

The Conjugacy Classes Ranks of the Alternating Simple Group A_{11} *

Ayoub B. M. Basheer and Malebogo J. Motalane

School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences, University of Limpopo, University of Limpopo (Turfloop), P Bag X1106, Sovenga 0727, South Africa

Email: ayoubbasheer@gmail.com; john.motalane@ul.ac.za

Thekiso T. Seretlo

Department of Mathematical Sciences, North-West University (Mafikeng), P Bag X2046, Mmabatho 2735, South Africa

Email: thekiso.seretlo@nwu.ac.za

Received 27 February 2020

Accepted 7 May 2022

Communicated by Y.Q. Chen

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2020): 20M35, 68Q70, 94A45

Abstract. Let G be a finite group and X be a conjugacy class of G . The *rank* of X in G , denoted by $rank(G : X)$, is defined to be the minimum number of elements of X generating G . We investigate the ranks of the alternating group A_{11} . We use the structure constants method to determine the ranks of all the non-trivial classes of the group A_{11} .

Keywords: Conjugacy classes; Rank; Generation; Alternating simple group.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group and nX a non-identity conjugacy class of G . We define $rank(G : nX)$ to be the minimum number of elements of G in nX that generate G . This is called the rank of nX in G .

*The research is supported by National Research Foundation of South Africa (Grant No. 11561070).

One of the applications of ranks of conjugacy classes of a finite group is that they are involved in the computations of the covering number of the finite simple group (see [16]). Moori in various papers (see [9], [10] and [11]), computed the ranks of the involuntary classes of the Fischer sporadic simple group Fi_{22} and his results were that $rank(Fi_{22} : 2A) \in \{5, 6\}$ and $rank(Fi_{22} : 2B) = 3 = rank(Fi_{22} : 2C)$. On the other hand, the work of Hall and Soicher [8] implies that $rank(Fi_{22} : 2A) = 6$.

In this paper, we determine the rank for each non-identity conjugacy class of the group A_{11} . We follow some of the methods used in the paper written by Basheer and Moori [2], and the techniques used by Ganief when he computed (p, q, r) -generations of certain groups [4].

2. Preliminaries

Let G be a finite group and C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k (not necessarily distinct) for $k \geq 3$ be conjugacy classes of G with g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k being representatives for these classes respectively.

For a fixed representative $g_k \in C_k$ and for $g_i \in C_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, denote by $\Delta_G = \Delta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ the number of distinct $(k-1)$ -tuples $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1}) \in C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_{k-1}$ such that $g_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1} = g_k$. This number is known as *class algebra constant* or *structure constant*. With $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_r\}$, the number Δ_G is easily calculated from the character table of G through the formula

$$\Delta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} |C_i|}{|G|} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\chi_i(g_1) \chi_i(g_2) \dots \chi_i(g_{k-1}) \overline{\chi_i(g_k)}}{(\chi_i(1_G))^{k-2}}. \quad (1)$$

Also for a fixed $g_k \in C_k$ we denote by $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ the number of distinct $(k-1)$ -tuples $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1})$ satisfying

$$g_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1} = g_k \quad \text{and} \quad G = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1} \rangle. \quad (2)$$

Definition 2.1. If $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) > 0$, the group G is said to be (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) -generated.

Remark 2.2. A group G is (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) -generated if and only if $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) > 0$.

Furthermore if H is any subgroup of G containing a fixed element $h_k \in C_k$, we let $\Sigma_H(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ be the total number of distinct tuples $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1})$ such that

$$h_1 h_2 \dots h_{k-1} = h_k \quad \text{and} \quad \langle h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1} \rangle \leq H. \quad (3)$$

The value of $\Sigma_H(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ can be obtained as a sum of the structure constants $\Delta_H(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ of H -conjugacy classes c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k such that $c_i \subseteq H \cap C_i$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a finite group and H be a subgroup of G containing a fixed element g such that $\gcd(o(g), [N_G(H):H]) = 1$. Then the number $h(g, H)$ of conjugates of H containing g is $\chi_H(g)$, where $\chi_H(g)$ is the permutation character of G with action on the conjugates of H . In particular*

$$h(g, H) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|C_G(g)|}{|C_{N_G(H)}(x_i)|},$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m are representatives of the $N_G(H)$ -conjugacy classes fused to the G -class of g .

Proof. See [5] and [6, Theorem 2.1]. ■

The above number $h(g, H)$ is useful in giving a lower bound for $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$, namely $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$, where

$$\Delta_G^*(C_1, \dots, C_k) \geq \Delta_G(C_1, \dots, C_k) - \sum h(g_k, H)\Sigma_H(C_1, \dots, C_k), \quad (4)$$

g_k is a representative of the class C_k and the sum is taken over all the representatives H of G -conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups of G containing elements of all the classes C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k . Since we have all the maximal subgroups of the sporadic simple groups except for $G = \mathbb{M}$ the Monster group, it is possible to build a small subroutine in GAP [14] to compute the values of $\Delta_G^* = \Delta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ for any collection of conjugacy classes and for any alternating simple group.

The following results are in some cases useful in establishing non-generation for finite groups.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a finite centerless group. If $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) < |C_G(g_k)|$, $g_k \in C_k$, then $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) = 0$ and therefore G is not (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) -generated.*

Proof. See [2, Lemma 2.7]. ■

Theorem 2.5. [12] *Let G be a transitive permutation group generated by permutations g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s acting on a set of n elements such that $g_1 g_2 \dots g_s = 1_G$. If the generator g_i has exactly c_i cycles for $1 \leq i \leq s$, then $\sum_{i=1}^s c_i \leq (s-2)n + 2$.*

By the Atlas of finite group representations [15], the alternating group A_{11} is acting on 11 points, so that $n = 11$. Since our generation is triangular, we have $s = 3$. Hence if A_{11} is (l, m, n) -generated, then $\sum c_i \leq 13$.

Theorem 2.6. [13] *Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s be elements generating a group G with $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_s = 1_G$ and \mathbb{V} be an irreducible module for G with $\dim \mathbb{V} = n \geq 2$. Let $C_{\mathbb{V}}(g_i)$ denote the fixed point space of $\langle g_i \rangle$ on \mathbb{V} and let d_i be the codimension of $C_{\mathbb{V}}(g_i)$ in \mathbb{V} . Then $\sum_{i=1}^s d_i \geq 2n$.*

With χ being the ordinary irreducible character afforded by the irreducible module \mathbb{V} and $\mathbf{1}_{\langle g_i \rangle}$ being the trivial character of the cyclic group $\langle g_i \rangle$, the codimension d_i of $C_{\mathbb{V}}(g_i)$ in \mathbb{V} can be computed using the following formula ([4]):

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= \dim(\mathbb{V}) - \dim(C_{\mathbb{V}}(g_i)) = \dim(\mathbb{V}) - \langle \chi \downarrow_{\langle g_i \rangle}^G, \mathbf{1}_{\langle g_i \rangle} \rangle \\ &= \chi(1_G) - \frac{1}{|\langle g_i \rangle|} \sum_{j=0}^{o(g_i)-1} \chi(g_i^j). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The following results are in some cases useful in determining the ranks finite groups.

Theorem 2.7. [2, Lemma 2.5] *Let G be a $(2X, sY, tZ)$ -generated simple group. Then G is $(sY, sY, (tZ)^2)$ -generated.*

Lemma 2.8. [1] *Let G be a finite simple group such that G is (lX, mY, nZ) -generated. Then G is $(\underbrace{(lX, lX, \dots, lX)}_{m\text{-times}}, (nZ)^m)$ -generated.*

Corollary 2.9. [1] *Let G be a finite simple group such that G is (lX, mY, nZ) -generated. Then $\text{rank}(G : lX) \leq m$.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from Lemma 2.8. ■

Theorem 2.10. [7] *Let G be a $(2X, sY, tZ)$ -generated simple group. Then G is $(sY, sY, (tZ)^2)$ -generated.*

Corollary 2.11. *Let G be a finite simple group such that G is $(2X, mY, nZ)$ -generated. Then $\text{rank}(G : mY) = 2$.*

Proof. Since G is (lX, mY, nZ) -generated so by Lemma 2.8 we obtained that G is $(mY, mY, (nZ)^m)$ -generated. Hence the result follows. ■

3. The Alternating Group A_{11}

In this section we apply the results discussed in Section 2, to the alternating group A_{11} . We determine the ranks for all the nonidentity conjugacy classes

of A_{11} . The alternating group A_{11} is a simple and has order $19958400 = 2^7 \times 3^4 \times 5^2 \times 7 \times 11$. From [3], the group G has exactly 31 conjugacy classes of its elements and 7 conjugacy classes of its maximal subgroups. Representatives of these classes of maximal subgroups can be taken as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= A_{10} & H_2 &= S_9 & H_3 &= (A_8 \times 3):2 \\ H_4 &= (A_7 \times A_4):2 & H_5 &= (A_6 \times A_5):2 & H_6 &= M_{11} \\ H_7 &= M_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper, by G we always mean the alternating group A_{11} , unless stated otherwise. It is well-known that G can be generated in terms of permutations on 11 points. From GAP or the electronic Atlas of finite group representations [15], the following two elements g_1 and g_2 generate G where:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= (1, 2, 3) \\ g_2 &= (3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11), \end{aligned}$$

with $o(g_1) = 3$, $o(g_2) = 9$ and $o(g_1g_2) = 11$.

In Table 1 we list representatives of classes of the maximal subgroups together with the orbits lengths of G on these groups and the permutation characters.

In Table 2, we list the values of the cyclic structure for each conjugacy of G which containing elements of prime order together with the values of both c_i and d_i obtained from Ree and Scotts theorems, respectively.

Table 3 gives the partial fusion maps of classes of maximal subgroups into the classes of G . These will be used in our computations.

Table 1: Maximal subgroups of G

Maximal Subgroup	Order	Orbit Lengths	Character
H_1	$2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$	[1,10]	$1a + 10a$
H_2	$2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	[2,9]	$1a + 10a + 44a$
H_3	$2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	[3,8]	$1a + 10a + 44a + 110a$
H_4	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	[7,4]	$1a + 10a + 44a + 110a + 165a$
H_5	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2$	[5,6]	$1a + 10a + 44a + 110a + 132a + 165a$
H_6	$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$	[11]	$1a + 132a + 462a + 825a + 1100a$
H_7	$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$	[11]	$1a + 132a + 462a + 825a + 1100a$

4. The Conjugacy Class Ranks of G

Now we study the ranks of G with respect to the various conjugacy classes of all its nonidentity elements. We start our investigation on the ranks of the non-trivial classes of G by looking at the two classes of involutions $2A$ and $2B$. It is well known that the rank of any of these involutions classes will be at least 3.

Table 2: Cycle structures of conjugacy classes of G

nX	Cycle Structure	c_i	d_i
2A	$1^7 2^2$	9	2
2B	$1^3 2^4$	7	4
3A	$1^8 3^1$	9	2
3B	$1^5 3^2$	7	4
3C	$1^2 3^3$	5	6
4A	$1^5 2^3$	7	4
4B	$1^2 4^2$	5	6
4C	$1^1 2^3 4^1$	5	6
5A	$1^6 5^1$	7	4
5B	$1^1 5^2$	3	8
6A	$1^4 3^1$	5	6
6B	$1^4 2^2 3^1$	7	4
6C	$1^2 2^2 3^2$	5	6
6D	$1^3 2^1 6^1$	5	6
6E	$2^1 3^1 6^1$	3	8
7A	$1^4 7^1$	5	6
8A	$1^2 2^1 8^1$	3	8
9A	$1^2 9^1$	3	8
10	$1^2 2^2 5^1$	5	6
11A	11^1	1	10
11B	11^1	1	10
12A	$3^1 4^2$	3	8
12B	$1^2 2^1 3^1 4^1$	5	6
12C	$1^1 4^1 6^1$	3	8
14A	$2^2 7^1$	3	8
15A	$1^3 3^1 5^1$	5	6
15B	$3^2 5^1$	5	6
20	$2^1 4^1 5^1$	3	8
21A	$1^1 3^1 5^1$	3	8
21B	$1^1 3^1 5^1$	3	8

The group G is not $(2Y, 2Z, pX)$ -generated, for if G is $(2Y, 2Z, pX)$ -generated, then G is a dihedral group and thus is not simple for all $Y, Z \in \{A, B\}$. Also we know that if G is (l, m, n) -generated with $\frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \geq 1$ and G is simple, then $G \cong A_5$, but $G \cong A_{11}$ and $A_{11} \not\cong A_5$.

Lemma 4.1. $rank(G : 2A) \notin \{3, 4\}$.

Proof. Now if G is $(2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated, then by Scott's Theorem [13] we must have $d_{2A} + d_{2A} + d_{2A} + d_{nX} \geq 2 \times 10$. However, it is clear from Table 2 that $3 \times d_{2A} + d_{nX} = 3 \times 2 + d_{nX} < 20$ for each nX , where nX is a set of all the non-

Table 3: The partial fusion maps into G

H_1 -class	2a 2b 3a 3b 3c 5a 5b 7a
$\rightarrow G$	2A 2B 3A 3B 3C 5A 5B 7A
h	6 1 4
H_2 -class	2a 2b 2c 2d 3a 3b 3c 5a 7a
$\rightarrow G$	2A 2A 2B 2B 3C 3A 3B 5A 7A
h	15 6
H_3 -class	2a 2b 2c 2d 3a 3b 3c 3d 3e 5a 7a
$\rightarrow G$	2B 2B 2A 2A 3A 3B 3C 3A 3B 5A 7A
h	20 4
H_4 -class	2a 2b 2c 2d 2e 3a 3b 3c 3d 3e 5a 7a
$\rightarrow G$	2A 2A 2A 2B 2B 3A 3A 3B 3B 3C 5A 7A
h	15 1
H_5 -class	2a 2b 2c 2d 2e 3a 3b 3c 3d 3e 5a 5b 5c 5d
$\rightarrow G$	2A 2A 2B 2A 2B 3A 3B 3A 3B 3C 5A 5A 5B 5B
h	1 6 1 1
H_6 -class	2a 3a 5a 11a 11b
$\rightarrow G$	2B 3C 5B 11A 11B
h	5 1 1
H_7 -class	2a 3a 5a 11a 11b
$\rightarrow G$	2B 3C 5B 11A 11B
h	5 1 1

identity classes of G and therefore G is not $(2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated, for any nX . We use the similar arguments to prove that G is not $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated because $4 \times d_{2A} + d_{nX} = 4 \times 2 + d_{nX} < 20$ for any $nX \in T$. Hence $rank(G : 2A) \notin \{3, 4\}$. ■

Proposition 4.2. $rank(G : 2A) = 5$.

Proof. From Table 3 we see that H_6 (or H_7) (two non-conjugate copies) is the only maximal subgroup containing elements of orders 2, 5 and 11. The intersection of H_6 from one conjugacy class with H_7 from a different conjugacy class has no element of order 11. No element of order 2 from these two maximal subgroups fuses to the class $2A$ of G . We then obtained that $\Delta_G^*(2A, 5B, 11X) = \Delta_G(2A, 5B, 11X) = 44 > 11 = |C_G(11X)|$ for $X \in \{A, B\}$. This proves that G is $(2A, 5B, 11X)$ -generated for $X \in \{A, B\}$. Since G is $(2A, 5B, 11X)$ -generated for $X \in \{A, B\}$, by Corollary 2.9, we must have $rank(G : 2A) \leq 5$. Since by Lemma 4.1, $rank(G : 2A) \notin \{3, 4\}$, it follows that $rank(G : 2A) = 5$. ■

Lemma 4.3. *The group G is $(2B, 3C, 11X)$ -generated for $X \in \{A, B\}$.*

Proof. From Table 3 we see that H_6 (or H_7) (two non-conjugate copies) is the only maximal subgroup containing elements of orders 2, 3 and 11. We obtained that $\sum_{H_6}(2a, 3a, 11x) = 11$ and $h(11X, H_6) = 1$ (or $\sum_{H_7}(2a, 3a, 11x) = 11$ and $h(11X, H_7) = 1$). We obtained that $\Delta_G(2B, 3C, 11X) = 110$ and it follows that $\Delta_G^*(2B, 5B, 11X) = \Delta_G(2B, 3C, 11X) - \sum_{H_6}(2a, 3a, 11x) - \sum_{H_7}(2a, 3a, 11x) = 110 - 11 - 11 = 88 > 11 = |C_G(11X)|$ for $X \in \{A, B\}$. This proves that G is $(2B, 3C, 11X)$ -generated for $X \in \{A, B\}$. ■

Proposition 4.4. $rank(G : 2B) = 3$.

Proof. Since by Lemma 4.3, the group G is $(2B, 3C, 11X)$ -generated for $X \in \{A, B\}$, by Corollary 2.9, we must have $rank(G : 2B) \leq 3$. It then follows that $rank(G : 2B) = 3$. ■

Proposition 4.5. $rank(G : 3A) = 5$.

Proof. Now if G is $(3A, 3A, nX)$ -generated, then by Scott's Theorem [13] we must have $d_{3A} + d_{3A} + d_{nX} \geq 2 \times 10$. However, it is clear from Table 2 that $2 \times d_{3A} + d_{nX} = 2 \times 2 + d_{nX} < 20$ for each non-identity class of G and therefore G is not $(3A, 3A, nX)$ -generated. We use similar arguments to prove that G is not $(3A, 3A, 3A, nX)$ - and $(3A, 3A, 3A, 3A, nX)$ -generated because we obtained that $3 \times d_{2A} + d_{nX} = 3 \times 2 + d_{nX} < 20$ and $4 \times d_{2A} + d_{nX} = 4 \times 2 + d_{nX} < 20$ for any non-identity nX of G .

By Table 3 we see that no maximal subgroup of G meets the classes $3A, 5B$ and $11A$ of G . We then obtained that $\Delta_G^*(3A, 5B, 11A) = \Delta_G(3A, 5B, 11A) = 11 > 0$, proving that G is $(3A, 5B, 11A)$ -generated group. By applying Lemma 2.8, it follows that G is $(3A, 3A, 3A, 3A, 3A, (11A)^5)$ -generated. Using GAP, $(11A)^5 = 11A$ so that G becomes $(3A, 3A, 3A, 3A, 3A, 11A)$ -generated. Since $rank(G : 3A) \notin \{2, 3, 4\}$, it follows that $rank(G : 3A) = 5$. ■

Proposition 4.6. $rank(G : 3B) = 3$.

Proof. If the group G is $(3B, 3B, nX)$ -generated then we must have $c_{3B} + c_{3B} + nX \leq 13$ where nX is any non-identity class of G . Since by Table 2 we have $c_{3B} + c_{3B} + c_{nX} = 7 + 7 + c_{nX} > 13$, using Ree's Theorem [12], it follows that G is not $(3B, 3B, nX)$ -generated. Thus $rank(G : 3B) \notin 2$.

By Table 3 we see that no maximal subgroup of G meets the classes $3B, 3C$ and $11A$ or $11B$ of G . We then obtained that $\Delta_G^*(3B, 3C, 11X) = \Delta_G(3B, 3C, 11X) = 66 > 0$, proving that G is $(3B, 3C, 11X)$ -generated for $X \in \{A, B\}$. By applying Lemma 2.8, then we obtained that the group G is $(3B, 3B, 3B, (11X)^3)$ -generated for all $X \in \{A, B\}$. It is easy to check with GAP that $(11A)^3 = 11A$ and $(11B)^3 = 11B$. Thus G becomes $(3B, 3B, 3B, 11X)$ -generated for $X \in \{A, B\}$. Hence $rank(G : 3B) = 3$. ■

Proposition 4.7. $rank(G : 3C) = 2$.

Proof. Since by Lemma 4.3, the group G is $(2B, 3C, 11X)$ -generated for $X \in \{A, B\}$, by Corollary 2.11, it follows that $rank(G : 3C) = 2$. ■

Proposition 4.8. $rank(G : 4A) = 3$.

Proof. If the group G is $(4A, 4A, nX)$ -generated then we must have $c_{4A} + c_{4A} + c_{nX} \leq 13$ where nX is any non-identity class of G . Since by Table 2 we have $c_{3B} + c_{3B} + c_{nX} = 7 + 7 + c_{nX} > 13$, using Ree's Theorem [12], it follows that G is not $(3B, 3B, nX)$ -generated. Thus $rank(G : 4A) \notin \{2\}$.

By Table 3 we see that no maximal subgroup of G meets the classes $3A, 4A$ and $11A$ of G . We then obtained that $\Delta_G^*(3A, 4A, 11A) = \Delta_G(3A, 4A, 11A) = 132 > 0$, proving that G is $(3A, 4A, 11A)$ -generated. By applying Lemma 2.8, then we obtained that the group G is $(4A, 4A, 4A, (11A)^3)$ -generated. Since $(11A)^3 = 11A$, the group G will become $(4A, 4A, 4A, 11A)$ -generated. Hence $rank(G : 4A) = 3$. ■

Proposition 4.9. $rank(G : 5A) = 3$.

Proof. Now if G is $(5A, 5A, nX)$ -generated, then by Scott's Theorem we must have $d_{5A} + d_{5A} + d_{nX} \geq 2 \times 10$. However, it is clear from Table 2 that $2 \times d_{5A} + d_{nX} = 2 \times 4 + d_{nX} < 20$ for each nX a non-identity class of G and therefore G is not $(5A, 5A, nX)$ -generated. Thus $rank(G : 5A) \notin \{2\}$.

By Table 3 we see that no maximal subgroup of G meets the classes $3C, 5A$ and $11A$ of G . We then obtained that $\Delta_G^*(3C, 5A, 11A) = \Delta_G(3C, 5A, 11A) = 22 > 0$, proving that G is $(3C, 5A, 11A)$ -generated. Applying Lemma 2.8, we obtain that the group G is $(5A, 5A, 5A, (11A)^3)$ -generated. Since $(11A)^3 = 11A$, the group G will become $(5A, 5A, 5A, 11A)$ -generated. Hence $rank(G : 5A) = 3$. ■

Proposition 4.10. $rank(G : 6B) = 3$.

Proof. Now if G is $(6B, 6B, nX)$ -generated, then by Scott's Theorem we must have $d_{6B} + d_{6B} + d_{nX} \geq 2 \times 10$. However, it is clear from Table 2 that $2 \times d_{6B} + d_{nX} = 2 \times 4 + d_{nX} < 20$ for each nX a non-identity class of G and therefore G is not $(6B, 6B, nX)$ -generated. Thus $rank(G : 6B) \notin \{2\}$.

By Table 3 we see that no maximal subgroup of G meets the classes $3C, 6B$ and $11A$ of G . We obtain that $\Delta_G^*(3C, 6B, 11A) = \Delta_G(3C, 6B, 11A) = 330 > 0$, proving that G is $(3C, 6B, 11A)$ -generated. By applying Lemma 2.8, then we obtained that the group G is $(6B, 6B, 6B, (11A)^3)$ -generated. Since $(11A)^3 = 11A$, the group G will become $(6B, 6B, 6B, 11A)$ -generated. Hence $rank(G : 6B) = 3$. ■

Proposition 4.11. Let $nX \in T := \{4B, 4C, 5B, 6A, 6C, 6D, 6E, 7A, 8A, 9A, 10A, 11A, 11B, 12A, 12B, 12C, 14A, 15A, 15B, 20A, 21A, 21B\}$. Then $rank(G : nX) = 2$.

Proof. From Table 3 we see that H_6 (or H_7) (two non-conjugate copies) is the only maximal subgroup containing elements of order 11. The intersection of H_6 from one conjugacy class with H_7 from a different conjugacy class has no element of order 11. In Table 4, we listed we list the values of Δ_G , h and Δ_G^* for all $nX \in T$. Since $\Delta_G^*(nX, nX, 11A) > 11 = |C_G(23A)|$, it follows that G is $(nX, nX, 11A)$ -generated where $nX \in T$. This proves that $\text{rank}(G : nX) = 2$ for all $nX \in T$. ■

The main result of this paper is summarized by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.12. *For the alternating group G , we have*

- (i) $\text{rank}(G : 2A) = \text{rank}(G : 3A) = 5$,
- (ii) $\text{rank}(G : 2B) = \text{rank}(G : 3B) = \text{rank}(G : 4A) = \text{rank}(G : 5A) = \text{rank}(G : 6B) = 3$,
- (iii) $\text{rank}(G : nX) = 2$ if $nX \notin \{1A, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4A, 5A, 6B\}$ and where nX is a conjugacy class of G .

Proof. (i) See Propositions 4.2 and 4.5.

(ii) The results follow by the proofs of Propositions 4.4, 4.6, 4.8, 4.9 and 4.10.

(iii) See Propositions 4.7 and 4.11. ■

Table 4 gives the partial structure constants of G computed using GAP together with the relevant information need in the calculations Δ_G^* . We give some information about $\Delta_G(nX, nX, 11A) = \Delta_G$, $h(11A, M_6)$ (or $h(11A, M_7)$), $\sum_{M_6}(nx, nx, 11a) = \sum_{M_6}$ and $\sum_{M_7}(nx, nx, 11a) = \sum_{M_7}$. The last column $\Delta_G^*(nX, nX, 11A) = \Delta_G^*$ establishes each generation of G by its triples $(nX, nX, 11A)$.

References

- [1] F. Ali, J. Moori, On the ranks of Janko groups J_1 , J_2 , J_3 and J_4 , *Quaest. Math.* **31** (2008) 37–44.
- [2] A.B.M. Basheer and J. Moori, On the ranks of finite simple groups, *Khayyam J. of Math.* **2** (1) (2016) 18–24.
- [3] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups: Maximal Subgroups and Ordinary Characters for Simple Groups*, Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [4] S. Ganief, *2-Generations of the Sporadic Simple Groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, 1997.
- [5] S. Ganief and J. Moori, 2-generations of the smallest Fischer group Fi_{22} , *Nova J. Math. Game Theory Algebra* **6** (2-3) (1997), 127–145.
- [6] S. Ganief and J. Moori, (p,q,r)-generations of the smallest Conway group Co_3 , *J. Algebra* **188** (2) (1997) 516–530.
- [7] S. Ganief and J. Moori, 2-generations of the fourth Janko group J_4 , *J. Algebra* **212** (1) (1999) 305–322.

Table 4: Some information on the classes $nX \in T$

nX	Δ_G	h	$h \sum_{M_6}$	$h \sum_{M_7}$	Δ_G^*
4B	1320	1	77	77	1166
4C	2640	1	0	0	2640
5B	31680	1	297	297	31086
6A	55	1	0	1	55
6C	3960	1	0	0	3960
6D	8800	1	0	0	8800
6E	55220	1	154	154	54912
7A	825	1	0	0	825
8A	318780	1	429	429	317922
9A	221760	1	0	0	221760
10A	11880	1	0	0	11880
11A	147600	1	35	35	147530
11B	162000	1	80	80	161840
12A	80850	1	0	0	80850
12B	31680	1	0	0	31680
12C	139260	1	0	0	139260
14A	23265	1	0	0	23265
15A	6160	1	0	0	6160
15B	8976	1	0	0	8976
20A	44748	1	0	0	44748
21A	44880	1	0	0	44880
21B	44880	1	0	0	44880

[8] J.I. Hall, L.H Soicher, Presentations of some 3-transposition groups, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995) 2517–2559.

[9] J. Moori, Generating sets for F_{22} and its automorphism group, *J. Algebra* **159** (1993) 488–499

[10] J. Moori, Subgroups of 3-transposition groups generated by four 3-transpositions, *Quaest. Math.* **17** (1994) 483–494.

[11] J. Moori, On the ranks of the Fischer group F_{22} , *Mathematica Japonicae* **43** (1996) 365–367.

[12] R. Ree, A theorem on permutations, *J. Comb. Theory A* **10** (1971) 174–175.

[13] L.L. Scott, Matrices and cohomolgy, *Ann. Math.* **105** (3) (1977) 67–76.

[14] The GAP Group, *GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming*, Version 4.9.3, 2018. <http://www.gap-system.org>

[15] R. Wilson, P. Walsh, J. Tripp, I. Suleiman, R. Parker, S. Norton, S. Nickerson, S. Linton, J. Bray, R. Abbott, *Atlas of Finite Group Representations*, <http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3/>

[16] I. Zisser, The covering numbers of the Sporadic simple groups, *Israel J. Math.* **67** (1989) 217–224.