iota)| = \|\varpi_i\|, i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let us interpret $\mathcal{B}_\theta = \{z \in \mathcal{P} : \|z\| \leq \theta\}$, where $\theta \geq \|\varpi\|\Delta$. To prove the hypothesis of Theorem 2.10, we split the operator \mathcal{T} given by (6) as $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2$ on \mathcal{B}_θ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_1 z)(\iota) &= \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho g(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\iota) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} h(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\iota), \\ (\mathcal{T}_2 z)(\iota) &= \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} g(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\varphi) + \zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} h(\sigma, z(\sigma))(\varphi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho g(\sigma, z(\sigma))(T) - \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} h(\sigma, z(\sigma))(T) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For $\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\mathcal{T}_1 \hat{z}_1)(\iota) + (\mathcal{T}_2 \hat{z}_2)(\iota)| \\ &\leq \sup_{\iota \in [1, T]} \left\{ \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) + \zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|\varpi\| \left\{ \left(\frac{\xi(\log T)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{\zeta(\log T)^{\varrho+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta+1)} \right) + \left[\frac{(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{\xi\omega(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+1)} \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\zeta\omega(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta+1)} + \frac{\xi(\log T)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{\zeta(\log T)^{\varrho+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta+1)} \right) \right] \Big\} \\
&\leq \|\varpi\| \Delta \leq \theta,
\end{aligned}$$

which imply that $\mathcal{T}_1 \hat{z}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2 \hat{z}_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$.

Now, we will show that \mathcal{T}_2 is a contraction. Let $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\iota \in [1, T]$. Then, using the assumption (\mathcal{E}_4) together with (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}_2 p_1 - \mathcal{T}_2 p_2\| &\leq \frac{\mathcal{S}(\log T)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\frac{\xi\omega(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varsigma}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+1)} + \frac{\zeta\omega(\log \varphi)^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta+1)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\xi(\log T)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{\zeta(\log T)^{\varrho+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta+1)} \right] \|p_1 - p_2\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (\mathcal{E}_4) , it follows that the operator \mathcal{T}_2 is contraction. Next, we will show that \mathcal{T}_1 is compact and continuous. Continuity of g, h implies that the operator \mathcal{T}_1 is continuous. Also, \mathcal{T}_1 is uniformly bounded on B_θ as

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1 z\| \leq \|\varpi\| \left\{ \frac{|\xi|(\log T)^\varrho}{\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{|\zeta|(\log T)^{\varrho+\vartheta}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta+1)} \right\}.$$

Moreover, with $\sup_{(\iota, z) \in [1, T] \times \mathcal{B}_\theta} |g(\iota, z)| = \hat{g} < \infty$, $\sup_{(\iota, z) \in [1, T] \times \mathcal{B}_\theta} |h(\iota, z)| = \hat{h} < \infty$ and $\iota_1 < \iota_2$, $\iota_1, \iota_2 \in [1, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(\mathcal{T}_1 z)(\iota_2) - (\mathcal{T}_1 z)(\iota_1)| \tag{11} \\
&= |{}^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota_2) - {}^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota_1)| \\
&\quad |{}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota_2) - {}^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota_1)| \\
&\leq \frac{\hat{g}}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \left| \int_0^{\iota_1} \left[\left(\log \frac{\iota_2}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho-1} - \left(\log \frac{\iota_1}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho-1} \right] \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\iota_1}^{\iota_2} \left(\log \frac{\iota_2}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho-1} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right| \\
&\quad + \frac{\hat{h}}{\Gamma(\varrho+\vartheta)} \left| \int_0^{\iota_1} \left[\left(\log \frac{\iota_2}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\vartheta-1} - \left(\log \frac{\iota_1}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\vartheta-1} \right] \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\iota_1}^{\iota_2} \left(\log \frac{\iota_2}{\sigma} \right)^{\varrho+\vartheta-1} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right|. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the RHS of (11) tends to zero independent of z as $\iota_2 - \iota_1 \rightarrow 0$. Thus, \mathcal{T}_1 is relatively compact on \mathcal{B}_θ . Hence, by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, \mathcal{T}_1 is compact

on \mathcal{B}_θ . Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 2.10 are satisfied. Therefore, there exists at least one solution for BVP (1) on $[1, T]$. ■

Next, we establish the uniqueness of solution using BFPT for the problem (1).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $g, h : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions satisfying the assumptions (\mathcal{E}_4) . In addition, it is assumed that $\mathcal{S}\Delta < 1$, where Δ is described by (7). Then there exists a unique solution for BVP (1) on $[1, T]$.*

Proof. Let us interpret $\sup_{\iota \in [1, T]} |g(\iota, 0)| = \mathcal{Q}_1 < \infty$, $\sup_{\iota \in [1, T]} |h(\iota, 0)| = \mathcal{Q}_2 < \infty$, with $\mathcal{Q} = \max\{\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2\}$. Nominating $\theta \geq \frac{\mathcal{Q}\Delta}{1-\mathcal{S}\Delta}$. We demonstrate that $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{B}_\theta \subset \mathcal{B}_\theta$, where $\mathcal{B}_\theta = \{z \in \mathcal{P} : \|z\| \leq \theta\}$. For $z \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(\mathcal{T}z)(\iota)| \\
 & \leq \sup_{\iota \in [1, T]} \left\{ \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\iota) \right. \\
 & \quad + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) + \zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(\varphi) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma))|(T) \right] \right\} \\
 & \leq |\xi|(\mathcal{S}_1\theta + \mathcal{Q}_1) \sup_{\iota \in [1, T]} \left\{ {}^H\mathcal{J}^\varrho(1)(\iota) + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma}(1)(\varphi) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + {}^H\mathcal{J}^\varrho(1)(T) \right] \right\} |\zeta|(\mathcal{S}_2\theta + \mathcal{Q}_2) \sup_{\iota \in [1, T]} \left\{ \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta}(1)(\iota) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta}(1)(\varphi) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta}(1)(T) \right] \right\} \\
 & \leq (\mathcal{S}\theta + \mathcal{Q})\Delta. \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (13) that $\|(\mathcal{T}z)\| \leq \theta$.

Now, for $z, \hat{z} \in \mathcal{P}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathcal{T}z(\iota) - \mathcal{T}\hat{z}(\iota)| \\
 & \leq \sup_{\iota \in [1, T]} \left\{ \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma)) - g(\sigma, \hat{z}(\sigma))|(\iota) \right. \\
 & \quad + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma)) - h(\sigma, \hat{z}(\sigma))|(\iota) \\
 & \quad + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma} |g(\sigma, z(\sigma)) - g(\sigma, \hat{z}(\sigma))|(\varphi) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma)) - h(\sigma, \hat{z}(\sigma))|(\varphi) \right] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho |g(\sigma, z(\sigma)) - g(\sigma, \hat{z}(\sigma))|(T) \\
& +\zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta} |h(\sigma, z(\sigma)) - h(\sigma, \hat{z}(\sigma))|(T) \Big] \Big\} \\
& \leq \mathcal{S}_1 \Delta \|z - \hat{z}\| \left\{ \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho(1)(T) + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\xi \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma}(1)(\varphi) + \xi^H \mathcal{J}^\varrho(1)(T) \right] \right. \\
& \quad + \mathcal{S}_2 \Delta \|z - \hat{z}\| \left\{ \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta}(1)(T) + \frac{(\log \iota)^2}{\Lambda} \left[\zeta \omega^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\vartheta}(1)(\varphi) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^{\varrho+\vartheta}(1)(T) \right] \right\} \Big\} \\
& = \mathcal{S} \Delta \|z - \hat{z}\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{T}z - \mathcal{T}\hat{z}\| \leq \mathcal{S} \Delta \|z - \hat{z}\|.$$

Since $\mathcal{S} \Delta < 1$ by the given assumption, therefore \mathcal{T} is a contraction. Hence it follows from Theorem 2.11 that Eq. (1) has a unique solution on $[1, T]$. ■

4. Numerical Examples

Example 4.1. Consider a BVP of CHFIDE given by

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^C \mathcal{D}^\varrho z(\iota) &= \xi g(\iota, z(\iota)) + \zeta^H \mathcal{J}^\vartheta h(\iota, z(\iota)), \quad \iota \in [1, T], \\
z(1) &= 0, \quad z'(1) = 0, \quad z(T) = \omega^H \mathcal{J}^\varsigma z(\varphi),
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\iota, z) &= \frac{1}{(\iota+5)^2} \left(\frac{|z|}{1+|z|} + \frac{\cos z}{(e^{\log \iota})} + \frac{\iota}{1+\iota} \right), \\
h(\iota, z) &= \frac{1}{4} \sin z + \iota.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $\varrho = \frac{12}{5}$, $\vartheta = \frac{6}{5}$, $\varsigma = \frac{7}{5}$, $\xi = \frac{1}{4}$, $\zeta = \frac{1}{6}$, $\omega = \frac{3}{10}$, $\varphi = \frac{7}{4}$.

In addition, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
|g(\iota, p_1(\iota)) - g(\iota, p_2(\iota))| &\leq \frac{1}{36} \|p_1 - p_2\|, \\
|h(\iota, p_1(\iota)) - h(\iota, p_2(\iota))| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|p_1 - p_2\|.
\end{aligned}$$

With the above specifics, we find that $\mathcal{S}_1 = \frac{1}{36}$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, so $\mathcal{S} = \max\{\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2\} = \frac{1}{4}$. Next, we find that $\Lambda = 0.47222857758628856$, $\Delta = 0.10248515987315399$.

Thus, $\mathcal{S}\Delta < 1$, the presumptions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Hence, by Theorem 3.3, the BVP (14) has a unique solution on $[1, T]$.

Example 4.2. Consider the BVP of CHFIDE (14) with $|g(\iota, z(\iota))| \leq \varpi_1(\iota)$, $|h(\iota, z(\iota))| \leq \varpi_2(\iota)$ for $(\iota, z) \in [1, T] \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\varpi_1, \varpi_2 \in \mathcal{C}([1, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$. Clearly,

$$|g(\iota, z)| \leq \frac{1}{1 + \log \iota} + \frac{|z|}{1 + |z|} \frac{e^{\log \iota}}{(4 + \iota)^2},$$

$$|h(\iota, z)| \leq \frac{1}{1 + \iota^2} + \frac{\cos z}{(2 + \iota)^2}.$$

Here, $\varrho = \frac{12}{5}$, $\vartheta = \frac{6}{5}$, $\varsigma = \frac{7}{5}$, $\xi = \frac{1}{4}$, $\zeta = \frac{1}{6}$, $\omega = \frac{3}{10}$, $\varphi = \frac{7}{4}$. With the above specifics, we find that $\Lambda = 0.47222857758628856$, $\Delta = 0.05276145334211216$. Thus, $\Delta\mathcal{S} < 1$, the presumptions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Hence, by Theorem 3.2, the BVP (14) has at least one solution on $[1, T]$.

References

- [1] B. Ahmad and S.K. Ntouyas, On three-point hadamard-type fractional boundary value problems, *Int. Electron. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **8** (4) (2014) 31–42.
- [2] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, R.P. Agarwal, A. Alsaedi, New results for boundary value problems of hadamard-type fractional differential inclusions and integral boundary conditions, *Bound. Value Probl.* **2013** (1) (2013) 1–19.
- [3] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, R.P. Agarwal, A. Alsaedi, Existence results for sequential fractional integro-differential equations with nonlocal multi-point and strip conditions, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **18** (1) (2015) 261–280.
- [4] Y. Bai and H. Kong, Existence of solutions for nonlinear caputo-hadamard fractional differential equations via the method of upper and lower solutions, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** (1) (2017) 5744–5752.
- [5] D. Baleanu, S. Hemalatha, P. Duraisamy, P. Pandiyan, S. Muthaiah, Existence results for coupled differential equations of non-integer order with Riemann-Liouville, Erdélyi-Kober integral conditions, *AIMS Mathematics* **6** (12) (2021) 13004–13023.
- [6] A. Boutiara, S. Etemad, J. Alzabut, A. Hussain, M. Subramanian, S. Rezapour, On a nonlinear sequential four-point fractional q-difference equation involving q-integral operators in boundary conditions along with stability criteria, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021** (2021) 1–23.
- [7] P. Duraisamy, T.N. Gopal, M. Subramanian, Analysis of fractional integro-differential equations with nonlocal Erdelyi-Kober type integral boundary conditions, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **23** (2020) 1401–1415.
- [8] Y.Y. Gambo, F. Jarad, D. Baleanu, T. Abdeljawad, On caputo modification of the hadamard fractional derivatives, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2014** (1) (2014) 1–10.
- [9] J. Hadamard, Essai sur l'étude des fonctions données par leur développement de Taylor, *J. Math. Pures. Appl.* **8** (1) (1892) 101–186.
- [10] F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu, Caputo-type modification of the hadamard fractional derivatives, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2012** (2012), 8 pages.
- [11] A. Kilbas, M. Saigo, R.K. Saxena, Generalized mittag-leffler function and generalized fractional calculus operators, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **15** (1) (2004) 31–49.
- [12] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Amsterdam, Boston, Elsevier, 2006.

- [13] J. Klafter, S.C. Lim, R. Metzler, *Fractional dynamics: Recent Advances*, World Scientific, 2012.
- [14] Q.H. Ma, C. Ma, J. Wang, A lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional differential equation with hadamard derivative, *J. Math. Inequal.* **11** (1) (2017) 135–141.
- [15] M. Manigandan, M. Subramanian, P. Duraisamy, T.N. Gopal, On Caputo-Hadamard type fractional differential equations with nonlocal discrete boundary conditions, *Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity* **10** (2021) 185–194.
- [16] S. Muthaiah, D. Baleanu, Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions depending on lower-order fractional derivatives, *Axioms* **9** (2) (2020) 1–17.
- [17] S. Muthaiah, D. Baleanu, N.G. Thangaraj, Existence and Hyers-Ulam type stability results for nonlinear coupled system of Caputo-Hadamard type fractional differential equations, *AIMS Mathematics* **6** (1) (2021) 168–194.
- [18] S.K. Ntouyas and S. Etemad, On the existence of solutions for fractional differential inclusions with sum and integral boundary conditions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **266** (1) (2015) 235–246.
- [19] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations: An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, Some Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1999.
- [20] J. Sabatier, O.P. Agrawal, J.A. Tenreiro Machado, *Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering*, Springer Netherlands, 2007.
- [21] M. Subramanian, A. Zada, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for coupled systems of Liouville-Caputo type fractional integrodifferential equations with Erdélyi-Kober integral conditions, *Int. J. Nonlin. Sci. Num.* **22** (2021) 543–557.
- [22] M. Subramanian, T.N. Gopal, Analysis of boundary value problem with multi-point conditions involving Caputo-Hadamard fractional derivative, *Proyecciones* **39** (2020) 1555–1575.
- [23] M. Subramanian, D. Baleanu, Stability and existence analysis to a coupled system of Caputo type fractional differential equations with Erdélyi-kober integral boundary conditions, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **14** (2020) 415–424.
- [24] M. Subramanian, A.R.V. Kumar, T.N. Gopal, Analysis of fractional boundary value problem with non local flux multi-point conditions on a caputo fractional differential equation, *Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai. Math.* **64** (2019) 511–527.
- [25] M. Subramanian, J. Alzabut, D. Baleanu, M.E. Samei, A. Zada, Existence, uniqueness and stability analysis of a coupled fractional-order differential systems involving Hadamard derivatives and associated with multi-point boundary conditions, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021** (2021) 1–46.
- [26] P. Thiramanus, S.K. Ntouyas, J. Tariboon, Positive solutions for hadamard fractional differential equations on infinite domain, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2016** (1) (2016) 1–83.
- [27] G. Wang, K. Pei, R.P. Agrawal, L. Zhang, B. Ahmad, Nonlocal hadamard fractional boundary value problem with hadamard integral and discrete boundary conditions on a half-line, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **343** (2018) 230–239.
- [28] W. Yukunthorn, B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, J. Tariboon, On caputo-hadamard type fractional impulsive hybrid systems with nonlinear fractional integral conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems* **19** (2016) 77–92.