

## Briot-Bouquet Differential Subordination and Bernardi's Integral Operator

Kanika Sharma

Department of Mathematics, Atma Ram Sanatan Dharma College, University of Delhi,  
Delhi-110 021, India

E-mail: ksharma@arsd.du.ac.in; kanika.divika@gmail.com

Rasoul Aghalary

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

E-mail: raghalary@yahoo.com; r.aghalary@urmia.ac.ir

V. Ravichandran

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Tiruchirappalli-620 015,  
India

E-mail: ravic@nitt.edu; vravi68@gmail.com

Received 17 January 2021

Accepted 10 July 2021

Communicated by H.M. Srivastava

Dedicated to Prof. Dato' Indera Rosihan M. Ali

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2020):** 130C80, 30C45

**Abstract.** The conditions on  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are obtained for an analytic function  $p$  defined on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  and normalized by  $p(0) = 1$  to be subordinate to  $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$  when  $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$  is subordinate to  $e^z$ . The conditions on these parameters are derived for the function  $p$  to be subordinate to  $\sqrt{1+z}$  or  $e^z$  when  $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$  is subordinate to  $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ . The conditions on  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are determined for the function  $p$  to be subordinate to  $e^z$  when  $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$  is subordinate to  $\sqrt{1+z}$ . Related result for the function  $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$  to be in the parabolic region bounded by the  $\operatorname{Re} w = |w - 1|$  is investigated. Sufficient conditions for the Bernardi's integral operator to belong to the various subclasses of starlike functions are obtained as applications.

**Keywords:** Starlike functions; Briot–Bouquet differential subordination; Bernardi’s integral operator; Lemniscate of Bernoulli; Parabolic starlike.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . For a natural number  $n$ , let  $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{H}$  consisting of functions  $p$  of the form  $p(z) = a + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ . Suppose that  $h$  is a univalent function defined on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = a$  and the function  $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ . The Briot–Bouquet differential subordination is the first order differential subordination of the form

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z), \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta \neq 0, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . This particular differential subordination has many interesting applications in the theory of univalent functions. Ruschewyh and Singh [28] proved that if the function  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$  and  $h(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$  in (1) and the function  $q \in \mathcal{H}$  satisfy the differential equation

$$q(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} = \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then  $\min_{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq \min_{|z|=r} \operatorname{Re} q(z)$ . More related results are proved in [17, 19, 8]. For  $c > -1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$ , the function  $F \in \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$  given by Bernardi’s integral operator is defined as

$$F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt. \quad (2)$$

There is an important connection between Briot–Bouquet differential equations and the Bernardi’s integral operator. If we set  $p(z) = zF'(z)/F(z)$ , where  $F$  is given by (2), then the functions  $f$  and  $p$  are related through the following Briot–Bouquet differential equation

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + c}.$$

Several authors have investigated results on Briot–Bouquet differential subordination. For example, Ali et al. [3] determined the conditions on  $A, B, D$  and  $E$  for  $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$  when  $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$  is subordinate to  $(1 + Dz)/(1 + Ez)$ , ( $A, B, D, E \in [-1, 1]$ ). For related results, see [4, 8, 17, 19, 21, 22, 28]. Recently, Kumar and Ravichandran [14] obtained the conditions on  $\beta$  so that  $p(z)$  is subordinate to  $e^z$  or  $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$  whenever  $1 + \beta p(z)/p'(z)$  is subordinate to  $\sqrt{1+z}$  or  $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ , ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ). We investigate generalised problems for regions that were considered recently by many authors. In Section 2, we find conditions on  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  so that

$p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma)$  is subordinate to  $\sqrt{1+z}$  implies  $p(z) \prec e^z$ . Conditions on  $A, B, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are also determined so that  $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$  implies  $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$  or  $e^z$ . We determine conditions on  $A, B, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  so that  $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ,  $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$  when  $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec e^z$  or  $\varphi_{PAR}(z)$ . The function  $\varphi_{PAR} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given by

$$\varphi_{PAR}(z) := 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left( \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}} \right)^2, \quad \text{Im } \sqrt{z} \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

and  $\varphi_{PAR}(\mathbb{D}) = \{w = u + iv : v^2 < 2u - 1\} = \{w : \text{Re } w > |w - 1|\} =: \Omega_P$ . As an application of our results, we give sufficient conditions for the Bernardi's integral operator to belong to the various subclasses of starlike functions which we define below.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  normalized by the conditions  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of univalent (one-to-one) functions. For an analytic function  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(0) = 1$ , let

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \right\}.$$

This class unifies various classes of starlike functions when  $\text{Re } \varphi > 0$ . Shanmugam [30] studied the convolution properties of this class when  $\varphi$  is convex while Ma and Minda [15] investigated the growth, distortion and coefficient estimates under less restrictive assumption that  $\varphi$  is starlike and  $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Notice that, for  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B] := \mathcal{S}^*((1 + Az)/(1 + Bz))$  is the class of Janowski starlike functions [10, 23]. For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{S}^*[1 - 2\alpha, -1] =: \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$  is the familiar class of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ , introduced by Robertson [26]. The class  $\mathcal{S}^* := \mathcal{S}^*(0)$  is the class of starlike function. The class  $\mathcal{S}_P := \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi_{PAR})$  is the class of parabolic starlike functions, introduced by Rønning [29], consists of function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying

$$\text{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Sokół and Stankiewicz [38] introduced and studied the class  $\mathcal{S}_L^* := \mathcal{S}^*(\sqrt{1+z})$ ; the class  $\mathcal{S}_L^*$  consists of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $zf'(z)/f(z)$  lies in the region bounded by the right-half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli given by  $\Omega_L := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w^2 - 1| < 1\}$ . Another class  $\mathcal{S}_e^* := \mathcal{S}^*(e^z)$ , introduced recently by Mendiratta *et al.* [16], consists of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the condition  $|\log(zf'(z)/f(z))| < 1$ . There has been several works [9, 2, 13, 24, 31, 36, 37, 25, 35, 34, 32, 1, 39, 33] related to these classes.

The following results are required in our investigation.

**Lemma 1.1.** [20, Theorem 2.1, p. 2] *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  and suppose that  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the condition  $\psi(e^{it}, ke^{it}e^{it}; z) \notin \Omega$ , where  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $k \geq 1$ . If  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$  and  $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then  $p(z) \prec e^z$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

**Lemma 1.2.** [27, Lemma 1.3, p. 28] *Let  $w$  be a meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $w(0) = 0$ . If for some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)|$ , then it follows that  $z_0 w'(z_0)/w(z_0) \geq 1$ .*

## 2. Briot–Bouquet Differential Subordination

In the first result, we find conditions on the real numbers  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  so that  $p(z) \prec e^z$ , whenever  $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . This result gives the sufficient condition for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  to belong to the class  $\mathcal{S}_e^*$  by substituting  $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\max\{-\gamma/e, -\gamma e + e/(1 - \sqrt{2}e)\} \leq \beta \leq -e\gamma$ . Let  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . If the function  $p$  satisfies*

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \sqrt{1+z},$$

*then  $p(z) \prec e^z$ .*

*Proof.* Define the functions  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $q : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as follows:

$$\psi(r, s; z) = r + \frac{s}{\beta r + \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad q(z) = \sqrt{1+z} \quad (4)$$

so that  $\Omega := q(\mathbb{D}) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w^2 - 1| < 1\}$  and  $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . To prove  $p(z) \prec e^z$ , we use Lemma 1.1 so we need to show that  $\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) \notin \Omega$  which is equivalent to show that  $|\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z)|^2 - 1| \geq 1$ , where  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$  and  $k \geq 1$ . A simple computation and (4) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) &= e^{e^{it}} + \frac{ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}}{\beta e^{e^{it}} + \gamma}, \\ |(\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z))^2 - 1|^2 &=: \frac{f(t)}{g(t)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for  $-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= (e^{2\cos t} \cos(2\sin t) ((\gamma + k \cos t + \beta e^{\cos t} \cos(\sin t))^2 \\ &\quad - (k \sin t + \beta \sin(\sin t) e^{\cos t})^2) - 2 \sin(2\sin t) e^{2\cos t} (k \sin t \\ &\quad + \beta \sin(\sin t) e^{\cos t}) (\gamma + k \cos t + \beta e^{\cos t} \cos(\sin t)) + \beta^2 \sin^2(\sin t) e^{2\cos t} \\ &\quad - (\gamma + \beta e^{\cos t} \cos(\sin t))^2)^2 + (2e^{2\cos t} \cos(2\sin t) (k \sin t \\ &\quad + \beta \sin(\sin t) e^{\cos t}) (\gamma + k \cos t + \beta e^{\cos t} \cos(\sin t)) \\ &\quad + \sin(2\sin t) e^{2\cos t} ((\gamma + k \cos t + \beta e^{\cos t} \cos(\sin t))^2 \\ &\quad - (k \sin t + \beta \sin(\sin t) e^{\cos t})^2) - 2\beta \sin(\sin t) e^{\cos t} (\gamma + \beta e^{\cos t} \cos(\sin t)))^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(t) = (\beta^2 \sin^2(\sin t) e^{2 \cos t} + (\gamma + \beta e^{\cos t} \cos(\sin t))^2)^2.$$

Define the function  $h : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $h(t) = f(t) - g(t)$ . Since  $h(-t) = h(t)$ , we restrict to  $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ . It can be easily verified that the function  $h$  attains its minimum value either at  $t = 0$  or  $t = \pi$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , we have

$$h(0) = (e^2(e\beta + \gamma + k)^2 - (e\beta + \gamma)^2)^2 - (e\beta + \gamma)^4, \quad (6)$$

$$h(\pi) = \left( \left( \frac{\beta/e + \gamma - k}{e} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\beta}{e} + \gamma \right)^2 \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\beta}{e} + \gamma \right)^4. \quad (7)$$

The given relation  $\beta \geq -\gamma/e$  gives  $e\beta + \gamma \geq 0$  so that  $e(k + e\beta + \gamma) > \sqrt{2}(e\beta + \gamma)$  which implies  $e^2(k + e\beta + \gamma)^2 - (e\beta + \gamma)^2 > (e\beta + \gamma)^2$ . Thus, the use of (6) yields  $h(0) > 0$ .

The given condition  $1/(1 - \sqrt{2}e) \leq \gamma + \beta/e \leq 0$  leads to  $(\gamma + \beta/e)(1 - \sqrt{2}e) \leq 1$  which gives that  $-k + \gamma + \beta/e \leq -1 + \gamma + \beta/e \leq \sqrt{2}e(\gamma + \beta/e)$  which implies  $((-k + \gamma + \beta/e)/e)^2 \geq 2(\gamma + \beta/e)^2$  which further implies  $((-k + \gamma + \beta/e)/e)^2 - (\gamma + \beta/e)^2 \geq (\gamma + \beta/e)^2$ . Hence, by using (7), we get that  $h(\pi) \geq 0$ . So,  $h(t) \geq 0$ ,  $(0 \leq t \leq \pi)$  and thus, (5) implies  $|\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z)|^2 - 1| \geq 1$  and therefore  $p(z) \prec e^z$ . ■

We will illustrate Theorem 2.1 by the following example:

*Example 2.2.* By taking  $\beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = c$  ( $c > -1$ ) in Theorem 2.1, we get  $-1/e + 1/(1 - \sqrt{2}e) \leq c \leq -1/e$ . By taking  $\beta = 1$ ,  $-1/e + 1/(1 - \sqrt{2}e) \leq \gamma \leq -1/e$ ,  $n = 1$ ,  $h(z) = \sqrt{1+z}$ ,  $a = 1$  in [18, Theorem 3.2d, p. 86], we get  $\operatorname{Re}(a\beta + \gamma) > 0$  and  $\beta h(z) + \gamma \prec R_{a\beta+\gamma, n}(z)$ , where  $R_{d,f}(z)$  is the open door mapping given by  $R_{d,f}(z) := d(1+z)/(1-z) + (2fz)/(1-z^2)$ . Thus by the use of [18, Theorem 3.2d, p. 86], we get

$$p(z) = -\gamma + \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-\gamma} e^{2\sqrt{z+1}-2\sqrt{tz+1}} (\sqrt{tz+1} + 1)^2}{(\sqrt{z+1} + 1)^2} dt$$

which satisfy Eq.  $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) = h(z)$ . Then  $p(z) \prec e^z$ .

Suppose that the function  $F$  be given by Bernardi's integral (2). Now we discuss the sufficient conditions for the function  $F$  to belong to various subclasses of starlike functions. We will illustrate Theorem 2.1 by the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3.**

- (i) If the function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*$  and the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold with  $\beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = c$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$ .
- (ii) If the function  $f'(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$  and the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold with  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = c + 1$ , then  $F'(z) \prec e^z$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let the function  $p : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined by  $p(z) = zF'(z)/F(z)$ . Then  $p$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . Upon differentiating Bernardi's integral given by (2), we obtain

$$(c+1)f(z) = zF'(z) + cF(z). \quad (8)$$

A computation now yields

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + c}.$$

By taking  $\beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = c$ , the first part of the corollary follows from Theorem 2.1.

(ii) By defining a function  $p$  by  $p(z) = F'(z)$  and using (8), we get

$$f'(z) = \frac{zF''(z)}{c+1} + F'(z).$$

By taking  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = c+1$ , the result follows from Theorem 2.1.  $\blacksquare$

In the following result, we derive conditions on the real numbers  $A, B, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  so that  $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec e^z$  implies  $p(z) \prec (1+Az)/(1+Bz)$ , ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ), where  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . This result gives the sufficient condition for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  to belong to the class  $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$  by substituting  $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $-1 < B < A \leq 1$  and  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that*

- (i)  $(A-B)/((1 \mp B)((1 \mp A)\beta + (1 \mp B)\gamma)) \geq \pm(1 \mp A)/(1 \mp B) + e$ .
- (ii)  $\beta(1 \pm A) + \gamma(1 \pm B) > 0$ .

*Let  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . If the function  $p$  satisfies*

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec e^z,$$

*then  $p(z) \prec (1+Az)/(1+Bz)$ .*

*Proof.* Define the functions  $P$  and  $w$  as follows:

$$P(z) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad w(z) = \frac{p(z) - 1}{A - Bp(z)} \quad (9)$$

so that  $p(z) = (1 + Aw(z))/(1 + Bw(z))$ . Clearly,  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $w(0) = 0$ . In order to prove  $p(z) \prec (1+Az)/(1+Bz)$ , we need to show that  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . If possible, suppose that there exists  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then by Lemma 1.2, it follows that there exists  $k \geq 1$  so that  $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$ . Let  $w(z_0) = e^{it}$ , ( $-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$ ) and  $G := A\beta + B\gamma$ . A simple calculation and by using (9), we get

$$P(z_0) = \frac{ke^{it}(A-B) + (1 + Ae^{it})(\beta + \gamma + Ge^{it})}{(1 + Be^{it})(\beta + \gamma + Ge^{it})} =: u + iv, \quad (10)$$

for  $-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$ . We derive a contradiction by showing  $|\log P(z_0)|^2 \geq 1$ . This later inequality is equivalent to

$$f(t) := 4(\arg(u + iv))^2 + (\log(u^2 + v^2))^2 - 4 \geq 0 \quad (-\pi \leq t \leq \pi). \quad (11)$$

From (10), we get

$$u = \frac{1}{(B^2 + 2B \cos t + 1)((\beta + \gamma)^2 + G^2 + 2G(\beta + \gamma) \cos t)} (G(A + B)(\beta + \gamma) \cos 2t + \cos t(A(BG(2(\beta + \gamma) + k) + G^2 + (\beta + \gamma)(\beta + \gamma + k)) - B^2Gk + 2G(\beta + \gamma) + B(G^2 - (\beta + \gamma)(-\beta - \gamma + k))) + (\beta + \gamma)(AB(\beta + \gamma + k) + \beta - B^2k + \gamma) + G^2(AB + 1) + G(A(\beta + \gamma + k) + B(\beta + \gamma - k)))$$

and

$$v = \frac{(A - B) \sin t (-BGk + G^2 + 2G(\beta + \gamma) \cos t + (\beta + \gamma)(\beta + \gamma + k))}{(B^2 + 2B \cos t + 1)((\beta + \gamma)^2 + G^2 + 2G(\beta + \gamma) \cos t)}.$$

Substituting these values of  $u$  and  $v$  in (11), we observe that  $f(t)$  is an even function of  $t$  and so, it is enough to show that  $f(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, \pi]$ . It can be easily verified that the function  $f(t)$  attains its minimum value either at  $t = 0$  or  $t = \pi$ . We show that both  $f(0)$  and  $f(\pi)$  are non negative. Note that, for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$f(0) = -4 + 4(\arg \psi(k))^2 + (\log(\psi^2(k)))^2 \quad (12)$$

and

$$f(\pi) = -4 + 4(\arg(-\phi(k)))^2 + (\log(\phi^2(k)))^2, \quad (13)$$

where  $\psi(k) := (A^2\beta + A(2\beta + B\gamma + \gamma + k) + \beta + B(\gamma - k) + \gamma)/((1 + B)(\beta(1 + A) + \gamma(1 + B)))$  and  $\phi(k) := (A^2\beta - 2A\beta + (A - 1)(B - 1)\gamma - Ak + \beta + Bk)/((B - 1)(-A\beta + \beta - B\gamma + \gamma))$ . The function  $\psi$  is increasing as  $\psi'(k) = (A - B)/((1 + B)(\beta(1 + A) + \gamma(1 + B))) > 0$  using the given condition (ii) and therefore, the given hypothesis (i) yields that  $\psi(k) \geq \psi(1) = (1 + A)/(1 + B) + (A - B)/((1 + B)(\beta(1 + A) + \gamma(1 + B))) \geq e$  which gives that  $\arg \psi(k) = 0$  and  $(\log(\psi^2(k)))^2 \geq (2 \log e)^2 = 4$ . Thus, the use of (12) yields  $f(0) \geq 0$ .

The function  $\phi$  is increasing as  $\phi'(k) = (A - B)/((1 - B)(\beta(1 - A) + \gamma(1 - B))) > 0$  using the given condition (ii) and therefore, the given hypothesis (i) yields that  $\phi(k) \geq \phi(1) = -(1 - A)/(1 - B) + (A - B)/((1 - B)(\beta(1 - A) + \gamma(1 - B))) \geq e$  which further implies  $\arg(-\phi(k)) = \pi$  and  $(\log(\phi^2(k)))^2 \geq (2 \log e)^2 = 4$ . Hence, by using (13), we get  $f(\pi) \geq 4\pi^2 > 0$ . This completes the proof. ■

We will illustrate Theorem 2.4 by the following example:

*Example 2.5.* By taking  $A = 1/2$ ,  $B = -1/2$ ,  $\beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = c$  ( $c > -1$ ) in Theorem 2.4, we get  $-1/3 \leq c \leq (1 - e)/(1 + 3e)$ . By taking  $\beta = 1$ ,  $-1/3 \leq \gamma \leq (1 - e)/(1 + 3e)$ ,  $n = 1$ ,  $h(z) = e^z$ ,  $a = 1$  in [18, Theorem 3.2d, p. 86], we

get  $\operatorname{Re}(a\beta + \gamma) > 0$  and  $\beta h(z) + \gamma \prec R_{a\beta+\gamma,n}(z)$ , where  $R_{d,f}(z)$  is the open door mapping given by  $R_{d,f}(z) := d(1+z)/(1-z) + (2fz)/(1-z^2)$ . Thus by using [18, Theorem 3.2d, p. 86], we get

$$p(z) = \int_0^1 t^{1-\gamma} e^{-\operatorname{Chi}(tz) + \operatorname{Chi}(z) - \operatorname{Shi}(tz) + \operatorname{Shi}(z)} dt - \gamma$$

which satisfy Eq.  $p(z) + zp'(z)/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) = h(z)$ . Then  $p(z) \prec (2+z)/(2-z)$ . Here,  $\operatorname{Chi}(z)$  and  $\operatorname{Shi}(z)$  are the hyperbolic cosine integral function and the hyperbolic sine integral function respectively defined as follows:

$$\operatorname{Chi}(z) = \eta + \log(z) + \int_0^z \frac{\cosh(t) - 1}{t} dt \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Shi}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\sinh(t)}{t} dt,$$

where  $\eta$  is the Euler's constant.

The next corollary is obtained by substituting  $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$  with  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $B = 0$  and  $A = 1 - \alpha$ , ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) in Theorem 2.4.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $\beta > 0$  satisfy the conditions  $\alpha + e + \beta^{-1} \leq (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$  and  $1 - \alpha \geq \beta(2 - \alpha)(e - 2 + \alpha)$ . If the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the subordination*

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \prec e^z,$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha^*$ .

Our next corollary deals with the class  $\mathcal{R}[A, B]$  defined by

$$\mathcal{R}[A, B] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : f'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\}.$$

The two parts of the following corollary are obtained by taking  $p(z)$  to be  $zF'(z)/F(z)$  with  $\beta = 1$ ,  $\gamma = c$  and  $p(z) = F'(z)$  with  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\gamma = c + 1$  respectively in Theorem 2.4.

**Corollary 2.7.**

- (i) *If the function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$  and the conditions of Theorem 2.4 hold with  $\beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = c$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$ .*
- (ii) *The function  $f'(z) \prec e^z$  and the conditions of Theorem 2.4 hold with  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = c + 1$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{R}[A, B]$ .*

In the next result, we find the conditions on the real numbers  $A, B, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  so that  $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ , whenever  $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . As an application of the next result, it provides sufficient conditions for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  to belong to the class  $\mathcal{S}_L^*$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$  and  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following conditions:*



- (i)  $1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma \geq B(-2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma)))$ .
- (ii)  $(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma)^2 \geq (-2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma)))^2$ .

Let  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . If the function  $p$  satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

then  $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1 + z}$ .

*Proof.* Define the functions  $P$  and  $w$  as follows:

$$P(z) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad w(z) = p^2(z) - 1 \quad (14)$$

which implies  $p(z) = \sqrt{1 + w(z)}$ . Clearly,  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $w(0) = 0$ . In order to complete our proof, we need to show that  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Assume that there exists  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then by Lemma 1.2, it follows that there exists  $k \geq 1$  so that  $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$ . Let  $w(z_0) = e^{it}$ ,  $(-\pi \leq t \leq \pi)$ . By using (14), we get

$$P(z) = \sqrt{1 + w(z)} + \frac{zw'(z)}{2\sqrt{1 + w(z)}(\beta\sqrt{1 + w(z)} + \gamma)}.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$P(z_0) = \frac{ke^{it} + 2(1 + e^{it})(\gamma + \beta\sqrt{1 + e^{it}})}{2\sqrt{1 + e^{it}}(\gamma + \beta\sqrt{1 + e^{it}})} \quad (-\pi \leq t \leq \pi)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{P(z_0) - 1}{A - BP(z_0)} \right|^2 =: \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \quad (-\pi \leq t \leq \pi), \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) = & ((2\beta \cos t + 2(\beta - \gamma)) \sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)} \\ & + \sin t(k + 2(\gamma + \beta(-1 + \cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)})))^2 \\ & + (-\cos t(k + 2(\gamma + \beta(-1 + \cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)}))) \\ & + 2\beta \sin t \sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)} + 2(\beta - \gamma) \\ & (1 - \cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) \sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)}))^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(t) = & (-2A(\beta \sin t + \gamma \sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2))\sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)}) \\
 & + 4B\beta \cos^2(t/2)\sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)} \sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2) + B \sin t(k + 2\gamma \\
 & + 2\beta \cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2)\sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)})^2 + (-4A\beta \cos^2(t/2) \\
 & + B(k + 2\gamma) \cos t + 2\gamma - 2B\beta \sin t \sin(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2)\sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)}) \\
 & + 2(-A\gamma + B\beta \cos t + \beta) \cos(\arg(1 + e^{it})/2)\sqrt{2 \cos(t/2)})^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define  $h(t) = f(t) - g(t)$ . Since  $h(t)$  is an even function of  $t$ , we restrict to  $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ . It can be easily verified that for both the cases (i) and (ii), the function  $h(t)$  attains its minimum value either at  $t = 0$  or  $t = \pi$ . Note that for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $h(\pi) = (1 - B^2)k^2 > 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(k) := h(0) = & (4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma + k)^2 - (B(4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma) + k) \\
 & - 2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma))^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The function  $S'$  is increasing as  $S''(k) = 2(1 - B^2) > 0$  and therefore, the given hypothesis (i) yields that  $S'(k) \geq S'(1) = 2(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma) - 2B(-2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma))) \geq 0$  which gives that  $S(k) \geq S(1) = (1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1)\beta - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)\gamma)^2 - (-2A(2\beta + \sqrt{2}\gamma) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2}\beta + \gamma)))^2$ . Thus, the use of given condition (ii) and (16) yields  $h(0) \geq 0$ . So,  $h(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \pi]$  and therefore, (15) implies  $|(P(z_0) - 1)/(A - BP(z_0))| \geq 1$ . This contradicts the fact that  $P(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$  and completes the proof. ■

The next corollary is obtained by substituting  $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$  with  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ , ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) and  $B = -1$  in Theorem 2.8.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . If the function  $f$  satisfies the subordination*

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad \left( \frac{1}{4(\alpha - \sqrt{2})} \leq \beta < 0 \right),$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*$ .

By taking  $p(z) = zF'(z)/F(z)$  with  $\beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = c$  in Theorem 2.8 gives the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i)  $1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1) - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)c \geq B(-2A(2 + \sqrt{2}c) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} + c)))$ .
- (ii)  $(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} - 1) - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)c)^2 \geq (-2A(2 + \sqrt{2}c) + B(1 + 4(\sqrt{2} + c)))^2$ .

*If  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$  then  $F \in \mathcal{S}_L^*$ .*

By taking  $p(z) = F'(z)$  with  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = c + 1$  in Theorem 2.8 gives the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.11.** *Suppose that  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i)  $5 - 2\sqrt{2} - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)c \geq B(-2\sqrt{2}(c + 1)A + (5 + 4c)B)$ .
- (ii)  $(5 - 2\sqrt{2} - 2(\sqrt{2} - 2)c)^2 \geq (-2\sqrt{2}(c + 1)A + (5 + 4c)B)^2$ .

*If  $f \in \mathcal{R}[A, B]$  then  $F'(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ .*

In the next result, we compute the conditions on the real numbers  $A, B, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  so that  $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ,  $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$  implies  $p(z) \prec e^z$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . As an application of the next result, it provides sufficient conditions for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  to belong to the class  $\mathcal{S}_e^*$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$  and  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i)  $e^2\beta(1 - B^2) + e(-B(-A\beta + B\gamma + B) - \beta + \gamma + 1) + \gamma(AB - 1) \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $(e((A + e - 1)\beta - (e\beta + 1)B + 1) + \gamma(A + e(1 - B) - 1))(e(-(A - e + 1)\beta + B(e\beta + 1) + 1) + \gamma(-A + e(B + 1) - 1)) \geq 0$ .
- (iii)  $e(\beta(1 - AB) + B^2(\gamma - 1) - \gamma + 1) + e^2\gamma(1 - AB) + \beta(B^2 - 1) \geq 0$ .
- (iv)  $(e((A - 1)\beta + (1 - B)(\gamma - 1)) + e^2(A - 1)\gamma + \beta(1 - B))(-e((A + 1)\beta + (B + 1)(1 - \gamma)) - e^2(A + 1)\gamma + \beta(B + 1)) \geq 0$ .

*Let  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . If the function  $p$  satisfies*

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

*then  $p(z) \prec e^z$ .*

*Proof.* Define the functions  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $q : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as follows:

$$\psi(r, s; z) = r + \frac{s}{\beta r + \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad q(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (17)$$

so that  $\Omega := q(\mathbb{D}) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |(w - 1)/(A - Bw)| < 1\}$  and  $\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . To prove  $p(z) \prec e^z$ , we use Lemma 1.1 so we need to show that  $\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) \notin \Omega$  which is equivalent to show that  $|\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) - 1|/(A - B\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z))| \geq 1$ , where  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$  and  $k \geq 1$ . A simple computation and (17) yield that

$$\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) = e^{e^{it}} + \frac{ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}}{\beta e^{e^{it}} + \gamma} \quad (-\pi \leq t \leq \pi)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) - 1}{A - B\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z)} \right|^2 =: \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \quad (-\pi \leq t \leq \pi), \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) = & e^{3 \cos t} (2\beta k \sin t \sin(\sin t) + 2\beta k \cos t \cos(\sin t) - 2\beta^2 \cos(\sin t) \\ & + 2\beta \gamma \cos(\sin t)) + e^{2 \cos t} ((\beta - \gamma)^2 + k^2 - 2\beta k \cos t + 2\gamma k \cos t \\ & + 2\beta \gamma \sin^2(\sin t) - 2\beta \gamma \cos^2(\sin t)) + e^{\cos t} (2\gamma k \sin t \sin(\sin t) \\ & - 2\gamma k \cos t \cos(\sin t) + 2\beta \gamma \cos(\sin t) - 2\gamma^2 \cos(\sin t)) + \beta^2 e^{4 \cos t} + \gamma^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) = & A^2 \gamma^2 + \beta^2 B^2 e^{4 \cos t} + 2\beta B e^{3 \cos t} ((B\gamma - A\beta) \cos(\sin t) + Bk \cos(t - \sin t)) \\ & + e^{2 \cos t} (B(B\gamma^2 + k^2) - 2A\beta\gamma) + 2B(B\gamma - A\beta)k \cos t \\ & - 2AB\beta\gamma \cos(2 \sin t) + A^2 \beta^2 + 2A\gamma e^{\cos t} ((A\beta - B\gamma) \cos(\sin t) \\ & - Bk \cos(t + \sin t)). \end{aligned}$$

Define  $h(t) = f(t) - g(t)$ . Since  $h(-t) = h(t)$ , we restrict to  $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ . It can be easily verified that the function  $h(t)$  attains its minimum value either at  $t = 0$  or  $t = \pi$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k) := h(0) = & e^2 ((1 - A^2)\beta^2 + 2k(\beta(AB - 1) + (1 - B^2)\gamma) + 4\beta\gamma(AB \\ & - 1) + (1 - B^2)(\gamma^2 + k^2)) + 2e\gamma(-A^2\beta + (AB - 1)(\gamma + k) + \beta) \\ & + 2e^3\beta(\beta(AB - 1) + (1 - B^2)(\gamma + k)) + e^4\beta^2(1 - B^2) \\ & + (1 - A^2)\gamma^2 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h(\pi) = & \frac{-1}{e^4} (e((A - 1)\beta + (1 - B)(\gamma - k)) + e^2(A - 1)\gamma + \beta(1 - B)) \\ & (e((1 + A)\beta + (B + 1)(k - \gamma)) + e^2(A + 1)\gamma - \beta(B + 1)) =: \psi(k). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The function  $\phi'$  is increasing as  $\phi''(k) = 2(1 - B^2)e^2 > 0$  and therefore, the given hypothesis (i) yields that  $\phi'(k) \geq \phi'(1) = 2e(e(-B(-A\beta + B\gamma + B) - \beta + \gamma + 1) + \gamma(AB - 1) + e^2\beta(1 - B^2)) \geq 0$  which gives that  $\phi(k) \geq \phi(1) = (e((A + e - 1)\beta - (e\beta + 1)B + 1) + \gamma(A + e(1 - B) - 1))(e(-(A - e + 1)\beta + B(e\beta + 1) + 1) + \gamma(-A + e(B + 1) - 1))$ . Thus, the use of given condition (ii) and (19) yields  $h(0) \geq 0$ .

In view of (iii), observe that  $\psi''(k) = 2(1 - B^2)/e^2 > 0$  and therefore,  $\min \psi'(k) = \psi'(1) = 2(e(\beta(1 - AB) + B^2(\gamma - 1) - \gamma + 1) + e^2\gamma(1 - AB) + \beta(B^2 - 1))/e^3 \geq 0$  which implies  $\min \psi(k) = \psi(1) = ((e((A - 1)\beta + (1 - B)(\gamma - 1)) + e^2(A - 1)\gamma + \beta(1 - B))(-e((A + 1)\beta + (B + 1)(1 - \gamma)) - e^2(A + 1)\gamma + \beta(B + 1)))/e^4$ . Hence, the use of given condition (iv) and (20) yields that  $h(\pi) \geq 0$ . So,  $h(t) \geq 0$ , ( $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ ) and thus, (18) implies  $|(\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z) - 1)/(A - B\psi(e^{e^{it}}, ke^{it}e^{e^{it}}; z))| \geq 1$  and therefore,  $p(z) \prec e^z$ . ■

The next corollary is obtained by substituting  $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$  with  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $B = 0$  and  $A = 1 - \alpha$ , ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) in Theorem 2.12.

**Corollary 2.13.** Suppose  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $\beta \geq 1/(1-e)$  satisfy the conditions  $(-\alpha\beta + \beta e + 1)(\beta(\alpha + e - 2) + 1) \geq 0$  and  $(\beta - e((2-\alpha)\beta + 1))(\beta + e(-\alpha\beta - 1)) \geq 0$ . If the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the condition

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha,$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$ .

The two parts of the following corollary are obtained by taking  $p(z) = zF'(z)/F(z)$  with  $\beta = 1$ ,  $\gamma = c$  and  $p(z) = F'(z)$  with  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\gamma = c + 1$  respectively in Theorem 2.12.

**Corollary 2.14.**

- (i) If the function  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$  and the conditions of the Theorem 2.12 hold with  $\beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = c$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$ .
- (ii) The function  $f \in \mathcal{R}[A, B]$  and the conditions of Theorem 2.12 hold with  $\beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = c + 1$ , then  $F'(z) \prec e^z$ .

In the next result, we find the conditions on the real numbers  $A, B, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  so that  $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ,  $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ , whenever  $p(z) + (zp'(z))/(\beta p(z) + \gamma) \in \Omega_P$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . As an application of the next result, it provides sufficient conditions for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  to belong to the class  $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$ .

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$  and  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq m \leq 1$ , assume that  $G := A\beta + B\gamma$ ,  $L := k + \beta + \gamma$ . Further assume that

- (i)  $BG(\beta + \gamma) > 0$ .
- (ii)  $(G(A^2L + 4(\beta + \gamma)) - 2B(AGL + 2(\beta + \gamma)^2 + 2G^2) + B^2G(4(\beta + \gamma) + L))(G(A^2L - 4(\beta + \gamma)) + B(-2AGL + 4(\beta + \gamma)^2 + 4G^2) + B^2G(L - 4(\beta + \gamma))) \geq 2G(A - B)^2(GL(A^2L - 4(\beta + \gamma)) - 2B(AGL^2 + 2G^2(L - 2(\beta + \gamma)) - 2L(\beta + \gamma)(-\beta - \gamma + 2L)) + B^2GL(L - 4(\beta + \gamma)))$ .
- (iii)  $8G(A - B)^2(\beta + \gamma + k) \leq 2(B - 1)^2G(\beta + \gamma) + 2B(\beta + \gamma - G)^2$ .
- (iv)  $1 + \beta + \gamma \geq 0$ ,  $G \geq 0$ .
- (v)  $4m^4(A - B)^2(\beta + \gamma + G + 1)^2 \geq (B + 1)^2(\beta + \gamma + G)^2$ .

Let  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . If the function  $p$  satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \varphi_{PAR}(z),$$

then  $p(z) \prec (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ .

*Proof.* Define the functions  $P$  and  $w$  as given by Eq. (9) which implies  $p(z) = (1 + Aw(z))/(1 + Bw(z))$ . Proceeding as in Theorem 2.4, we need to show that

$|w(z)| < 1$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . If possible suppose that there exists  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then by Lemma 1.2, it follows that there exists  $k \geq 1$  so that  $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$ . Let  $w(z_0) = e^{it}$ ,  $(-\pi \leq t \leq \pi)$ . A simple calculation and by using (9), we get

$$P(z_0) = \frac{ke^{it}(A-B) + (1+Ae^{it})(\beta+\gamma+Ge^{it})}{(1+Be^{it})(\beta+\gamma+Ge^{it})} \quad (-\pi \leq t \leq \pi). \quad (21)$$

Define the function  $h$  by

$$h(z) = u + iv = \sqrt{(P(z) - 1)\pi^2/2}. \quad (22)$$

We show that  $|(e^{h(z_0)} - 1)/(e^{h(z_0)} + 1)|^2 \geq 1$ ; this condition is same as the inequality  $\operatorname{Re} e^{h(z_0)} \leq 0$ . This last inequality is indeed equivalent to  $\cos v \leq 0$  or  $1/2 \leq |v/\pi| \leq 1$ . By using the definition of  $h$  given in (22) together with (21), we get

$$\frac{|v|}{\pi} = \frac{\sqrt{A-B}|m(t)||Ge^{it} + L|^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}|1 + Be^{it}|^{1/2}|Ge^{it} + \beta + \gamma|^{1/2}} \quad (-\pi \leq t \leq \pi), \quad (23)$$

where  $m(t) = \sin(\arg((e^{it}(A-B)(Ge^{it} + L))/((1+Be^{it})(Ge^{it} + \beta + \gamma))))/2$ .

(a) We will first show that  $|v/\pi| \leq 1$  which by using the fact that  $|m(t)| \leq 1$  and (23) is same as to show that  $f(t) \geq 0$   $(-\pi \leq t \leq \pi)$ , where

$$f(t) = 4(1+B^2+2B\cos t)((\beta+\gamma)^2+G^2+2(\beta+\gamma)G\cos t) - (A-B)^2(L^2+G^2+2LG\cos t).$$

After substituting  $x = \cos t$   $(-\pi \leq t \leq \pi)$ , the above inequality reduces to  $F(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$  with  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ , where

$$F(x) = 4(1+B^2+2Bx)((\beta+\gamma)^2+G^2+2(\beta+\gamma)Gx) - (A-B)^2(L^2+G^2+2LGx).$$

A simple computation shows that for

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{16BG(\beta+\gamma)}(G(A^2L-4(\beta+\gamma))-2B(AGL+2(\beta+\gamma)^2+2G^2) + B^2G(L-4(\beta+\gamma))),$$

$F'(x_0) = 0$  and  $F''(x_0) = 32BG(\beta+\gamma) > 0$  by the given condition (i). Therefore,  $F(x) \geq F(x_0)$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_0) = & \frac{1}{16BG(\beta+\gamma)}((G(A^2L+4(\beta+\gamma))-2B(AGL+2(\beta+\gamma)^2+2G^2) \\ & + B^2G(4(\beta+\gamma)+L))(G(A^2L-4(\beta+\gamma)) \\ & + B^2G(L-4(\beta+\gamma))+B(-2AGL+4(\beta+\gamma)^2 \\ & + 4G^2))-2G(A-B)^2(GL(A^2L-4(\beta+\gamma)) \\ & + B^2GL(L-4(\beta+\gamma))-2B(AGL^2 \\ & + 2G^2(L-2(\beta+\gamma))-2L(\beta+\gamma)(-\beta-\gamma+2L)))) \end{aligned}$$

and  $F(x_0) \geq 0$  by the given condition (ii).

(b) We will next show that  $|v/\pi| \geq 1/2$  which by using (23) is same as to show that  $g(t) \geq 0$  ( $-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$ ), where

$$g(t) = 4(A-B)^2 m^4(t)(L^2 + G^2 + 2LG \cos t) - (1 + B^2 + 2B \cos t)((\beta + \gamma)^2 + G^2 + 2(\beta + \gamma)G \cos t)$$

After substituting  $x = \cos t$  ( $-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$ ) and  $m = m(t)$ , the above inequality reduces to  $H(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$  with  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ , where

$$H(x) = 4(A-B)^2 m^4(L^2 + G^2 + 2LGx) - (1 + B^2 + 2Bx)((\beta + \gamma)^2 + G^2 + 2(\beta + \gamma)Gx).$$

In view of (i), (iii), (iv) and the fact that  $-1 \leq m \leq 1$ , we see that  $H''(x) = -8BG(\beta + \gamma) < 0$  and hence  $H'(x) \leq H'(-1) = 8m^4G(A-B)^2(\beta + \gamma + k) - 2(B-1)^2G(\beta + \gamma) - 2B(-G + \beta + \gamma)^2 \leq 0$ . Thus,  $H(x) \geq H(1) = 4m^4(A-B)^2(\beta + \gamma + G + k)^2 - (B+1)^2(\beta + \gamma + G)^2 =: \psi(k)$ . Using (iv), we observe that  $\psi''(k) = 8m^4(A-B)^2 \geq 0$  and hence for  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $\psi'(k) \geq \psi'(1) = 8m^4(A-B)^2(\beta + \gamma + G + 1) \geq 0$ . Thus by using (v), we get  $H(x) \geq \psi(k) \geq \psi(1) = 4m^4(A-B)^2(\beta + \gamma + G + 1)^2 - (B+1)^2(\beta + \gamma + G)^2 \geq 0$ . This completes the proof. ■

The next corollary is obtained by substituting  $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$  with  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $B = -1$  and  $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ , ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) in Theorem 2.15.

**Corollary 2.16.** *Let  $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $-1 \leq \beta < 0$  and  $k \geq 1$  satisfy the conditions  $(2\alpha^2 + \alpha - 3)^2\beta^2 + (4\alpha^4 - 12\alpha^3 + 13\alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 3)k^2 + 2(4\alpha^4 - 20\alpha^3 + 17\alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 3)\beta k \leq 0$  and  $(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 1)\beta^2 \leq 4(\alpha - 1)^2(2\alpha - 1)\beta(\beta + k)$ . If the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the subordination*

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \prec \varphi_{PAR}(z),$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ .

## References

- [1] R.M. Ali, K. Sharma and V. Ravichandran, Starlikeness of analytic functions with subordinate ratios, *J. Math.* **2021** (2021), Art. ID 8373209.
- [2] R.M. Ali, N.K. Jain, V. Ravichandran, Radii of starlikeness associated with the lemniscate of Bernoulli and the left-half plane, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (11) (2012) 6557–6565.
- [3] R.M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, N. Seenivasagan, On Bernardi's integral operator and the Briot–Bouquet differential subordination, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **324** (2006) 663–668.
- [4] D. Bansal and B.A. Frasin, Some results on convexity of integral operators, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **38** (4) (2014) 487–491.

- [5] N.E. Cho, A. Ebadian, S. Bulut, E.A. Adegani, Subordination implications and coefficient estimates for subclasses of starlike functions, *Mathematics* **8** (7) (2020), Arti. ID 1150.
- [6] E. Deniz and H. Orhan, Some properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients by using generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **60** (3) (2010) 699–713.
- [7] E. Deniz and R. Szász, The radius of uniform convexity of Bessel functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **453** (1) (2017) 572–588.
- [8] P. Eenigenburg, S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, M.O. Reade, On a Briot–Bouquet differential subordination, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **29** (7) (1984) 567–573.
- [9] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. I, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **28** (1973) 297–326.
- [10] W. Janowski, Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **23** (1970/1971) 159–177.
- [11] A. Lecko and Y. J. Sim, Coefficient problems in the subclasses of close-to-star functions, *Results Math.* **74** (3) (2019), Paper No. 104, 14 pp.
- [12] A. Lecko and A. Wiśniowska, Geometric properties of subclasses of starlike functions, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **155** (2) (2003) 383–387.
- [13] S. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, A subclass of starlike functions associated with a rational function, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **40** (2) (2016) 199–212.
- [14] S. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, Subordinations for functions with positive real part, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **12** (5) (2018) 1179–1191.
- [15] W.C. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [16] R. Mendiratta, S. Nagpal, V. Ravichandran, On a subclass of strongly starlike functions associated with exponential function, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **38** (1) (2015) 365–386.
- [17] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Briot–Bouquet differential equations and differential subordinations, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **33** (1-4) (1997) 217–237.
- [18] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **225**, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [19] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Univalent solutions of Briot–Bouquet differential equations, *J. Differential Equations* **56** (3) (1985) 297–309.
- [20] A. Naz, S. Nagpal, V. Ravichandran, Starlikeness associated with the exponential function, *Turkish J. Math.* **43** (2019) 1353–1371.
- [21] M. Nunokawa, S. Owa, O.S. Kwon, N.E. Cho, On  $\Phi$ -like with respect to certain starlike functions, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **38** (2) (2014) 271–274.
- [22] J. Patel, On starlikeness and convexity of certain integral operator, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **37** (1) (2013) 123–130.
- [23] Y. Polatoğlu and M. Bolcal, Some radius problem for certain families of analytic functions, *Turkish J. Math.* **24** (4) (2000) 401–412.
- [24] V. Ravichandran, F. Rønning, T.N. Shanmugam, Radius of convexity and radius of starlikeness for some classes of analytic functions, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **33** (1-4) (1997) 265–280.
- [25] V. Ravichandran and K. Sharma, Sufficient conditions for starlikeness, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **52** (4) (2015) 727–749.
- [26] M.S. Robertson, Certain classes of starlike functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **32** (2) (1985) 135–140.
- [27] S. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Presses Univ. Montréal, Montreal, PQ, 1982.
- [28] S. Ruscheweyh and V. Singh, On a Briot–Bouquet equation related to univalent



- functions, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **24** (1979) 285–290.
- [29] F. Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118** (1) (1993) 189–196.
  - [30] T.N. Shanmugam, Convolution and differential subordination, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **12** (2) (1989) 333–340.
  - [31] T.N. Shanmugam and V. Ravichandran, Certain properties of uniformly convex functions, In: *Computational Methods And Function Theory (Penang, 1994)*, Ser. Approx. Decompos., **5**, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1995.
  - [32] K. Sharma, N.E. Cho, V. Ravichandran, Sufficient conditions for strong starlikeness, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **47** (5) (2020) 1453–1475. doi:10.1007/s41980-020-00452-z.
  - [33] K. Sharma, N.K. Jain, V. Ravichandran, Starlike functions associated with a cardioid, *Afr. Mat.* (Springer) **27** (5) (2016) 923–939.
  - [34] K. Sharma and V. Ravichandran, Applications of subordination theory to starlike functions, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **42** (3) (2016) 761–777.
  - [35] K. Sharma and V. Ravichandran, Sufficient conditions for Janowski starlike functions, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **61** (1) (2016) 63–76.
  - [36] J. Sokół, Coefficient estimates in a class of strongly starlike functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **49** (2) (2009) 349–353.
  - [37] J. Sokół, Radius problems in the class  $\mathcal{SL}$ , *Appl. Math. Comput.* **214** (2) (2009) 569–573.
  - [38] J. Sokół and J. Stankiewicz, Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat. No.* **19** (1996) 101–105.
  - [39] S. Yadav, K. Sharma, V. Ravichandran, Radius of starlikeness for some classes containing non-univalent functions, *Asian-Eur J. Math.* **15** (2022), Art. ID 2250009.